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## PREFACE

The National Programme of Nutritional Support for Primary EducationNPNSPE i.e. National Mid Day Meal Scheme-(MDMS) was initiated by India in the year1995. The programme was launched with a view to enhancing enrollment, retention and attendance and simultaneously improving Nutritional levels among children and to have an important social values and foster equality as children learn to sit together and share a common meal. One can expect some erosion of caste prejudices and class inequality.
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District-1: Jamui

## Chapter I

## Introduction

## Mid-Day Meal Programme

Mid-day Meal is implemented as a joint effort of the Government of India and the State Government of Bihar. The Government of India implemented this scheme from 15 August, 1995. Under the scheme, students from government- and state-aided Primary Schools, from classes 1-5, who have minimum 80 percent attendance, were provided 3 kg of wheat or rice per month. However, it was observed that the benefits of the scheme did not completely go to the students and the grains were distributed among their family members.

Therefore, to make the scheme student-focused, the Supreme Court, in 2004, directed to implement the Mid-day Meal Programmed in the form of 'cooked meal' in Primary Schools. Subsequently, keeping in view the success of the programme, mid-day meal scheme is also being implemented in the Middle schools in the educationally backward blocks since October, 2007. The programme has since been further extended to cover all blocks and middle school in urban areas too.

The present Mid-day Meal Programme covers all the primary and middle schools of the State. The State Government has constituted a Mid-day Meal Authority since October, 2006 for the effective implementation of the Programme. Under the scheme, boys and girls are provided tasty, cooked food during the daily school interval. The provision is to provide food made of rice during four days and food made of wheat during two days in a week. The Government of India provides hundred grams ( 100 gms ) per student per day (Wheat/Rice) for primary classes and one hundred fifty grams (150 gms) per student per day in middle classes. The provision is for the conversation cost from food grains to cooked food. The government provides Rs. 3.37 per student per day for Primary Schools and Rs. 5.0 per student per day in Middle schools in the form of conversation cost and for the arrangement of other materials. The Food Corporation of India ensures the availability of food grains. Voluntary Agencies have also been involved in the preparation of food in urban area. The government has set the menu and provision
has been made for a separate kitchen on the school premises. Strict monitoring and inspection mechanisms have been involved for the desired and effective implementation of the scheme.

## Objectives of MDM Programme

Major objectives of the Mid Day Meal Scheme are:
$>$ To make available nutritious food to children enrolled in Classes 1-8 in schools (Govt, Local Body, Govt Aided schools, Govt Aided Maqtab \& Madarsa, AIE centers and NCLP schools).
$>\quad$ To develop the grasping power of children by improving their nutrition level.
$>\quad$ To enhance the enrollment of children in schools.
$>$ To develop a tendency in the children to stay in school, especially during schoolinterval, and to reduce the drop-out rate.
$>\quad$ To foster the feeling of brotherhood and to develop positive outlook through coeating and combined food preparation for the children belonging to different religions and castes and socio-economic backgrounds.

## Monitoring and Evaluation of MDM

The followings are the major aspects of monitoring and evaluation of Mid-day Meal Programme (MDM) in Jamui district:

* Regularity in MDM Supply
* Use of MDM
* Supply of food grains
* Regular payment of food grain cost
* Social equity in MDM
* MDM supply as per menu
* Satisfaction of students with quantity and quality of MDM
* Status on personal hygiene of cooks
* MDM infrastructure
* Safety and hygiene
* Community participation
* Inspection and supervision
* Impact of MDM


## Methodology

A total number of 17 primary schools and 17 middle schools were selected for the study in the Jamui district as per norms provided by the ministry.

## Criteria of Sample Selection

The following criteria were followed in the selection of sample primary and middle schools in Jamui district:

1. Higher gender gap in enrolment,
2. Higher proportion of SC/ST students,
3. Low retention rate and higher drop-out rate,
4. Schools with a minimum of three CWSN.
5. The habitation where the school is located has a sizeable number of OoSC.
6. The habitation where the school is located has in-bound and out-bound seasonal migration.
7. The habitation where the school is located is known to have a sizable number of urban deprived children.
8. The school is located in a forest or far-flung area.
9. The habitation where the school is located witnesses recurrent floods or some other natural calamity.
10. Pupil-Teacher Ratio (PTR) at school level.

## Sample Design of the Study

A total of 34 schools have been taken as sample from Jamui district as shown in Table-1.1. Out of these 34 schools, 17 are primary and 17 middle schools.

Table - 1.1: Number of Sample Schools

| Sl. No. | Name of Block | Primary <br> schools | Middle schools | Total |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Barhat | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| 2 | Jamui(urban) | 5 | 5 | 10 |
| 3 | Khaira | 5 | 6 | 11 |
| 4 | Sikandra | 5 | 4 | 9 |
| Total | 17 | 17 | 34 |  |

## School-wise Criteria for Selection

Table-1.2 reflects 34 schools selected from Jamui district. Keeping in view, that each types of school as per the selection criteria, to be represented list of sample schools.

Table- 1.2: School-wise list of Sample Schools

| Sl. <br> No. | Name of the schools | U-DIES Code | Category of Schools PS/ MS | Criteria for Selection |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | PS Patauna | 10370901001 | PS | Gender Gap |
| 2 | PS Barhat | 10370900101 | PS | PTR |
| 3 | PS Harnaha | 10371005803 | PS | CWSN |
| 4 | PS Ujhandi | 10371005602 | PS | Civil Work |
| 5 | PS Satgama | 10371006801 | PS | PTR |
| 6 | PS Shivndeeh | 10371006002 | PS | SC Dominated |
| 7 | NPS Lukhandi | 10371008801 | PS | CWSN |
| 8 | PS Jeet Jhigoi | 10371031107 | PS | CWSN |
| 9 | PS Nariyana | 10371304801 | PS | Civil Work, CWSN |
| 10 | PS Parsa | 10371303101 | PS | Gender Gap |
| 11 | PS Jorhawa Dharampur | 10371306301 | PS | Civil Work |
| 12 | PS Pakri | 10371303202 | PS | PTR |
| 13 | NPS Mubarakpur | 10371108402 | PS | Civil Work |
| 14 | NPS Roushan Deeh | 10371106004 | PS | PTR |
| 15 | PS Rehra Mushari | 10371100304 | PS | PTR, SC Dominated |
| 16 | PS Sikandra | 10371103703 | PS | Civil Work, Gender Gap |
| 17 | NPS Khaira | 10371109001 | PS | PTR |
| 18 | UMS Lakhay | 10370901401 | MS | CWSN |
| 19 | UMS Ujhandi | 10371005703 | MS | CWSN |
| 20 | UMS Shahpur | 10371005801 | MS | PTR |
| 21 | MS Kharma | 10371005601 | MS | Computer, CWSN |
| 22 | Girls MS Jamui | 10371009901 | MS | Computer, Civil Work |
| 23 | Girls MS Malaypur | 10370901604 | MS | Computer |
| 24 | UMS Puteriya | 10371001801 | MS | Gender Gap |
| 25 | MS Pardhan Chak | 10371301103 | MS | Computer |
| 26 | UMS Navdeeha | 10371302301 | MS | Civil Work |
| 27 | UMS Phatehpur | 10371303301 | MS | CWSN |
| 28 | UMS Ghanverja | 10371302801 | MS | Civil Work |
| 29 | UMS Bela | 10371304501 | MS | Civil Work |
| 30 | Gils MS Khaira | 10371300103 | MS | Computer, PTR |
| 31 | MS Manjosh | 10371105201 | MS | PTR |
| 32 | MS Piparsanda | 10371100301 | MS | PTR |
| 33 | MS Mahadev Simariya | 10371106003 | MS | Flood Effected |
| 34 | UMS Patambar | 10371106501 | MS | Civil Work |

Source: Office of the District Education Officer, Jamui

## Tools

A well-structured questionnaire was prepared to collect primary data from the selected primary and middle schools. Separate schedule have been also used for the NGO, which provided MDM to the schools.

## Chapter II

## Implementation of MDM Programme

## Regularity in Supply of Food Grains

The regularity in delivering food grains to sample primary and middle schools has been examined. Out of the 17 primary schools, it was found that food was cooked on the schools premises in 12 primary schools. In the remaining 5 primary schools, foods were cooked and provide by an NGO namely Dayawati Educational and Charitable Society. A similar situation existed in 5 out of 17 middle schools also where the food was provided by the same NGO. Hence, of the total sample of 34 schools, in 24 schools MDM cooked by VSS in schools premises while remaining 10 schools (in Jamui- urban block) food was provided to the students by the same NGO.

Table 2.1 shows that all sample primary and middle schools were receiving food grains within one month. It was also found that the food grains were delivered to the lifting agency within proper time in all sample primary and middle schools. It has also been observed that the quality of food supplied was as per FAQ mark in all sample primary and middle schools. It was also found that food grains were released after adjusting the unspent balance of the previous month in all the sample schools.

Table 2.1: Regularity in supply of Food Grains to Schools

| Sl | Particulars | No. of PS |  |  | No. of MS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & . \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{o} \end{aligned}$ |  | VSS/ Schools | NGO | Total | VSS/ Schools | NGO | Total |
| 1. | Food grains facility available in advance for One month | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| 2. | Food grains delivered to lifting agency within proper time | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 5 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| 3. | If lifting agency is not delivering the food grains to the school how is the food grains transported up to the schools |  | - |  |  | - |  |


| 4. | food grains is of <br> FAQ Mark - grade <br> A | $(120.0)$ | 5 <br> $(100.0)$ | 17 <br> $(100.0)$ | 12 <br> $(100.0)$ | 5 <br> $(100.0)$ | 17 <br> $(100.0)$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $5 .$food grains are <br> released to school <br> after adjusting the <br> unspent balance of <br> the previous month | 12 <br> $(100.0)$ | 5 <br> $(100.0)$ | 17 <br> $(100.0)$ | 12 <br> $(100.0)$ | 5 <br> $(100.0)$ | $(100.0)$ |  |
| Total No. of Schools |  | 12 <br> $(70.59)$ | 5 <br> $(29.41)$ | 17 <br> $(100.0)$ | 12 <br> $(70.59)$ | 5 <br> $(29.41)$ | 17 |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Timely Release of Funds

It was found that a timely release of funds was done regularly at State, District and Block-level to the all sample primary and middle schools of the district, as shown in Table-2.2.

Table 2.2: Timely Release of Funds

| S.No | Particulars | PS |  |  | MS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | VSS/Schools | NGO | Total | VSS/Schools | NGO | Total |
| 1 | State is releasing funds to District on regular basis in advance | 12 (100.0) | - | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | 12 (100.0) | - | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| 2 | District is releasing funds to Block on regular basis in advance | 12 (100.0) | - | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | 12 (100.0) | - | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| 3 | Block is releasing funds to School on regular basis in advance | 12 (100.0) | - | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | 12 (100.0) | - | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | otal No. of Schools | 12 (100.0) | - | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | 12 (100.0) | - | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Cost of Cooking Received

It was found that all sample primary and middle schools were receiving cooking cost regularly and in advance however NGOs get the funds post service through the district. As shown in Table-2.3, it was also found that E-transfer was the preferred mode of payment for the cooking cost in all sample schools and NGO.

Table 2.3: Availability of Cooking Cost

| S.No | Particulars |  | No. of PS |  |  | No. of MS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | School | NGO | Total | School | NGO | Total |
| 1 | No. of schools in cooking cost was received regularly and in advance |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 12 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 12 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | How muchdelay inreceiving thecooking costin advance | h Days | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2 |  | one <br> Months | - | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| 4 | In case of delay, how does the school/ implementing agency manages to ensure that there is no disruption in the feeding programme |  | - | $\begin{gathered} \text { NGO } \\ \text { Self } \\ \text { Manage } \end{gathered}$ |  | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NGO } \\ & \text { Self } \\ & \text { Manage } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 5 | Mode of payment of cooking Cost | By Cheque | ${ }^{-}$ | ${ }^{-}$ | - | ${ }^{-}$ | ${ }^{-}$ | - |
|  |  | E- <br> Transfer | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline 12 \\ (100.0) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (100.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ (100.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (100.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (100.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ (100.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Total No. of Schools |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (100.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (100.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ (100.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (100.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (100.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ (100.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Information Regarding Cooks Cum-Helpers

It was found that in all sample primary and middle schools, MDM was being cooked by the VSS appointed cook-cum-helper, 41 in primary and 64 in middle schools. In all the sample primary and middle schools the number cooks were sufficient as per GOI norms. The cooks were mostly females and their salary was Rs. 1000/ per month. The salary of the cooks was paid through the cheques on regular basis. Out of total appointed cook-cum-helpers, the share of general category cooks constituted merely 5 percent and 6 percent in primary and middle schools respectably. The OBC cooks were about 53 and 67 percent in primary and middle schools. The SC cooks were about 32 and 25 percent in primary and middle schools as evident from Table-2.4.

A training module is available for all cook-cum-helpers and MDM Coordinators (Blocks and district level) imparted the trainings in all sample primary and middle schools. Medical check-up of the cook-cum-helpers was done in only 29.26 percent primary and 42.18 percent of middle schools.

Table 2.4: Availability of Cook-cum-helpers

| Sl.No. | Particulars |  | No. of Schools |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | PS | MS |
| 1 | Mode of appointment of cook-cumhelper in Schools | By VSS/SMC | 17 (100.0) | 17 (100.0) |
| 2 | Number of schools in which cooks were sufficient in number as per GOI |  | 17 (100.0) | 17 (100.0) |
| 3 | No. of Cooks in schools | Male | 5(12.20) | 4(6.25) |
|  |  | Female | 36(87.80) | 60(93.75) |
|  |  | Total | 41(100.0) | 64(100.0) |
| 4 | Monthly salary of cook | Rs. 1000/- per month | 41(100.0) | 64(100.0) |
| 5 | Mode of Payment | By Cash | - | - |
|  |  | By Cheque | 41(100.0) | 64(100.0) |
| 6 | Payment is regular | Yes | 41(100.0) | 64(100.0) |
|  |  | No | - | - |
| 7 | No. of cooks per social category | 1. SC | 13(31.70) | 16(25.0) |
|  |  | 2. ST | - | - |
|  |  | $3 . \mathrm{OBC}$ | 22(53.66) | 43(67.18) |
|  |  | 4 .Minority | 4(9.76) | 1(1.57) |
|  |  | 5. Others(GEN) | 2(4.88) | 4(6.25) |
| 8. | Availability of Training Modules for Cooks | Yes | 41(100.0) | 64(100.0) |
|  |  | No | - | - |
| 9. | If Yes, provided modules | Yes | 41(100.0) | 64(100.0) |
|  |  | No | - | - |
| 10. | Training of Cooks | Yes | 41(100.0) | 64(100.0) |
|  |  | No | - | - |
| 11. | If Yes, what was the training venue | BRC | 41(100.0) | 64(100.0) |
| 12. | Trainers | MDM <br> Coordinators | 41(100.0) | 64(100.0) |
| 13. | Is the meal prepared and transported kitchen/ NGO, whether cook-cum-he engaged to serve the meal to the child | he Centralized rs have been at school level. | 5 (100.0) | 5 (100.0) |
| 14. | Is there any medical checkup of the cooks | Yes | 12(29.26) | 27(42.18) |
|  |  | No | 29(70.74) | 37(57.82) |

## Source: Primary Data Based.

## Regularity in Serving Meal

It was found that in all sample primary and middle schools, hot, cooked meal was provided to the students on a daily basis. Regularity in supplying of hot cooked meal to the students of these schools has been observed by enquiring from the students, teachers, parents and through MDM register (Table-2.5).

The list of sample primary and middle schools where was in last three months food not served due to some reasons is given in Annexure 2.5

Table 2.5: Regularity in Serving Meal

| Sl.No. | Particulars |  |  | No. of Schools |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | PS |  | MS |  |
|  |  |  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| 1. | Every day served Hot Cooked Meal |  |  | 17(100.0) | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 17 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | 0 |
| 2. | Last three months how much days food not served | January 15 | 1-8 | 2(100.0) |  | 2(100.0) |  |
|  |  |  | 8-15 | - |  | - |  |
|  |  |  | Total | 2(11.76) |  | 2(11.76) |  |
|  |  | February 15 | 1-8 | - |  | - |  |
|  |  |  | 8-15 | - |  | - |  |
|  |  |  | Total | - |  | - |  |
|  |  | March 15 | 1-8 | 2(50.0) |  | 2(66.67) |  |
|  |  |  | 8-15 | 2(50.0) |  | 1(33.33) |  |
|  |  |  | Total | 4(23.52) |  | 3(17.64) |  |
| 3. | Item (ii) Reason for not served food (Multiple response) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Jan. Food g | Food grains not available |  | 1(50.0) |  | 3(75.0) |  |
|  | MDM not supplied by NGO |  |  | 1(50.0) |  | 1(25.0) |  |
|  | Feb. $\quad$ Food g | Food grains not available |  | - |  | - |  |
|  | Feb $\quad$ MDM | MDM not supply |  | - |  | - |  |
|  | Mar. $\quad$ Food | Food grains not available |  | 4(100.0) |  | 2(66.67) |  |
|  | MDM not supplied by NGO |  |  | ${ }^{-}$ |  | 1(33.33) |  |
| Total No. of Schools |  |  |  | 17(100.0) |  | 17 (100.0) |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Quality and Quantity of Meals

The quality and quantity of MDM was examined and it was found that in 76.47 percent primary and 70.59 percent middle schools the quality of meal was good while, in the remaining schools it was found to be average. The quantity of the meal supplied in 76.47 percent primary and 82.35 percent middle schools was sufficient only. It was
found that all selected primary and middle schools were providing the prescribed quantity of meal to students.

Table 2.6: Quality and Quantity of Meal

| S.No. | Particulars |  | No. of Schools |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | PS | MS |
| 1. | Quality of meal | Good | 13(76.47) | 12(70.59) |
|  |  | Average | 4(23.53) | 5(29.41) |
|  |  | Bad | - |  |
| 2. | Quantity of meal | Sufficient | 13(76.47) | 14 (82.35) |
|  |  | Average | 4(23.53) | 3(17.65) |
|  |  | Less | - |  |
| 3. | Quantity of pulses used in the meal (20 gram/student PS and 30gram/student MS) |  | 17 (100.0) | 17 (100.0) |
| 4. | Quantity of green leafy vegetable in the meal ( 50 gram/student PS and 75 gram $/ \mathrm{student} \mathrm{MS)}$ |  | 17 (100.0) | 17 (100.0) |
| 5. | Iron / Iodine mixed salt used in Meal | Yes | 17 (100.0) | 17 (100.0) |
|  |  | No | - | - |
| 6. | Children were satisfied with the Served meal | Yes | 17 (100.0) | 17 (100.0) |
|  |  | No | - | - |
| 7. | Method for measuring the food grains and other item (Measure Kg ) |  | 17 (100.0) | 17 (100.0) |
| 8. | Method for measuring the served meal (According to Requirement) |  | 17 (100.0) | 17 (100.0) |
| 9. | Children were not satisfied the meal give Reasons |  | - | - |
| Total No. of Schools |  |  | 17 (100.0) | 17 (100.0) |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Variety of Menu

District authorities decided the weekly menu in all sample primary and middle schools. It was found that 52.94 percent primary and 76.47 percent middle schools display weekly menu at a noticeable place. All primary and middle schools follow weekly menu and use locally available ingredients. The students of all the sample schools get sufficient calories from MDM as is evident from Table 2.7.

Annexure 2.7 shows that schools were weekly menu was not displayed in school at a noticeable place.

Table 2.7: Variety of the Menu of MDM

| S.No. Particulars |  |  | No. of Schools |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | PS | MS |
| 1. | Who decides the weekly <br> menu | District Authorities | $17(100.0)$ | $17(100.0)$ |
| 2. | Weekly menu was displayed <br> at school noticeable place |  | $9(52.94)$ | $13(76.47)$ |
|  | No | $8(47.06)$ | $4(23.53)$ |  |
| 3. | If Yes, All people can see <br> the menu | Yes | $9(100.0)$ | $13(100.0)$ |
|  | No | - | - |  |
| 4. | Weakly menu followed | Yes | $17(100.0)$ | $17(100.0)$ |
|  |  | No | - | - |
| 5. | Menu includes locally <br> available in ingredients | Yes | No | $17(100.0)$ |
| 6. | Sufficient calories from <br> MDM | Yes | - | - |
|  | No | $17(100.0)$ | $17(100.0)$ |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Display of Information under Right to Education Act, 2009

It has been found that all the sample primary and middle schools which cooked MDM on their premises received only rice for the preparation of MDM. Daily menu has been shown at the appropriate place in the school. In the last month 29278 students of primary and 45716 students of sample middle schools have taken meal. Display of MDM Logo was found in 23.53 percent primary and middle schools each (Table-2.8.).

The list of sample primary and middle schools where there was not display MDM Logo on school campus given in Annexure 2.8

Table 2.8: Display of Information at the School level at Prominent Place

| S. No. | Particulars |  | No. of Schools |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PS | MS |  |
| 1 | Food Grain received | Wheat | - | - |
|  |  | Rice | $12(100.0)$ | $12(100.0)$ |
| 2 | Other material purchase \& use | $12(100.0)$ | $12(100.0)$ |  |
| 3 | Last month how many student take MDM | 29278 | 45716 |  |
| 4 | Daily Menu | $17(100.0)$ | $17(100.0)$ |  |
| 5 | Display MDM Logo on school Building | $4(23.53)$ | $4(23.53)$ |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Distribution of MDM

The number of students of primary and middle schools availing MDM was counted on the day of our visit. Their number was also verified from the MDM register. Table-2.9 indicates that 2646 students were enrolled in 17 primary and 4086 were enrolled in 17 middle schools of Jamui district. On the day of visit 70 percent students of
primary and 63 percent students of middle schools were present in the school. In all sample schools all children were availing meal as per MDM register on the day of visits.

Table 2.9: Children Availing MDM on the Day of Visit and as per School Registers

| S. | Particulars | No. of Schools |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  | PS | MS |
| 1 | No. of children enrolled in schools | 2646 |  |
|  | 4086 <br> $(100.0)$ | $100.0)$ |  |
| 2 | No. of children attending the school on the day of <br> visit | 1851 <br> $(69.95)$ | 2578 <br> $(63.09)$ |
| 3 | No. of children availing MDM as per MDM register | 1851 <br> $(100.0)$ | 2578 <br> $(100.0)$ |
|  | No. of children actually availing MDM on the day <br> of visit | 1851 <br> $(100.0)$ | 2578 <br> $(100.0)$ |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Seating Arrangement for Eating

Queue was observed for serving and seating arrangement for eating of food in all sample primary and middle schools of Jamui district. It has been observed that in none of the primary and middle schools gender, caste or community discrimination in cooking and serving or seating arrangement was observed, as shown in Table-2.10.

Table 2.10: Discrimination in Cooking, Serving and Seating Arrangement of Students

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sl. } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | Particulars | No. of Schools |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PS |  | MS |  |
|  |  | Seating arrangement in Queue | Scattered | Seating arrangement in Queue | Scattered |
| 1 | System of serving and seating arrangement for eating | 17 (100.0) | - | 17 (100.0) | - |
| 2 | Observe any gender or caste or community discrimination in cooking or serving or seating arrangement | PS |  | MS |  |
|  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No |
|  |  | - | 17 (100.0) | - | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ (100.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Total No. of schools | 17 (100.0) |  | 17 (100.0) |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Health Cards and Health Checkup

Issues regarding the child health care and related aspects were also examined in the district. It was found that health cards were maintained in 52.95 percent primary and 64.71 percent middle schools. The frequency of health check-up was once a year in all
sample primary and middle schools. As the Table 2.11 shows, all students of both primary and middle schools were given micronutrients medicine periodically by the teachers. It was found that schools having health card records have also maintained height and weight records. It was also observed that only 41.18 percent primary and 76.47 percent middle schools maintain first aid medical kit. All sample primary and middle schools have facility of dental and eye checkup, where health card found.

The names of the sample primary and middle schools where health cards were not maintained and first-aid kit was not available are given in Annexure 2.11.

Table 2.11: Health Cards, Health Checkup

| S.No. | Particulars |  |  | No. of Schools |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | PS |  | MS |  |
|  |  |  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| 1 | Health card maintained for each child in school |  |  | 9(52.95) | 8(47.05) | 11(64.71) | 6(35.29) |
| 2 | Frequency of health check-up | One tim |  | 9(100.0) |  | 11(100.0) |  |
| 3 | Whether children are given micronutrients medicine periodically | Iron, Fo Vitamin dosage, wormin | lic acid, <br> A <br> De- <br> g | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | - |
| 4 | If yes, Name of the department who administered these medicines | $\begin{gathered} \text { By } \\ \text { whom } \end{gathered}$ | Teachers | 17 (100.0) |  | 17 (100.0) |  |
|  |  | How many time | 1 time | 17 (100.0) |  | 17 (100.0) |  |
| 5 | Whether height and weight record of the children is being indicated in the school health card. |  |  | 9(100.0) | - | 11(100.0) | - |
| 6 | Whether any referral during the period of monitoring. |  |  | - | 9 (100.0) | - | 11(100.0) |
| 7. | Instances of medical emergency during the period of monitoring. |  |  |  | - | - | - |
| 8. | Availability of the first aid medical kit in the school. |  |  | 7(41.18) | 10(58.82) | 13(76.47) | 4(23.53) |
| 9. | Dental and eye check-up included in the screening. |  |  | 9(100) | - | 11(100.0) | - |
| 10. | If yes, distribution of spectacles to children suffering from refractive error. |  |  | - | 9 (100.0) | - | 11(100.0) |
|  | Total No. of school |  |  | 17 (100.0) |  | 17 (100.0) |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Drinking Water and Sanitation

Table 2.12 shows that 88.24 percent primary and 94.12 percent middle schools have potable water for drinking purposes in convergence with drinking water and sanitation in Jamui district. Multiple responses were received while surveying the source of potable water. In the all primary and 81.25 percent middle schools water was available through local hand pump. It was found that 18.75 percent middle school water was available through India marka hand pump.

Table 2.12: Drinking Water and Sanitation

| Sl.No. | Particulars |  | No. of Schools |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | PS |  | M |  |
|  |  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| 1 | Whether potable for drinking purp with Drinking W | er is available in convergence and Sanitation | 15(88.24) | 2(11.7) | 16(94.12) | 1(5.88) |
| 2 | Available of potable water (Multiple Response) | Local Hand pump | 15(100.0) |  | 13(81.25) |  |
|  |  | India Marka II Hand pump | ${ }^{-}$ |  | 3(18.75) |  |
| 3. | Which scheme (Multiple Response) | SSA Scheme | 15(100.0) |  | 13(81.25) |  |
|  |  | PHED | - |  | 3(18 |  |
| Total No. of Schools |  |  | 17 (100 | 0.0) | 17 (100 |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Availability of Ceasefire in School

According to information it was found that 58.82 percent sample primary and 52.94 percent middle schools have ceasefire as shown in Table 2.13.

Annexure 2.13 has the name of schools where ceasefire was not available.
Table 2.13: Availability of Ceasefire in Schools

| S1.No. | Particulars | No. of Schools |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PS |  | No | Yes |
|  |  | Yes | No |  |  |
| 1. | Ceasefire Available | $10(58.82)$ | $7(41.18)$ | $9(52.94)$ | $8(47.06)$ |
| 2. | If yes, Name of ceasefire | ABC Life Guard cease fire |  |  |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Kitchen Devices

Table 2.14 shows the availability of kitchen utensils in schools. The data shows that the kitchens in all sample primary, middle schools and the centralized kitchen in the NGO had cooking utensils. Almost the kitchens in the primary and middle schools were funded for kitchen devices through MME funds while the NGO was manage through self resource. During the monitoring it was observed that only 58.82 percent primary and 88.24 percent middle schools had available plates for eating and where eating plates was found, only 70 percent primary and 60 percent middle schools plates had sufficient.

The list of schools where eating plates were not sufficient is given in Annexure 2.14.

Table 2.14: Kitchen Devices

| Sl. <br> No. | Particulars |  | No. of Schools |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | PS |  |  | MS |  |  |
|  |  |  | Self | NGO | Total | Self | NGO | Total |
| 1 | Cooking utensils are available in the school |  | 12 $(70.59)$ | 5 $(29.41)$ | 17 $(100.0)$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (70.59) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (29.41) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| 2. | Cooking utensils are available sufficient | Sufficient | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | Partial | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 3 | Source of funding for cooking and serving utensils kitchen devices | Kitchen devices Fund | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (83.33) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (83.33) \end{gathered}$ | 11 (91.67) | - | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ (91.67) \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | MME | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (16.67 \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (16.67) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (8.33) \end{gathered}$ | - | 1 $(8.33)$ |
|  |  | Own source by NGO | - | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | 5 $(100.0)$ |
| 4 | Eating plates etc. are available in the school |  | 10 (58.82) |  |  | 15 (88.24) |  |  |
| 5 | If yes, utensils kitchen devices sufficient |  | 7 (70.0) |  |  | 9 (60.0) |  |  |
| 6 | Source of Eating plates | MDM | 10 (100.0) |  |  | 15 (100.0) |  |  |
| Total No. of Schools |  |  | 17 (100.0) |  |  | 17 (100.0) |  |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Infrastructure of Kitchen

Information related to infrastructure of kitchen in sample primary and middle schools in Jamui district was analyzed. It was found that 47.06 percent in sample primary and 82.35 percent middle schools were having kitchen. The pucca kitchen cum store was
available in 87.5 percent primary and 42.86 percent in middle schools while only kitchen was available in 12.5 percent primary and 57.14 percent middle schools. The pucca kitchen-cum-store was constructed and being used in all primary and 66.67 percent middle schools while only kitchens were constructed and being used in all primary and 75.0 percent middle schools.

Kitchen-cum-store was constructed through MDM in 38 percent primary schools and 43 percent in middle schools while 50 percent kitchen-cum-stores in primary schools were constructed through SSA. Similarly, only kitchen were constructed through MDM in 12.5 percent primary and 35.71 percent schools while through SSA in 21.43 percent middle schools. Kitchen has been constructed but not in use in 4 middle schools as MDM is provided by the NGO. Kitchen has not been sanctioned in 52.94 percent primary and 17.65 percent middle schools.

2 primary and 2 middle schools prepared MDM in additional rooms and 2 primary schools prepared MDM in open field. 58.33 percent primary and 33.33 percent middle schools store their food grains and other ingredients in kitchen-cum-store while the remaining 41.67 percent primary and 66.67 percent middle schools respectively store them in additional rooms. All sample primary and middle schools have kitchen and store away from classrooms. In all sample primary and middle schools food is prepared by firewood.

The list of schools where the kitchen is not available is given in Annexure 2.15.

Table 2.15: Infrastructure of Kitchen

| Sl.No. | Particulars |  |  | No. of Schools |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | PS | MS |
|  | Kitchens are available |  |  | 8(47.06) | 14(82.35) |
| 1 | No. of school in which pucca kitchen-cum store available | Kitchen-cum-store |  | 7(87.5) | 6(42.86) |
|  |  | Kitchen |  | 1(12.5) | 8(57.14) |
|  | No. of school in which pucca kitchen constructed and used | Kitchen-cum -store |  | 7(100.0) | 4(66.67) |
| (a) |  | Kitchen |  | 1(100.0) | 6(75.0) |
| (b) | Under whichscheme <br> Kitchen- <br> constructed | MDM | Kitchen cum store | 3(37.5) | 6(42.86) |
|  |  |  | Kitchen | 1(12.5) | 5(35.71) |
|  |  | SSA | Kitchen cum store | 4(50.0) | - |
|  |  |  | Kitchen | - | 3(21.43) |
| (c) | Constructed but not in use (because MDM provided by NGO) |  |  | - | 4(28.57) |
| (d) | Not sanctioned |  |  | 9(52.94) | 3(17.65) |


| 2 | In case the pucca kitchencum store is not available, where is the food being cooked? | Additional Room | 2(11.76) | 2(11.76) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Open field | 2(11.76) | - |
|  |  | NGO Supply | 5(100.0) | 5(100.0) |
| 3 | Food grains/ other ingredients are being stored? | Kitchen cum store | 7(58.33) | 4(33.33) |
|  |  | Kitchen | - | - |
|  |  | Additional Room | 5(41.67) | 8(66.67) |
| 4 | Kitchen-cum-store is neat and cleaned | Kitchen cum store | 7(100.0) | 4(40.0) |
|  |  | Kitchen | 1(100.0) | 6(60.0) |
| 5 | Kitchen \& store away from class room of schools |  | 12(100.0) | 12(100.0) |
| 6 | MDM is being cooked by using firewood or LPG based cooking? | Fire wood | 12(100.0) | 12(100.0) |
|  |  | Coal | - | - |
|  |  | LPG | - | - |
| 7 | On any day there was interruption due to non-availability of firewood or LPG? |  | ${ }^{-}$ | ${ }^{-}$ |
| Total | . of School $\quad$ MDM | Supplied by School | 12(70.59) | 12(70.59) |
|  | MDM | Supplied by NGO | 5(29.41) | 5(29.41) |
|  | Total |  | 17(100.0) | 17(100.0) |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Availability of Covered Drums

As Table 2.16 indicates only 35.29 percent primary and 41.18 percent middle schools have covered drums for storage the food grains. Covered drums in all sample primary and middle schools were made available through MME scheme.

List of schools where covered drums for food grains are not available is given in

## Annexure 2.16.

Table 2.16: Availability of Covered Drum

| Sl.No. | Particulars |  | No. of Schools |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | PS | No | MS | No |
| 1 | Availability of cover drum of food grains in school |  | 6(35.29) | 11(64.71) | 7(41.18) | 10(58.82) |
| 2. | If yes, which scheme | SSA through |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Management, Monitoring \& Evaluation |  | 0.0) |  | 0.0) |
|  | Total No. of School |  | 17(1 | 00.0) | 17(100 | 00.0) |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Availability of Toilets

It was found that in 64.71 percent primary and 88.24 percent middle schools have separate toilet for boys and girls. 35.29 percent primary and 58.82 percent middle schools have common toilets.

Annexure 2.17 contains the list of sample schools which do not have separate toilet for boys and girls or common toilets are not available.

Table 2.17: Availability of Toilets

| Sl. | Particulars | No. of Schools |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  | PS |  | MS |  |  |
|  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No |  |
| 1 | Availability of separate toilet for <br> boys and girls in school | $11(64.71)$ | $6(35.29)$ | $15(88.24)$ | $2(11.76)$ |  |
| 2. | If yes, Proper use of toilet | $11(100.0)$ | - | $15(100.0)$ | - |  |
| 3 | Is there available common toilet | $6(35.29)$ | $11(64.71)$ | $10(58.82)$ | $7(41.18)$ |  |
| 4 | If yes, Proper use of toilet | $6(100.0)$ | - | $10(100.0)$ | - |  |
|  | Total No. of School | $17(100.0)$ |  |  | $17(100.0)$ |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Availability of Computer in Schools

As Table 2.18 indicates only 29.41 percent middle schools have computers in school. None of the primary schools possess IT infrastructure/ computers. Although 29.41 percent middle schools have computers, none of them have an internet connection and thus cannot use any IT enabled services.

Table 2.18: Availability of IT infrastructure /Computers

| Sl. <br> No. | Particulars |  |  |  |  | No. of Schools |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PS |  | MS |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | Is computer available in school | - | $17(100.0)$ | $5(29.41)$ | $12(70.59)$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2. | If yes give the no. of computer | - |  | $15(100.0)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. | Available of internet connection | - | - | - | $5(100.0)$ |  |  |  |  |
| 4. | Using any IT/ IT enable services <br> based (like E-learning etc.) | - | - | - | $5(100.0)$ |  |  |  |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Impression of Environment, Safety and Hygiene

Environment, safety and hygiene were found satisfactory in majority of the primary and good impression in most of the middle schools. As Table 2.19 shows that environment was good in 41 percent of primary and 53 percent middle schools. Safety was good in 29 percent primary and 53 percent middle schools. The hygiene was observed to be in good condition in 29.41 percent primary and 47.06 percent middle schools. Environment was satisfactory in 59 percent primary and 47 percent middle
schools. Safety level was satisfactory in 70.59 percent primary and 47.06 percent middle schools.

Table 2.19: General Impression of Environment, Safety and Hygiene

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sl. } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | Particulars | No. of Schools |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PS |  |  | MS |  |  |
|  |  | Good | Satisfactory | Unsatisfactory | Good | Satisfactory | Unsatisfactory |
| 1 | Environment | 7(41.18) | 10(58.82) | - | 9(52.94) | 8(47.06) | - |
| 2 | Safety | 5(29.41) | 12(70.59) | - | 9(52.94) | 8(47.06) | - |
| 3 | Hygiene | 5(29.41) | 12(70.59) | - | 8(47.06) | 9(52.94) | - |
| 4 | Total No. of School | 17(100.0) |  |  | 17(100.0) |  |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Encouragement of Children to Adopt Good Practices

As shown in Table-2.20, in 94.12 percent primary and same percentage in middle schools, children were encouraged to wash their hands before and after meals. Children were encouraged to receive MDM in orderly manner in all sample primary and middle schools. In 70.59 percent primary and 52.94 percent middle schools children were educated about conservation of water. Cooking process and storage of fuel was found to be safe from fire hazard in all primary and middle schools.

Annexure 2.20 shows that name of schools where student were not washed hand before and after Eating

Table 2.20: Encouragement to Children to adopt Good Practices

| Sl. <br> No. | Particulars | No. of Schools |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PS | No | MS | No |  |
| 1 | Children encouraged to wash hands <br> before and after eating | $16(94.12)$ | $1(5.88)$ | $16(94.12)$ | $1(5.88)$ |  |
| 2 | Children take MDM in an orderly | $17(100.0)$ | - | $17(100.0)$ | - |  |
| 3 | Conservation of water in school | $12(70.59)$ | $5(29.41)$ | $9(52.94)$ | $8(47.06)$ |  |
| 4 | Cooking process and storage of fuel is <br> safe from fire hazard. | $17(100.0)$ | - | $17(100.0)$ | - |  |
| 5 | Total No. of School | $17(100.0)$ |  | $17(100.0)$ |  |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Tasting Meal before Serving to Students

Before the meal was served to students it was tasted by the teachers, VSS and parents. It was found that MDM was tasted daily by teachers of all primary and middle schools. It was seldom tasted by VSS and parents in all primary and middle schools.

Table 2.21: Tasting Meal before Serving to Students

| Sl. <br> No. Particulars |  | No. of Schools |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PS |  | MS |  |
|  |  | Daily | Seldom | Daily | Seldom |
| 1 | Tasted by Teacher | $17(100.0)$ | - | $17(100.0)$ | - |
| 2 | Tasted by VSS | - | $17(100.0)$ | - | $17(100.0)$ |
| 3 | Tasted by Parents | - | $17(100.0)$ | - | $17(100.0)$ |
| Total No. of School |  | $17(100.0)$ |  | $17(100.0)$ |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Community Participation and Awareness

Around 12 percent parents of primary school children and 6 percent parents of middle schools children supervised MDM on a daily basis and found it to be good, 88.24 percent primary and 94.12 percent middle schools were satisfactory. In case of VSS it was 29.41 percent in primary and 35.29 percent in middle schools good, 70.59 percent primary and 64.71 percent middle schools were satisfactory. Supervision by Panchayat/urban bodies was found good in 17.65 percent primary and 5.88 percent middle schools and 82.35 percent primary and 94.12 percent middle schools satisfactory.

At the time of monitoring of MDM, it was rated as satisfactory by 82.25 percents parents, 70.59 percent VSSs and 88.24 percent panchayat/urban bodies in primary schools. Similarly 94.12 percents parents, 70.59 percent SMC/VSSs and 82.25 percent panchayat/urban bodies in middle schools rated the monitoring of MDM as satisfactory. MDM was monitored to be good by 17.65 percent parents, 29.41 percent VSSs and 11.76 percent panchayat/urban bodies in primary schools and 5.88 percent parents, 29.41 percent VSSs and 17.65 percent panchayat/urban bodies in middle schools.

Table 2.22: Participation of Parents/VSSs/Urban bodies in Monitoring of MDM

| $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Sl. } \\ \text { No } \end{array}$ | Particulars | PS |  |  | MS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Parents | VSSs | Panchayat/ Urban bodies | Parents | VSSs | Panchayat/ Urban bodies |
| Supervision of Daily MDM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Good | 2(11.76) | 5(29.41) | 3(17.65) | 1(5.88) | 6(35.29) | 1(5.88) |
| 2 | Satisfactory | 15(88.24) | 12(70.59) | 14(82.35) | 16(94.12) | 11(64.71) | 16(94.12) |
| 3 | None | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Monitoring of MDM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Good | 3(17.65) | 5(29.41) | 2(11.76) | 1(5.88) | 5(29.41) | 3(17.65) |
| 2 | Satisfactory | 14(82.35) | 12(70.59) | 15(88.24) | 16(94.12) | 12(70.59) | 14(82.35) |
| 3 | None | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 4 | Total No. of school | 17(100.0) |  |  | 17(100.0) |  |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Awareness about MDM

It was found that community members maintained roaster for supervision of MDM in 76.47 percent primary and 82.35 percent middle schools. Table 2.23 shows that 64.71 percent primary and 58.82 percent middle schools have social audit mechanism in the school.

The list of schools where roster was not being maintained by the community members for supervision of the MDM is given in Annexure 2.23.

Table 2.23: Awareness regarding MDM

| Sl. <br> No. | Particulars | No. of Schools |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PS |  | MS |  |
|  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| 1 | Roster being maintained by the community members for supervision of the MDM | 13(76.47) | 4(23.93) | 14(82.35) | 3(17.65) |
| 2 | Is there any social audit mechanism in the school | 11(64.71) | 6(35.29) | 10(58.82) | 7(41.18) |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Numbers of VSS Meetings

Table 2.24 shows that VSSs meeting was found was one or two times in 11.76 percent primary and 23.53 percent middle schools, three or four times in 29.41 percent
primary and 35.29 percent middle schools, 5 times and above in 58.83 percent primary and 41.18 percent middle schools. The frequency of VSS meeting for MDM related discussion was one or two times in 29.41 percent primary and 23.53 percent middle schools, three to four times in 41.18 percent primary and 47.06 percent middle schools, 5 times and above scenarios in 29.41 percent primary and 29.41 percent middle schools.

Table 2.24: Numbers of VSS Meetings

| Sl. <br> No. | Particulars | PS |  |  |  | MS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 1-2 \\ \text { time } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3-4 \\ \text { time } \end{gathered}$ | $5 \&$ above time | Total | $\begin{gathered} 1-2 \\ \text { time } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3-4 \\ \text { time } \end{gathered}$ | 5 \& above time | Total |
| 1. | No. of VSS meeting till monitoring time | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (11.76) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (29.41) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (58.83) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (23.53) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ (35.29) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ (41.18) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| 2. | No. of VSS meeting to MDM related discussion | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (29.41) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ (41.18) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (29.41) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (23.53) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (47.06) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (29.41) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Total No. of school |  | 17 (100.0) |  |  |  | 17 (100.0) |  |  |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Availability of Inspection Registers

The regular inspection of MDM was reported in all sample primary and middle schools of the district. Inspection register was available at school level in 88.24 percent primary and in all middle schools. It has been found that 35.29 percent primary and 64.71 percent middle schools have received fund under MME component.

Table 2.25: Inspection and Supervision of MDM by Educational Authorities

| Sl. <br> No. | Particulars | No. of Schools |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  |  | PS |  | MS |  |
|  | Yes | No | Yes | No |  |
| 1 | Is there any Inspection Register <br> available at school level? | 15 <br> $(88.24)$ | 2 <br> $(11.76)$ | 17 <br> $(100.0)$ | - |
| 2 | Whether school has received any <br> funds under MME component? | 6 <br> $(35.29)$ | 11 | 11 | 6 |
| $(64.71)$ | $(64.71)$ | $(35.29)$ |  |  |  |
| 3 | Is regular inspections of MDM | 17 | - | 17 | - |
| $(100.0)$ |  | $(100.0)$ |  |  |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Inspection and Supervision of MDM

Regular inspection of the MDM food was be done in all sample primary and middle schools of the district. The inspection was also done by the BEO, MDM Coordinator, CRC Coordinator, and DPO. As Table 2.26 shows in MDM Coordinator in primary and CRC Coordinator in middle schools maximum inspection and supervision were done in Jamui district. There were no state level level inspecting authorities. Maximum inspections were made by block level authorities in primary and CRC level authorities in middle schools. Mostly this inspection was made often in both categories of schools.

Table 2.26: Inspections and Supervision of MDM
(Multiple Responses)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sl. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Particulars |  | No. of Schools |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | PS | MS |
| 1 | Regular inspection of the MDM food |  | 17(100.0) | 17(100.0) |
| 2 | Inspection by | BEO | 10(21.74) | 8(18.18) |
|  |  | MDM Coordinator | 17(36.95) | 12(27.27) |
|  |  | CRC Coordinator | 14(30.43) | 17(38.64) |
|  |  | BRP | - | - |
|  |  | DPO | 5(10.86) | 7(15.91) |
|  |  | VES | - | - |
| 3 | Inspecting authority | District | 15(32.61) | 11(25.0) |
|  |  | Block | 17(36.96) | 16(36.36) |
|  |  | CRC | 14(30.43) | 17(38.64) |
|  |  | Others | - | - |
| 4 | Frequency of inspections | Daily | - | - |
|  |  | Weekly | - | - |
|  |  | Fortnightly | - | - |
|  |  | Monthly | 14(30.43) | 17(38.64) |
|  |  | Often | 32(69.57) | 27(61.36) |
| 5 | If any, then Remark made by the visiting of officers | Good Quality of MDM should be provided. | No Any Remark | No Any Remark |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Impact of MDM

As indicated in Table 2.27 impact of MDM in all primary and middle schools has improved enrollment of students, attendance of students and full time presence of students in schools.

Table 2.27: Impact of the MDM

| Sl. <br> No. | Particulars |  | No. of Schools |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | Mid day meal improved | Enrollment of student | PS | MS |
|  | Attendance of student | $17(100.0)$ | $17(100.0)$ |  |
|  |  | Present of students full <br> time in school | $17(100.0)$ | $17(100.0)$ |
| Total No. of Schools |  |  |  | $17(100.0)$ |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Social Harmony

In all primary and middle schools MDM has improved social harmony and nutritional status of children. Table 2.28 shows that there is no other incidental benefit due to serving of meal in schools.

Table 2.28: Social Harmony

| Sl. <br> No. | Particulars |  |  |  | No. of Schools |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PS |  | MS |  |  |  |  |
|  | Yes | No | Yes | No |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Whether mid day meal has helped in <br> improvement of the social harmony | 17 <br> $(100.0)$ | - | 17 | - |  |  |  |
| 2 | Whether mid day meal has helped in <br> improvement of the nutritional <br> status of the children. | 17 <br> $(100.0)$ | - | 17 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Is there any other incidental benefit <br> due to serving of meal in schools | - | 17 <br> $(100.0)$ | - |  |  |  |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Grievance Redressal Mechanism

As Table 2.29 indicates that all primary and middle schools have grievances redressal mechanism in the district for MDMs and the district/block and school have toll free number.

Table 2.29: Grievance Redressal Mechanisms

| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Sl. } \\ \text { No. }\end{array}$ | Narticulars | No. of Schools |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PS |  | MS |  |
|  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| 1 |  | $\begin{array}{c}17 \\ (100.0)\end{array}$ | - | 17 | - |
| $(100.0)$ |  |  |  |  |$]$

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Views of Investigator on other Issues of MDM Implementation

The investigators opined that monitoring and evaluation of MDM should be regular in all primary and middle schools. Use of wheat in MDM should be increased in 29.41 percent primary and 52.94 percent middle schools. LPG should be used for cooking in 70.59 percent primary and 47.06 percent middle schools. Use of green vegetables must be encouraged in 17.65 percent primary and 23.52 percent middle schools in the Jamui district as shown in Table-2.30.

Table 2.30: Views and Observations of Investigators

| Sl. |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Issues relevant to MDM implementation | No. of Schools |  |
|  |  | PS | MS |
| 1 | Monitoring \& Evaluation of MDM team should be <br> regular basis | $10(58.82)$ | $12(70.59)$ |
| 2 | LPG should be ensured for cooking | $12(70.59)$ | $18(47.06)$ |
| 3 | Wheat also should be provided for MDM | $5(29.41)$ | $9(52.94)$ |
| 4 | Use of Green Vegetable must be used in MDM | $3(17.65)$ | $4(23.52)$ |
| Total No. of Schools |  |  |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Chapter III

## Centralized Kitchen in District Jamui

## Introduction

The primary objective of the MDM scheme is to provide hot cooked meal to children of primary and middle classes; with other objectives of improving nutritional status of children, encouraging poor children, belonging to disadvantaged sections, to attend school more regularly and help them concentrate on classroom activities, thereby increasing the enrolment, retention and attendance rates.

To ensure proper and complete implementation of the scheme, two models have been designed and are in practice.


- In the decentralized model the meals are cooked for an exact number of students in the school, by a cook, helper, and organizer, right on the school premises and the fresh meal is served to the children.
- In the centralized model, mostly through a public-private partnership, an external organization cooks and delivers the meal to schools. The advantages of centralized kitchen include ensuring the provision of hygienic and nutritious food as well as allowing for the optimum utilization of infrastructural facilities. The centralized kitchen model offers the benefit of preparing a cooked meal under strict supervision in a safe environment ensuring hygienic standard and provision of quality food to a large number of children in time. This model addresses the challenges of poor infrastructure, susceptibility to fire accidents and drain on teaching time faced by schools by attempting to prepare the meal themselves.

Further, the transport of food is closely monitored and delivered to the schools at the stipulated time.

## Organization

During the monitoring and evaluation of MDM programme in Jamui district, it was found that Dayawati Educational and Charitable Society (NGO) served the MDM in Jamui- urban from the year 2010-11.

## No. of Schools and Students Receiving MDM from NGO

Out of the 17 primary and 17 middle schools, it was found that MDM was cooked and supplied by Dayawati Educational and Charitable Society for 5 primary and also in 5 sample middle schools. The survey also shows that in total 66 schools (26 PS and 40 MS) of the district Jamui are served MDM prepared by the NGO. Hence a total of 24138 students from the 15260 primary schools and 8878 students from the middle schools of the block receive MDM prepared by the NGO in the district.

## Location and Area of Centralized Kitchen

The centralized kitchen running by NGO in Jamui is located in the urban area and its total covered area approximately 3604 sq . ft.

## Surrounding and Accessibility of Centralized Kitchen

The quality was good level of the centralized kitchen with respect to its atmosphere surroundings. The centralized kitchen in Jamui-Urban block was rated as also good for accessibility.

## Infrastructural Facilities in Centralized Kitchen

The infrastructural facilities in the centralized kitchen in district Jamui, different types of facilities were surveyed to analyze the infrastructural facilities. The survey reveals the following observations:
i. Food ITEMS: Adequate space was available to receive the food grains/food articles. Regarding the cleanliness of the food items received, the rating given was good location. Location received dry food grains.
ii. Storage space for food items was adequate in sample centralized kitchen. The cleanliness of the storage space was rated fair locations. Also, the storage space was found to be dry, well lit and ventilated location.
iii. There was adequate space for Pre-Preparation of MDM sample kitchen. Cleanliness during pre-preparation was fair kitchen. The space was dry, well-lit and ventilated centralized kitchens.
iv. COOKING SPACE was adequate, dry, well lit and ventilated in the sample centralized kitchens. Cleanliness maintained during cooking was rated as good. FOOD ASSEMBLY AND SERVING SPACE was adequate, dry, well-lit and ventilated. Similarly, the cleanliness maintained in this area was also rated as good locations.
v. The WASHING AREA of centralized kitchen was found to be adequate in space, dry, well lit and ventilated. However, on the cleanliness parameter, the area was rated as good.

## Procurement and Storage of Raw Food Items in Centralized Kitchen

The information regarding procurement and storage of raw food items in the sample centralized kitchen. It was observed that in any single purchase, the maximum purchase made was on cereals, followed by pulses, then fats and oils, and then spices then vegetables. The frequency of purchase was monthly for all food items except for vegetable which were bought on a daily basis. It was found that all the raw food items were stored in plastic containers in centralized kitchens. Along with this, laminated gunny bags were also used to store cereals, pulses vegetables and spices. Along with plastic containers to store fats and oils, tin containers were also being used.

## Positioning of Container/Bags of Raw Food Items

The positions of the storage containers / bags of the raw foods' items in the centralized kitchen as observed in the field, the containers/bags were placed on a raised platform.

## Type of Quality Parameters Verified in Raw Food Items

The different types of quality parameters which were verified in the raw food items received in the sample centralized kitchen. Stones, insects, over-ripeness and bad odor were checked for in the raw food items.

## Source, Availability and Storage of water in Centralized Kitchen

The data regarding source, availability and storage of water in the sample centralized kitchen. It was found that source of water was bore-well available and water was stored on covered utensils in centralized kitchens.

## Preparation of MDM in Centralized Kitchens

The survey revealed that regarding the preparation of MDM in the centralized kitchen the food items were washed before preparation. LPG and steam was used for preparing food in sample centralized kitchens. It was observed that after preparation, food items were kept covered. Also, the maximum time lapse between preparing and packaging of food was found to be two hour. Steel drums and steel buckets were used to pack food by kitchen. Likewise, clean packing material was used in the kitchen.

## Quality of Spices and Salt Used for Preparation of MDM

It was found that only seal-packed Agmark spices were used for preparation of MDM in centralized kitchen. Also, double fortified salt (iron and iodine) was used in sample kitchen.

## Organization of the Centralized Kitchen

## Management of Uneaten Food Left by Students in Schools

It was observed that management of food left uneaten by children in schools in the urban block of District Jamui the leftover food was packed and taken home by cook cum helpers.

## Methods of Washing of Utensils in Centralized Kitchen

Scrubber, detergent/soap and water were used to wash the kitchen utensils in centralized kitchen.

## Staff Details of Centralized Kitchen

Total 64 persons were employed in the central kitchen. The kitchen had 1 kitchen and store in-charge each; 1 purchase in-charge and 1 head cooks, 16 cooks. The remaining employees were handlers and distributors, guards and sweepers.

## Personal Hygiene of Staff in Centralized Kitchen

It was observed that personal hygiene maintained by the employees of the sample central kitchens clean uniforms, wearing of headgears, short and clean fingernails, gloveswearing while handling food was in practice. Central kitchens had toilet facility for staff. None of the staff suffered from cold, cough, throat infection or diarrhea etc. No unhygienic activities were observed among the food handlers.

## Methods of Kitchen-Waste Disposal

The survey shows that various methods of kitchen-waste disposal used in centralized kitchen. Kitchen used garbage bins with lids for waste disposal. Garbage bins were removed from the kitchen premises at frequent intervals, emptied and cleaned. It was also found that no garbage was found lying around in the vicinity of the sample centralized kitchen.

## Modes for Transporting MDM to Schools

It was found that the NGO used 10 Vans for transporting food from the centralized kitchen to the schools in the catchment areas .

## Precautions Taken during Transporting MDM

Various precautions were observed by the centralized kitchens while transporting MDM to the schools. The survey revealed that centralized kitchen used properly covered containers during transporting food in vehicles. The food compartment of the vehicles was kept clean and dry and a person accompanied the packed food from the centralized kitchens to schools.

## Estimation of MDM on Different Parameters

The appearance and texture of the food was rated as fair. The taste and smell of the food prepared in centralized kitchen was rated as also fair.

The overall acceptability of MDM, prepared and provided to the students of primary and middle schools by sample centralized kitchens, was rated as good.

## Chapter IV

## Major Findings

Monitoring and evaluation of Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan (SSA) was conducted by the Giri Institute of Development Studies, Lucknow during 6th to 20th April, 2015. The survey covered 17 primary and 17 middle schools in the Jamui district as suggested by the Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education and Literacy, Government of India. Besides monitoring and evaluation of SSA programme in the district, the working of MDM was also monitored and evaluated. The focus of monitoring of MDM was limited to cover only key components of the MDM programme. These components of MDM for monitoring and evaluations were suggested by the Ministry. On the basis of field survey of primary and middle schools, following conclusions about the MDM programme have been arrived at:

- Out of the 17 primary and 17 middle sample schools, it was found that MDM was cooked and supplied by NGO namely, Dayawati Educational and Charitable Society for 5 primary and 5 sample middle schools also. Rest of sample schools MDM cooked in premises of schools campus.
- During the monitoring and evaluation of MDM programme in Jamui district, it was found that Dayawati Educational and Charitable Society (NGO) served the MDM in Jamui- urban block from the year 2010-11.
- During the visits of schools of district Jamui all sample primary and middle schools reported to have received food grains within one month and its quality was good.
- There was reported timely release of MDM funds from state, district and blocklevel in all primary and middle schools of the district. It was also observed that there were all sample schools where MDM cooked have received MDM fund in advance and regularly.
- It was found that all sample schools hot cooked meal was provided to students regularly. This fact was confirmed from students, teachers, parents and from MDM registers of the concerning schools.
- Due to unavailability of food grains and meals not supplied by NGO etc in 4 PS 4 MS in few days of last three months food not served to the students.
- There was no difference between the number of students for whom the MDM was prepared and those who got the MDM.
- MDM was cooked by VSS appointed cook-cum-helpers. Majority of cooks were females ( 88 percent in PS and 94 percent in MS) and of OBC castes and they were paid monthly salary of Rs.1000/ regularly through the cheques.
- A training module is available for all cook-cum-helpers and MDM Coordinators (Blocks and district level) imparted the trainings in all sample primary and middle schools.
- The quality and quantity of MDM was examined and it was found that in 76 percent primary and 71 percent middle schools the quality of meal was good while, in the remaining schools it was found to be average.
- The MDM was served by cooks and the students received MDM in queue. There was no difference between MDM registers and head count of students on the day of visit of monitoring team to the sample schools.
- The menu was displaced at noticeable places in 53 percent primary and 76 middle schools and it is also verified that the all sample schools followed the menu in Jamui district.
- MDM logo was displayed in 24 percent of the sample PS and same percentage was found in MS also.
- On the day of visit 70 percent students of primary and 63 percent students of middle schools were present in the school. On the day of visits in all sample schools all children were actually availing meal and as per MDM register also was found same.
- It has been observed that in none of the sample primary and middle schools gender, caste or community discrimination in cooking and serving or seating arrangement in Jamui district.
- Health cards were maintained in 53 percent primary and 65 percent middle schools. Likewise students of all sample primary and middle schools were given micronutrients medicine by teachers.
- The availability of potable water through difference sources was found in 88 percent sample primary and 94 percent middle schools.
- It was found that 59 percent sample primary and 53 percent middle schools have ceasefire.
- The kitchen utensils were available in all sample primary and middle schools.
- In all the sample primary and middle schools, food was cooked using fire wood and NGO was used LPG and steam for cooked the meals in the Jamui district.
- The availability of covered drums was found in 35 percent primary and 41percent middle schools. Cover drums were reported to have been purchased from MME funds.
- Separate toilets for boys and girls were available in only 65 percent primary and 88 percent middle schools.
- The Computers was available in only 5 (29.41 percent) middle schools only.
- The condition of environment, safety and hygiene was satisfactory in all the sample primary and middle schools.
- The students were seen receiving the MDM in queue in all the schools. Cooking process and storage of fuel were found to be safe from fire hazards in also all sample schools. In 70.59 percent primary and 52.94 percent middle schools children were educated about conservation of water.
- The meal was tasted by the teachers, members of the VSS and parents before it was served to the students.
- The awareness of parents and community about MDM was found to be satisfactory in most of the schools.
- The frequency of VSS meeting for MDM related discussion was observed and it was also found that community members maintained roaster for supervision of MDM in 76.47 percent primary and 82.35 percent middle schools.
- Inspection and supervision MDM by district and block officials on regular basis covered all schools
- The impact of MDM was found to be positive in all sample primary and middle schools. MDM has improved enrollment of students, attendance of students and full time presence of students in all schools.
- In all sample primary and middle schools MDM has improved social harmony and nutritional status of students and all schools have grievances redressal mechanism in the district for MDM and the district, block and school have toll free number.
- The views of investigators about different aspect of implementation of MDM in the district were found to be positive. The investigators views were that monitoring and evaluation of MDM should be regular basis at school level, Wheat also should be provided to schools for MDM and LPG should be ensured for cooking instead of woods.
- It was found that MDM was also cooked and supplied Dayawati Educational and Charitable Society (A NGO) for total 66 schools ( 26 PS and 40 MS ) in the district of Jamui. Hence a total of 24138 students, (from the 15260 primary schools and 8878 students from the middle schools) were benefited with MDM cooked by centralized kitchen managed by NGO.
- The overall acceptability of MDM, prepared and provided to the students of primary and middle schools by sample centralized kitchens, was rated as good.


## ANNEXURE

Annexure 2.5

| In Last three months, few days food not served due to Various Reasons |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Primary Schools |  |  |  |
| 1 | NPS Lukhandi | 3 | PS Pakri |
| 2 | PS Nariyana | 4 | NPS Roushan Deeh |
| Middle Schools |  |  |  |
| 1 | UMS Lakhay | 3 | UMS Ghanverja |
| 2 | UMS Puteriya | 4 | MS Piparsanda |

Annexure 2.7

| Weekly Menu was not Displayed in Schools |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Primary Schools |  |  |  |
| 1 | PS Barhat | 5 | NPS Lukhandi |
| 2 | PS Harnaha | 6 | PS Nariyana |
| 3 | PS Ujhandi | 7 | PS Rehra Mushari |
| 4 | PS Satgama | 8 | NPS Khaira |
| Middle Schools |  |  |  |
| 1 | UMS Ujhandi | 3 | UMS Puteriya |
| 2 | UMS Shahpur | 4 | MS Manjosh |

Annexure 2.8

| MDM Logo not Displayed on School Campus |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Primary Schools |  |  |  |
| 1 | PS Barhat | 8 | PS Parsa |
| 2 | PS Harnaha | 9 | PS Pakri |
| 3 | PS Ujhandi | 10 | NPS Mubarakpur |
| 4 | PS Satgama | 11 | NPS Roushan Deeh |
| 5 | PS Shivndeeh | 12 | PS Rehra Mushari |
| 6 | NPS Lukhandi | 13 | NPS Khaira |
| 7 | PS Nariyana |  |  |
| Middle Schools |  |  |  |
| 1 | UMS Lakhay | 8 | UMS Phatehpur |
| 2 | UMS Ujhandi | 9 | UMS Ghanverja |
| 3 | UMS Shahpur | 10 | UMS Bela |
| 4 | MS Kharma | 11 | MS Manjosh |
| 5 | Girls MS Jamui | 12 | MS Piparsanda |
| 6 | UMS Puteriya | 13 | MS Mahadev Simariya |
| 7 | UMS Navdeeha |  |  |

Annexure 2.11

| Health Card not Maintained for all Student in Schools |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Primary Schools |  |  |  |
| 1 | PS Patauna | 5 | PS Shivndeeh |
| 2 | PS Barhat | 6 | PS Jorhawa Dharampur |
| 3 | PS Harnaha | 7 | NPS Mubarakpur |
| 4 | PS Ujhandi | 8 | PS Sikandra |
| Middle Schools |  |  |  |
| 1 | UMS Ujhandi | 4 | UMS Bela |
| 2 | UMS Shahpur | 5 | MS Manjosh |
| 3 | MS Kharma | 6 | MS Mahadev Simariya |


| First aid Medical Kit not available in the Schools |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Primary Schools |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | PS Patauna | 6 | NPS Mubarakpur |  |
| 2 | PS Barhat | 7 | NPS Roushan Deeh |  |
| 3 | PS Jeet Jhigoi | 8 | PS Rehra Mushari |  |
| 4 | PS Jorhawa Dharampur | 9 | PS Sikandra |  |
| 5 | PS Pakri | 10 | NPS Khaira |  |
| 6 | NPS Mubarakpur |  |  |  |
| 7 | NPS Roushan Deeh |  |  |  |
| 8 | PS Rehra Mushari |  |  |  |
| 9 | PS Sikandra |  |  |  |
| 10 | NPS Khaira |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | UMS Puteriya | 3 |  |  |
| 2 | MS Pardhan Chak | 4 | UMS Bela |  |

Annexure 2.13

| Cease fire not available |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Primary Schools |  |  |  |
| 1 | PS Barhat | 5 | NPS Roushan Deeh |
| 2 | PS Satgama | 6 | PS Rehra Mushari |
| 3 | PS Shivndeeh | 7 | NPS Khaira |
| 4 | NPS Lukhandi |  |  |
| Middle |  |  |  |
| 1 | UMS Lakhay | 5 | UMS Puteriya |
| 2 | UMS Ujhandi | 6 | MS Manjosh |
| 3 | MS Kharma | 7 | MS Piparsanda |
| 4 | Girls MS Malaypur | 8 | MS Mahadev Simariya |

Annexure 2.14

| Eating Plates etc. are not available |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primary Schools |  |  |  |
| 1 | PS Harnaha | 5 | NPS Roushan Deeh |
| 2 | PS Shivndeeh | 6 | PS Rehra Mushari |
| 3 | NPS Lukhandi | 7 | NPS Khaira |
| 4 | PS Pakri |  |  |
| Middle Schools |  |  |  |
| 1 | UMS Shahpur | 2 | MS Pardhan Chak |
| Utensils/Kitchen Devices are not Sufficien |  |  |  |
| Primary Schools |  |  |  |
| 1 | PS Barhat | 3 | PS Nariyana |
| 2 | PS Ujhandi |  |  |
| Middle Schools |  |  |  |
| 1 | UMS Lakhay | 4 | UMS Navdeeha |
| 2 | Girls MS Jamui | 5 | UMS Phatehpur |
| 3 | UMS Puteriya | 6 | MS Manjosh |

Annexure 2.15

| Kitchens not Available |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Primary Schools |  |  |  |
| 1 | PS Harnaha | 6 | PS Nariyana |
| 2 | PS Ujhandi | 7 | NPS Roushan Deeh |
| 3 | PS Satgama | 8 | PS Rehra Mushari |
| 4 | PS Shivndeeh | 9 | NPS Khaira |
| 5 | NPS Lukhandi |  |  |


| Middle Schools |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | UMS Ujhandi | 3 | MS Piparsanda |
| 2 | MS Manjosh |  |  |

Annexure 2.16

| Non-availability of Covered Drum of Food Grains in Schools |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Primary Schools |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | PS Barhat | 7 | PS Nariyana |  |
| 2 | PS Harnaha | 8 | PS Parsa |  |
| 3 | PS Ujhandi | 9 | PS Pakri |  |
| 4 | PS Satgama | 10 | NPS Roushan Deeh |  |
| 5 | PS Shivndeeh | 11 | NPS Khaira |  |
| 6 | NPS Lukhandi |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | UMS Lakhay | 6 |  |  |
| 2 | UMS Shahpur | 6 | MS Pardhan Chak |  |
| 3 | MS Kharma | 7 | UMS Navdeeha |  |
| 4 | Girls MS Jamui | 8 | UMS Phatehpur |  |
| 5 | UMS Puteriya | 9 | MS Piparsanda |  |

Annexure 2.17

| Non-availability of Toilets |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{Sr} \\ & \text {.no } \end{aligned}$ | Name of the Schools | No separate toilet for Girls \& Boys | No Proper use of Toilets | No Common Toilet available | No Proper use of Toilets |
| Primary Schools |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | NPS Lukhandi | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 2 | NPS Roushan Deeh | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 3 | PS Rehra Mushari | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 4 | PS Satgama | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| 5 | PS Shivndeeh | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| 6 | PS Pakri | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| 7 | PS Patauna |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 8 | PS Ujhandi |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 9 | PS Jeet Jhigoi |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 10 | PS Nariyana |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 11 | PS Parsa |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 12 | PS Jorhawa Dharampur |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 13 | NPS Mubarakpur |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 14 | PS Sikandra |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Middle Schools |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | UMS Lakhay | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| 2 | UMS Ujhandi |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 3 | UMS Shahpur |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 4 | Girls MS Malaypur |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 5 | UMS Navdeeha | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| 6 | UMS Bela |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 7 | Gils MS Khaira |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 8 | MS Manjosh |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 9 | UMS Patambar |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |

Annexure 2.20

| Hands not Washed by Students before and after Eating |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Primary School |  |  |
| 1 | PS Barhat | Middle School |
|  |  |  |
| 1 | MS Pardhan Chak |  |

Annexure 2.23

| Roster not being maintained by the Community Members for Supervision of MDM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primary Schools |  |  |  |
| 1 | PS Barhat | 3 | PS Parsa |
| 2 | PS Satgama | 4 | PS Rehra Mushari |
| Middle Schools |  |  |  |
| 1 | UMS Phatehpur | 3 | UMS Patambar |
| 2 | UMS Ghanverja |  |  |
| No Social Audit Mechanism in the Schools |  |  |  |
| Primary Schools |  |  |  |
| 1 | PS Patauna | 4 | PS Jorhawa Dharampur |
| 2 | PS Shivndeeh | 5 | PS Rehra Mushari |
| 3 | PS Parsa | 6 | PS Sikandra |
| Middle Schools |  |  |  |
| 1 | MS Kharma | 5 | UMS Ghanverja |
| 2 | Girls MS Jamui | 6 | UMS Bela |
| 3 | Girls MS Malaypur | 7 | MS Piparsanda |
| 4 | UMS Phatehpur |  |  |

## District-2: Sitamarhi

## Chapter I

## Introduction

## Sample Design of the Study

A total of 34 schools have been taken as sample from Sitamarhi district as shown in Table-1.1. Out of these 34 schools, 21 are primary schools and 13 middle schools.

Table 1.1: Number of Sample Schools

| Sl. No. | Name of Block | Primary <br> schools | Middle schools | Total |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Dumra(Urban) | 5 | 3 | 8 |
| 2 | Sursand | 6 | 4 | 10 |
| 3 | Bairgnia | 5 | 3 | 8 |
| 4 | Sonbarsa | 5 | 3 | 8 |
| Total | 21 | 13 | 34 |  |

## School-wise Criteria for Selection

Table-1.2 reflects all 34 sample schools selected from Sitamary district. Keeping in view, that each types of school as per the selection criteria, to be represented list of sample schools.

Table 1.2: School-wise list of Sample Schools

| Sl. No. | Name of the Schools | Category of Schools PS/ MS | Criteria for Selection |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | PS Court Bazer | PS | PTR |
| 2 | PS Mallani Tola | PS | CWSN/PTR |
| 3 | PS Murgichak Hindi | PS | Slum/PTR |
| 4 | PS Naya Tola | PS | Gender Gap |
| 5 | PS Maktab Islampur | PS | Fiood/PTR/Civil Work |
| 6 | PS Bhabdeopur | PS | SC |
| 7 | PS Sursand East | PS | Gender Gap/Flood Aria |
| 8 | PS Koriani Girl | PS | Gender Gap |
| 9 | PS Gopalpur Uttari | PS | Civil Work/PTR |
| 10 | PS Court Bazer | PS | SC |
| 11 | PS Sursand Maktab | PS | PTR/Minority |
| 12 | PS Paterwa | PS | Flood Aria |
| 13 | PS Madhuban | PS | Flood Aria/PTR |
| 14 | PS Panchtakriam Mai Sthan | PS | Flood Aria |
| 15 | PS Bakhari Tola | PS | SC |
| 16 | PS Harizan Tola |  | Civil Work/Gender Gap |


| Sl. No. | Name of the Schools | Category of Schools PS/ MS | Criteria for Selection |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | PS Paterwa | PS | Gender Gap |
| 18 | PS Pachtaki Yadev Sthan | PS | PTR |
| 19 | PS Mushariya | PS | Flood Aria |
| 20 | PS Lahkhar | PS | SC |
| 21 | PS Khutha West | PS | SC |
| 22 | MS Nagar Palika | MS | Computer/CWSN |
| 23 | MS Mehshoul | MS | Gender Gap/CWSN |
| 24 | MS Piprahi | MS | Gender Gap/Flood Aria |
| 25 | MS Sursand Girl | MS | Computer |
| 26 | MS Adarsh Oriental | MS | Gender Gap |
| 27 | MS Indarwa | MS | Civil Work |
| 28 | MS Jamua | MS | PTR |
| 29 | MS Bel | MS | Civil Work/PTR |
| 30 | MS Bhandulia | MS | Flood Aria |
| 31 | MS Veerakh | MS | Flood Aria |
| 32 | MS Veerpur | MS | PTR |
| 33 | MS Sonbarsa | MS | Gender Gap/PTR |
| 34 | MS Bhutai Bazar | MS | Civil Work |

Source: Office of the District Education Officer, Sitamarhi

## Tools

A well-structured questionnaire was prepared to collect primary data from the selected primary and middle schools.

## Chapter-II

## Implementation of MDM Programme

## Regularity in Supply of Food Grains

Table 2.1 shows that all sample primary and middle schools from the sample were receiving food grains within one month. It was also found that the food grains were delivered to the lifting agency within proper time in all sample schools. It has also been observed that the quality of food supplied was as per FAQ mark in all sample schools (Table: 2.1). In all sample schools, food grains were released after adjusting the unspent balance grains of the previous month.

Table 2.1: Regularity in supply of Food Grains to Schools

| Sl.No. | Particulars | No. of Schools |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PS |  | MS |  |
|  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| 1. | If Food grains facility available in schools within One month | $\begin{gathered} 21 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} \hline 13 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | - |
| 2. | Food grains delivered to lifting agency within proper time | $\begin{gathered} 21 \\ (100.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ (100.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | - |
| 3. | If lifting agency is not delivering the food grains to the school how is the food grains transported up to the schools. | - |  | - |  |
| 4. | Whether the food grains is of FAQ Mark - grade A | $\begin{gathered} 21 \\ (100.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ (100.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | - |
| 5. | Whether food grains are released to school after adjusting the unspent balance of the previous month | $\begin{gathered} 21 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | - |
|  | Total No. of Schools | 21 (100.0) |  | 13 (100.0) |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Timely Release of Funds

It was found that a timely release of funds was done regularly by state, district and block-level in all samples schools of the district, as shown in Table-2.2.

Table 2.2: Timely Release of Funds

| S.No Particulars | No. of Schools |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PS | MS |
| 1 | Whether State is releasing funds to District on regular <br> basis in advance | $21(100.0)$ | $13(100.0)$ |
| 2 | Whether District is releasing funds to Block on regular <br> basis in advance | $21(100.0)$ | $13(100.0)$ |
| 3 | Whether Block is releasing funds to School on regular <br> basis in advance | $21(100.0)$ | $13(100.0)$ |
| Total No. of schools | $21(100.0)$ | $13(100.0)$ |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Cost of Cooking Received

It was found that all sample schools were receiving cooking cost regularly and in advance. It was also found that E-transfer was the preferred mode of payment for the cooking cost in all sample schools.

Table 2.3: Availability of Cooking Cost

| S.No | Particulars |  | No. of Schools |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | PS | MS |
| 1 | No. of schools in which cooking cost was received regularly and in advance |  | 21(100.0) | 13(100.0) |
| 2 | How much delay in receiving the cooking cost in advance | 10 days | - | - |
|  |  | 20 days | - | - |
|  |  | 2 Months | - | - |
| 4 | In case of delay, how does the school/implementing agency manages to ensure that there is no disruption in the feeding programme |  | - | - |
| 5 | Mode of payment of cooking Cost | In cash | - | - |
|  |  | By Cheque | - | - |
|  |  | E-Transfer | 21(100.0) | 13(100.0) |
| Total No. of schools |  |  | 21(100.0) | 13(100.0) |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Information Regarding Cooks Cum-Helpers

It was found that in all sample primary and middle schools, MDM was being served by the appointed cook-cum-helpers, 54 in primary and 68 in middle schools. In all the sample primary and middle schools the number cooks were sufficient as per GOI norms. The cooks were mostly females and their salary was Rs. 1000/ per month. The salary of the cooks was paid through the cheques. Out of total appointed cooks, the share of general category cooks appointed only 1.47 percent in middle schools. The OBC cooks were found about 59.26 percent in primary and 92.65 percent in middle schools. The ST cooks were appointed in only primary schools 5.56 percent. The proportion of

SC cooks was observed in 29.63 percent of primary and 5.88 percent in middle schools as evident from Table-2.4.

A training module for the cook-cum-helpers is available in all sample primary and middle schools. This module is provided to all the cooks. The MDM Coordinator in all sample primary and middle schools imparts training to the working cook-cumhelpers. Medical check-up of the cooks was done in all sample schools.

Table 2.4: Availability of Cook-cum-helpers

| Sl.No. | Particulars |  | No. of Schools |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | PS | MS |
| 1 | Mode of appointment of cook-cumhelper in Schools | By VEC/SMC | 21 (100.0) | 13 (100.0) |
| 2 | Number of schools in which cooks were sufficient in number as per GOI |  | 21 (100.0) | 13 (100.0) |
| 3 | No. of Cooks in schools | Male | 6(11.11) | 15(22.06) |
|  |  | Female | 48(88.89) | 53(77.94) |
|  |  | Total | 54(100.0) | 68(100.0) |
| 4 | Monthly salary of cook | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs. } 1000 / \text { - per } \\ & \text { month } \end{aligned}$ | 54(100.0) | 68(100.0) |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Rs. 2000/- per } \\ \text { month } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | - | - |
| 5 | Mode of Payment | By Cash | - | - |
|  |  | By Cheque | 54(100.0) | 68(100.0) |
| 6 | Payment is regular | Yes | 54(100.0) | 68(100.0) |
|  |  | No | - | - |
| 7 | No. of cooks per social category | 1. SC | 16(29.63) | 4(5.88) |
|  |  | 2. ST | 3(5.56) | - |
|  |  | $3 . \mathrm{OBC}$ | 32(59.26) | 63(92.65) |
|  |  | 4 .Minority | 3(5.56) | - |
|  |  | 5. Others(GEN) | - | 1(1.47) |
| 8. | Availability of Training Modules for Cooks | Yes | 54(100.0) | 68(100.0) |
|  |  | No | - | - |
| 9. | If Yes, provided modules | Yes | 54(100.0) | 68(100.0) |
|  |  | No | - | - |
| 10. | Training of Cooks | Yes | 54(100.0) | 68(100.0) |
|  |  | No | - | - |
| 11. | If Yes, what was the training venue | BRC | 54(100.0) | 68(100.0) |
|  |  | CRC | - | - |
|  |  | Any other | - | - |
| 12. | Who is the Trainer | MDM <br> Coordinator | 54(100.0) | 68(100.0) |
| 13. | Is the meal prepared and transported by the Centralized kitchen/ NGO, whether cook-cum-helpers have been engaged to serve the meal to the children at school level. |  |  |  |
| 14. | Is there any medical checkup of the cooks | Yes | 54(100.0) | 68(100.0) |
|  |  | No | - | - |

[^0]
## Regularity in Serving Meal

It was found that in all sample primary and middle schools hot, cooked meal was provided to the students on a daily regular basis. Regularity in supplying of hot cooked meal to the students of these schools has been observed by enquiring from the students, teachers, parents as well as MDM registers was also verified. It is reflected from the Table 2.5 that during the last three months (January, February\& March 2015) how much day's food not served to the students.

The list of sample primary and middle schools where MDM was not served during last three months is given in Annexure 2.5.

Table 2.5: Regularity in Serving Meal

| $\mathrm{Sl} .$ | Particulars |  |  | No. of Schools |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | PS |  | MS |  |
|  |  |  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| 1. | Everyday served Hot Cooked Meal |  |  | 21(100.) | - | 13(100.0) | - |
| 2. | last three months how much days food not served (Multiple response) | Jan. | 1-8 | 1(100.0) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 8-15 | - |  | - |  |
|  |  |  | 15-24 | - |  | - |  |
|  |  |  | Total | 1(4.76) |  | - |  |
|  |  | Feb. | 1-8 | 1(100.0) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 8-15 | - |  | - |  |
|  |  |  | 15-24 | - |  | - |  |
|  |  |  | Total | 1(4.76) |  | - |  |
|  |  | March. | 1-8 | 1(100.0) |  | 1(100.0) |  |
|  |  |  | 8-15 | - |  | - |  |
|  |  |  | 15-24 | - |  | - |  |
|  |  |  | Total | 1(4.76) |  | 1(7.69) |  |
| 3. | Item (ii) Reason for not served food (Multipale response) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Jan. Food grains not available |  |  |  | 100.0) | - |  |
|  | Feb. Food grains not available |  |  |  | 100.0) | - |  |
|  | Mar. Food grains not available |  |  |  | - | 1(100.0) |  |
|  | Total No. of Schools |  |  |  | 100.0) | 13(100.0) |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Quality and Quantity of Menu

The quality and quantity of MDM was examined and it was found that in 33.33 percent primary and 30.77 percent middle schools the quality of meal was good while in the remaining primary and middle schools it was found to be normal. The quantity of the meal supplied was sufficient in all sample primary and middle schools. It was found that all selected primary and middle schools were providing the prescribed quantity of mid day meal to students (Table 2.6).

Table 2.6: Quality and Quantity of Meal

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Sl. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Particulars |  | No. of Schools |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | PS | MS |
| 1. | Quality of meal | Good | 7(33.33) | 4(30.77) |
|  |  | Normal | 14(66.67) | 9(69.23) |
|  |  | Bad | - | - |
| 2. | Quantity of meal | Sufficient | 21(100.0) | 13(100.0) |
|  |  | Normal | - | - |
|  |  | Less | - | - |
| 3. | Quantity of pulses used in the meal <br> (20 gram/student PS and 30gram/student MS) |  | 21(100.0) | 13(100.0) |
| 4. | Quantity of green leafy vegetable in the meal ( 50 gram/student PS and 75 gram/student MS) |  | 21(100.0) | 13(100.0) |
| 5. | Iron Iodine mixed salt used in Meal | Yes | 21(100.0) | 13(100.0) |
|  |  | No | - | - |
| 6. | Children were satisfied with the Served meal | Yes | 21(100.0) | 13(100.0) |
|  |  | No | - | - |
| 7. | Method for measuring the food grains and other item (Measure Kg ) |  | 21(100.0) | 13(100.0) |
| 8. | Method for measuring the served meal (According to Requirement) |  | 21(100.0) | 13(100.0) |
| 9. | Children were not satisfied the meal give Reasons |  | - | - |
| Total No. of Schools |  |  | 21(100.0) | 13(100.0) |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Variety of Menu

District authorities decide the weekly menu in all sample primary and middle schools. It was found that all sample primary and all middle schools display weekly menu at a noticeable place. All sample primary and all middle schools follow weekly menu and use locally available ingredients. The students of all the sample schools get sufficient calories from MDM as is evident from Table 2.7.

Table 2.7: Variety of the Menu of MDM

| Sl.No. | Particulars |  | No. of Schools |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | PS | MS |
| 1. | Who decides the weekly menu | District Authority | 21 (100.0) | 13(100.0) |
| 2. | Weekly menu was displayed at school noticeable place | Yes | 21 (100.0) | 13(100.0) |
|  |  | No | - | - |
| 3. | If Yes, All people can see the menu | Yes | 21 (100.0) | 13(100.0) |
|  |  | No | - | - |
| 4. | Weakly menu followed | Yes | 21 (100.0) | 13(100.0) |
|  |  | No | - | - |
| 5. | Menu includes locally available in ingredients | Yes | 21 (100.0) | 13(100.0) |
|  |  | No | - | - |
| 6. | Sufficient calories from MDM | Yes | 21 (100.0) | 13(100.0) |
|  |  | No | - | - |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Display of Information under Right to Education Act, 2009

It has been found that all the sample primary and middle schools which cooked MDM on their premises received rice for the preparation of MDM. Daily menu has been shown at the right place in all sample primary school and all middle school. In the last month 59083 students of the sample primary schools and 62315 students of the sample middle schools have taken MDM. Display of MDM Logo was not found in any sample primary and middle schools (Table-2.8).

Table 2.8: Display of information at the School level at Prominent place

| S1. No. | Particulars |  | No. of Schools |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | PS | MS |
| 1 | Food Grain received | Wheat | - | - |
|  |  | Rice | $21(100.0)$ | $13(100.0)$ |
| 2 | Other material purchase \& use | $21(100.0)$ | $13(100.0)$ |  |
| 3 | Last month how many student take MDM | 59083 | 62315 |  |
| 4 | Daily Menu | $21(100.0)$ | $13(100.0)$ |  |
| 5 | Display MDM Logo on school Building | - | - |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Distribution of MDM

The number of students of primary and middle schools availing MDM was counted on the day of our visit in these sample schools. Their number was also verified from the MDM register. Table-2.9 indicates that 4038 students were enrolled in 21sample primary and 6016 were enrolled in 13 sample middles schools of Sitamarhi district. On the day of visit 65.33 percent children of primary schools and 58.10 percent
student of middle schools were present in the school. It is also found that all students were availing MDM as per MDM register on the day of visits in middle schools.

Table 2.9: Children Availing MDM on the Day of Visit and as per School Registers

| Sl. <br> No. | Particulars | No. of Schools |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | PS | MS |  |
| 1 | No. of children enrolled in schools | 4038 <br> $(100.0)$ | 6016 <br> $(100.0)$ |
| 2 | No. of children attending the school on the day of <br> visit | 2638 <br> $(65.33)$ | 3522 <br> $(58.10)$ |
| 3 | No. of children availing MDM as per MDM register | 2638 <br> $(100.0)$ | 3522 <br> $(100.0)$ |
| 4 | No. of children actually availing MDM on the day <br> of visit | 2638 <br> $(100.0)$ | 3522 <br> $(100.0)$ |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Seating Arrangement for Eating

Queue was observed for serving and seating arrangement for eating of food in all sample primary and middle schools of Sitamarhi district. It has been observed that in none of the sample primary and middle schools gender, caste or community discrimination in cooking and serving or seating arrangement was observed as shown in Table-2.10.

Table 2.10: Discrimination in Cooking, Serving and Seating Arrangement of Students

| Sl.No | Particulars | No. of Schools |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PS |  | MS |  |
|  |  | Seating arrangement in Queue | Scattered | Seating arrangement in Queue | Scattered |
| 1 | System of serving and seating arrangement for eating | 21(100.0) | - | 13(100.0) | - |
| 2 | Observe any gender or caste or community discrimination in cooking or serving or seating arrangement | PS |  | MS |  |
|  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No |
|  |  | - | 21(100.0) | - | 13(100.0) |
|  | Total No. of schools | 21(100.0) |  | 13(100.0) |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Health Cards and Health Checkup

Issues regarding the child health care and related aspects were also examined in the district. It was found that health cards were maintained in 52.38 percent primary and 76.92 percent schools. One time health checkup in a year was done in all sample primary and middle schools. Table 2.11 shows that all student of all sample primary and middle schools were given micronutrients medicine periodically. These medicines were by teacher in all sample primary and middle schools once. It was found that all sample primary and middle schools maintain height and weight records of their children where health cards were found. 61.90 percent primary school and 69.23 percent middle school maintain first aid medical kit in the school. All sample primary and middle schools have facility of dental and eye checkup maintain where health cards was found.

The names of the sample primary and middle schools where not health cards and firstaid kit was not available Annexure 2.11.

Table 2.11: Health Cards, Health Checkup

| Sl.No. | Particulars |  |  | No. of Schools |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | PS |  | MS |  |
|  |  |  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| 1 | Health card maintained for each child in school |  |  | 11(52.38) | 10(47.62) | 10(76.92) | 3(23.08) |
| 2 | Frequency of health checkup | One time |  | 11(100.0) |  | 10(100.0) |  |
|  |  | Two time |  | - |  | - |  |
|  |  | More than two time |  |  |  | - |  |
| 3 | Whether children are given micronutrients medicine periodically | Iron, Folic acid, Vitamin A dosage, De-worming |  | 21(100.0) |  | 13(100.0) | - |
| 4 | If yes, Name of the department who administered these medicines | By whom | 1. ANM |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2. Health Dept. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3. Teacher | 21(100.0) |  | 13(100.0) |  |
|  |  | How many time | 1 time | 21(100.0) |  | 13(100.0) |  |
|  |  |  | 2 time | - |  | - |  |
|  |  |  | 3 time | - |  | - |  |
| 5 | Whether height and weight record of the children is being indicated in the school health card. |  |  | 11(100.0) | - | 10(100.0) | - |
| 6 | Whether any referral during the period of monitoring. |  |  | - | 11(100.0) | - | 10(100.0) |
| 7. | Instances of medical emergency during the period of monitoring. |  |  | - | 11(100.0) | - | 10(100.0) |


| 8. | Availability of the first aid medical <br> kit in the school. | $13(61.90)$ | $8(38.10)$ | $9(69.23)$ | $4(30.77)$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9. | Dental and eye check-up included in <br> the screening. | $11(100.0)$ | - | $10(100.0)$ | - |  |  |  |  |
| 10. | If yes, distribution of spectacles to <br> children suffering from refractive <br> error. | - | $11(100.0)$ | - | $10(100.0)$ |  |  |  |  |
| Total No. of school |  |  |  |  |  |  | $21(100.0)$ | $13(100.0)$ |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Drinking Water and Sanitation

Table 2.12 shows that in 90.48 percent primary and all sample middle schools have potable water for drinking purposes in convergence with drinking water and sanitation in Sitamarhi district. Multiple responses were received while surveying the source of potable water. In 42.11 percent primary and 53.85 percent middle schools through local hand pump and 57.89 percent primary and 46.15 percent middle schools through India marka Hand pump. Among all the funding agencies maximum water supply was done by SSA scheme in both categories of schools.

The list of sample primary and middle schools where Potable Water is not available Annexure 2.5.

Table 2.12: Drinking Water and Sanitation

| Sl.No. | Particulars |  | No. of Schools |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | PS |  | MS |  |
|  |  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| 1 | Whether poTable water is available for drinking purpose in convergence with Drinking Water and Sanitation |  | $\begin{gathered} 19 \\ (90.48) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (9.52) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | - |
| 2 | Available of potable water (Multiple Response) | Tap water |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | India Marka Hand pump | 11(57.89) |  | 6(46.15) |  |
|  |  | Local Hand pump | 8(42.11) |  | 7(53.85) |  |
|  |  | Jet Pump | - |  | - |  |
| 3. | Which scheme | SSA Scheme | 15(78.95) |  | 10(76.92) |  |
|  |  | MLA Fund | 4(21.05) |  | 3(23.08) |  |
| Total No. of School |  |  | 21(100.0) |  | 13(100.0) |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Availability of Ceasefire in School

According to information it was found that 38.10 percent primary schools and 76.92 percent middle schools have ceasefire, as shown in Table 2.13.

Annexure 2.13 has the name of schools where ceasefire was not available.
Table 2.13: Availability of Ceasefire in School

| Sl.No. | Particulars | No. of Schools |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PS |  | No | Yes |
|  |  | Yes | No |  |  |
| 1 | Ceasefire Available | $8(38.10)$ | $13(61.90)$ | $10(76.92)$ | $3(23.08)$ |
| 2. | If yes, Name of ceasefire | Alfa Fire, Lightex |  |  |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Kitchen Devices

Table 2.14 shows the availability of kitchen utensils in schools. The data shows that the cooking utensils in all sample primary and middle schools had available. All the cooking and serving utensils kitchen devices in the primary and middle schools were funded through kitchen devices funds. Only 80.95 percent primary and all sample middle schools had available eating plates for students through the MME funds.

The list of schools where were not eating plates are available Annexure 2.14.
Table 2.14: Kitchen Devices

| Sl.No. | Particulars |  | No. of Schools |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | PS |  | MS |  |
|  |  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| 1 | Whether cooking utensils are available in the school |  | 21(100.0) | - | 13(100.0) | - |
| 2. | Whether cooking utensils are available sufficient | Sufficient | 21(100.0) |  | 13(100.0) |  |
|  |  | Partial | - |  | - |  |
| 3 | Source of funding for cooking and serving utensils kitchen devices | Kitchen devices Fund | 21(100.0) |  | 13(100.0) |  |
|  |  | MME | - |  | - |  |
|  |  | Other(MDM) | - |  | - |  |
| 4 | Whether eating plates etc. are available in the school |  | 17(80.95) |  | 13(100.0) |  |
| 5 | If yes, utensils kitchen devices sufficient |  | 11(64.71) |  | 7(53.85) |  |
| 6 | Source of cooking and serving utensils kitchen devices | MME | - |  | - |  |
|  |  | Community contribution | - |  | - |  |
|  |  | Other MDM <br> Scheme | 17(100.0) |  | 13(100.0) |  |
| Total No. of School |  |  | 21(100.0) |  | 13(100.0) |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Infrastructure of Kitchen

Information related to infrastructure of kitchen in sample primary and middle schools in Sitamarhi district was analyzed. It was found that 90.48 percent sample primary and all sample middle schools were having kitchen. The pucca kitchen-cumstore was available in 15.79 percent primary schools and 23.08 percent middle schools while only kitchen was available in 84.21 percent primary and 76.92 percent middle schools and all of them were used.

In 66.67 percent primary schools and 66.67 percent middle schools kitchen-cumstore was constructed under MDM scheme, 68.75 percent primary and 90.0 percent middle schools kitchen was constructed under MDM as shown in Table-2.15. In 33.33 percent primary and 33.33 percent middle schools kitchen-cum-store was constructed through SSA scheme. 31.25 percent primary and 10.0 percent middle schools kitchen was constructed through SSA scheme. Kitchen has not been sanctioned in 2 primary.

One percent primary schools prepared MDM in additional room. One percent primary school prepared food at open field. Schools store their food grains and other ingredients in kitchen-cum-store while the remaining 85.71 percent primary and 76.92 percent middle schools respectively store them in an additional room. In all sample primary and middle schools have kitchen and store away from classrooms. In all sample primary and all middle schools food is prepared firewood.

Annexure 2.15 contains the names of schools where kitchen is not available.

Table 2.15: Infrastructure of Kitchen

| Sl.No. | Particulars |  |  | No. of Schools |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | PS | MS |
|  | Kitchens are available |  |  | 19(90.48) | 13(100.0) |
| 1 | No. of school in which pucca kitchen-cum store available | Kitchen-cum -store |  | 3(15.79) | 3(23.08) |
|  |  | Kitchen |  | 16(84.21) | 10(76.92) |
| (a) | No. of school in which pucca kitchen constructed and used | Kitchen-cum -store |  | 3(100.0) | 3(100.0) |
|  |  | Kitchen |  | 16(100.0) | 10(100.0) |
| (b) | Under which scheme Kitchen- cum-store constructed | MDM | Kitchen cum store | 2(66.67) | 2(66.67) |
|  |  |  | Kitchen | 11(68.75) | 9(90.0) |
|  |  | SSA | Kitchen cum <br> store | 1(33.33) | 1(33.33) |
|  |  |  | Kitchen | 5(31.25) | 1(10.0) |
| (c) | Constructed but not in use |  |  | - | - |
| (d) | Under construction |  |  | - | - |
| (e) | Sanctioned, but not started |  |  | - | - |
| (f) | Not sanctioned |  |  | 2(9.52) | - |
| 2 | In case the pucca kitchencum store is not available, where is the food being cooked? | Additional Room |  | 1(50.00) | - |
|  |  | Open field |  | 1(50.00) | - |
| 3 | Where the food grains/ other ingredients are being stored? | Kitchen cum store |  | 3(14.29) | 3(23.08) |
|  |  | Kitchen |  | - | - |
|  |  | Additional Room |  | 18(85.71) | 10(76.92) |
|  |  | community hall |  | - | - |
| 4 | Kitchen-cum-store is neat and cleaned | Kitchen cum store |  | 3(15.79) | 3(23.08) |
|  |  | Kitchen |  | 16(84.21) | 10(76.92) |
|  |  | Additional Room |  | - | - |
|  |  | At the venu of villegers |  | - | - |
| 5 | Is there kitchen \& store away from class room of school |  |  | 21(100.0) | 13(100.0) |
| 6 | Whether MDM is being cooked by using firewood or LPG based cooking? | Fire wood |  | 21(100.0) | 13(100.0) |
|  |  | Coal |  | - | - |
|  |  | LPG |  | - | - |
| 7 | Whether on any day there was interruption due to nonavailability of firewood or LPG? |  |  | - | - |
|  | Total No. of School |  |  | 21(100.0) | 13(100.0) |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Availability of Covered Drum

It was found that 71.43 percent primary schools and all sample middle schools have covered drums for the food grains. In all primary and middle schools of these covered drums available through MME scheme in Sitamarhi district. Annexure-2.16 shows that Non-availability of Covered Drum of Food Grains in School

Table 2.16: Availability of Covered Drum

| Sl.No. | Particulars |  | No. of Schools |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | PS |  | MS |  |
|  |  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| 1 | Availability of cover drum of food grains in school |  | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ (71.43) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ (28.57) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | - |
| 2. | If yes, which scheme | SSA through | - |  | - |  |
|  |  | Management, Monitoring \& Evaluation | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | Total No. of School |  | 21(100.0) |  | 13(100.0) |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Availability of Toilets

It was found that 57.14 percent primary and 92.31 percent middle schools have separate toilet for boys and girls. Out of these, 91.67 percent primary and all sample middle school use toilets properly. 38.10 percent primary and 38.46 percent middle schools have common toilets. Out of these, 87.5 percent primary and all middle schools use common toilets properly.

Annexure 2.17 contains the list of sample schools which do not have separate toilet for boys and girls or common toilets are not available.

Table 2.17: Availability of toilets

| Sl.No. | Particulars |  | No. of Schools |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PS |  | MS |  |  |
|  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No |  |
| 1 | Availability of separate toilet for <br> boys and girls in school | $12(57.14)$ | $9(42.86)$ | $12(92.31)$ | $1(7.69)$ |  |
| 2. | If yes, Proper use of toilet | $11(91.67)$ | $1(8.33)$ | $12(100.0)$ | - |  |
| 3 | Is there available common toilet | $8(38.10)$ | $13(61.90)$ | $5(38.46)$ | $8(61.54)$ |  |
| 4 | If yes, Proper use of toilet | $7(87.50)$ | $1(12.50)$ | $5(100.0)$ | - |  |
|  | Total No. of School | $21(100.0)$ |  |  | $13(100.0)$ |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Availability of Computer in Schools

As Table 2.18 indicates only 15.38 percent middle schools have computer. None of the primary schools possess any IT infrastructure. None of them have an internet connection and thus cannot use any IT enabled services.

Table 2.18: Availability of IT infrastructure /Computers

| Sl.No. Particulars | No. of Schools |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PS |  | MS |  |  |
|  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No |  |
| 1. | Is computer available in school | - | $21(100.0)$ | $2(15.38)$ | $11(84.62)$ |  |
| 2. | If yes give the no. of computer | - |  |  | $6(100.0)$ |  |
| 3. | Available of internet connection | - | - | - | $2(100.0)$ |  |
| 4. | Using any IT/ IT enable services based <br> (like E-learning etc.) | - | - | - | $2(100.0)$ |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Impression of Environment, Safety and Hygiene

Environment, safety and hygiene were found satisfactory in majority of the primary and middle schools. As Table 2.19 shows that environment was good in 23.81 percent primary and 23.08 percent middle schools. Safety was good in 33.33 percent in primary and 23.08 percent in middle schools. The hygiene was observed to be in good condition in 23.81 percent primary and 30.77 percent middle schools. Environment was satisfactory in 76.19 percent primary and 76.92 percent middle schools. Safety level was satisfactory in 66.67 percent primary and 76.92 percent middle schools. Hygiene level was satisfactory in 76.19 percent in primary and 69.23 percent middle schools.

Table 2.19: General Impression of Environment, Safety and Hygiene

| Sl. <br> No | Particulars | No. of Schools |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PS |  |  |  | MS |  |  |  |  |
|  | Good | Satisfactory | Un <br> satisfactory | Good | Satisfactory | Un- <br> satisfactory |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Environment | $5(23.81)$ | $16(76.19)$ | - | $3(23.08)$ | $10(76.92)$ | - |  |  |  |
| 2 | Safety | $7(33.33)$ | $14(66.67)$ | - | $3(23.08)$ | $10(76.92)$ | - |  |  |  |
| 3 | Hygiene | $5(23.81)$ | $16(76.19)$ | - | $4(30.77)$ | $9(69.23)$ | - |  |  |  |
| 4 | Total No. of <br> School | $21(100.0)$ |  |  |  |  | $13(100.0)$ |  |  |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Encouragement of Children to Adopt Good Practices

As shown in Table-2.20, in all sample primary and middle schools student were encouraged to wash their hands before and after meals. Student was encouraged to receive MDM in orderly manner in all sample primary and middle schools. It is also found that in all primary and middle schools children were taught about conservation of water. Cooking process and storage of fuel was found to be safe from fire hazard in all sample primary and middle schools as shown in Table-2.20.

Table 2.20: Encouragement of Children to adopt Good Practices

| Sl. <br> No. | Narticulars |  |  |  | No. of Schools |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PS |  | MS |  |  |  |
|  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No |  |  |
| 1 | Children encouraged to wash <br> hands before and after eating | $21(100.0)$ | - | $13(100.0)$ | - |  |  |
| 2 | Children take MDM in an orderly | $21(100.0)$ | - | $13(100.0)$ | - |  |  |
| 3 | Conservation of water in school | $21(100.0)$ | - | $13(100.0)$ | - |  |  |
| 4 | Cooking process and storage of <br> fuel is safe from fire hazard. | $21(100.0)$ | - | $13(100.0)$ | - |  |  |
| 5 | Total No. of School | $21(100.0)$ | $13(100.0)$ |  |  |  |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Tasting Meal before Serving to Students

Before the meal was served to students it was tasted by the teachers VSS and Parents. It was found that MDM was tasted daily by teachers of all primary and middle schools. It was seldom tasted by VSS and parents in all primary and middle schools.

Table 2.21: before serving meal to students by whom tasted

| Sl. <br> No. Particulars |  | No. of Schools |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PS |  | MS |  |
|  |  | Daily | Seldom | Daily | Seldom |
| 1 | Tasted by Teacher | $21(100.0)$ | - | $13(100.0)$ | - |
| 2 | Tasted by SMC | - | $21(100.0)$ |  | $13(100.0)$ |
| 3 | Tasted by Parents | - | $21(100.0)$ |  | $13(100.0)$ |
| Total No. of School |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Community Participation and Awareness

Around 71.43 percent parents of primary school students and 61.54 percent parents of middle schools children supervised MDM on a daily basis and found it to be satisfactory. In case of VSSs it was 76.19 percent in primary and 69.24 percent in middle schools. Supervision by Panchayat/urban bodies was found satisfactory in 57.14 percent primary and 61.54 percent middle schools. In 4.76 percent parents from primary and 23.08 percent parents from middle schools, 7.69 percent SMC/VSSs from middle schools and only 7.69 percent Panchayat/Urban bodies from middle schools rated the MDM as good during daily supervision.

At the time of monitoring of MDM, it was rated as satisfactory by 90.48 percents parents, 100.0 percent VSSs and 52.38 percent panchayat/urban bodies in primary schools. Similarly, 84.62 percents parents, 92.31 percent VSSs and 53.85 percent panchayat/urban bodies in middle schools rated the monitoring of MDM as satisfactory. MDM was monitored to be good by 9.52 percent parents in primary schools and 15.39 percent parents, 7.69 percent VSSs in middle schools.

Table 2.22: Participation of Parents/ VSSs /Urban bodies in Monitoring of MDM

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Sl. } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | Particulars | PS |  |  | MS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Parents | VSSs | Panchayat/ Urban bodies | Parents | VSSs | Panchayat/ Urban bodies |
| Supervision of daily MDM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Good | 1(4.76) | - | - | 3(23.08) | 1(7.69) | - |
| 2 | Satisfactory | 15(71.43) | 16(76.19) | 12(57.14) | 8(61.54) | 9(69.24) | 8(61.54) |
| 3 | None | 5(23.81) | 5(23.81) | 9(42.86) | 2(15.39) | 3(23.08) | 5(38.46) |
| Monitoring of the MDM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Good | 2(9.52) | - | - | 2(15.39) | 1(7.69) | - |
| 2 | Satisfactory | 19(90.48) | 21(100.0) | 11(52.38) | 11(84.62) | 12(92.31) | 7(53.85) |
| 3 | None |  | - | 10(47.62) | - | - | 6(46.15) |
| 4 | Total No. of school | 21 (100.0) |  |  | 13(100.0) |  |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Awareness about MDM

It was found that community members maintained roster for supervision of MDM in 61.90 percent primary and 53.85 percent middle schools. Table 2.23 shows that 76.19 percent primary and 84.62 middle schools have social audit mechanism in the school.

The list of schools where roster was not being maintained by the community members for supervision of the MDM and social audit not done is given in Annexure 2.23.

Table 2.23: Awareness regarding MDM

| Sl. | Particulars |  | No. of Schools |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  | PS |  | MS |  |  |
|  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No |  |
| 1 | Roster being maintained by the <br> community members <br> supervision of the MDM | $13(61.90)$ | $8(38.10)$ | $7(53.85)$ | $6(46.15)$ |  |
| 2 | Is there any social audit <br> mechanism in the school | $16(76.19)$ | $5(23.81)$ | $11(84.62)$ | $2(15.38)$ |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Numbers of VSS Meetings

As Table 2.24 show that VSS meeting monitoring time was three to four times was in 38.10 percent primary and 23.08 percent middle schools, 5 times and above in 61.90 percent primary and 76.92 percent middle schools. MDM related discussion was three to four times was in 38.10 percent primary and 23.08 percent middle school, 5 times and above in 61.90 percent primary and 76.92 percent middle schools.

Table 2.24: Numbers of VSS Meetings

| Sl. | Particulars | PS |  |  |  | MS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No |  | 1-2 | 3-4 | $\begin{gathered} 5 \& \\ \text { above } \end{gathered}$ | Total | 1-2 | 3-4 | 5 \& above | Total |
| 1. | No. of VSS meeting till monitoring time | - | $\begin{gathered} \hline 8 \\ (38.10) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ (61.90) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (23.08) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (76.92) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| 2. | No. of VSS meeting to MDM related discussion | - | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (38.10) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ (61.90) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (23.08) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (76.92) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Total No. of school | 21 (100.0) |  |  |  | 13 (100.0) |  |  |  |

Source: Primary Data Based

## Availability of Inspection Registers

Inspection register was available in all sample schools. It has been found that all sample schools have not received fund under MME component. The regular inspection of MDM was reported in all sample schools of the district.

The list of schools where fund not received under MME is given in Annexure 2.25

Table 2.25: Inspection and Supervision of MDM by Educational Authorities

| Sl.No. | Particulars |  | No. of Schools |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PS |  | MS |  |  |
|  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No |  |
| 1 | Is there any Inspection Register <br> available at school level? | $21(100.0)$ | - | $13(100.0)$ | - |  |
| 2 | Whether school has received any <br> funds under MME component? | - | $21(100.0)$ | - | $13(100.0)$ |  |
| 3 | Is regular inspections of MDM | $21(100.0)$ | - | $13(100.0)$ | - |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Inspection and Supervision of MDM

Regular inspection of MDM was reported in all sample primary and middle schools of the district. The inspection was also done by the BEO, VES MDM Coordinator, CRC Coordinator, DPO, and BRP MDM. as Table 2.26 shows all sample primary schools and middle schools maximum inspection and supervision were done by BRP MDM in Sitamarhi district. There was no state level inspecting authorities. Maximum inspections were made by block level authorities in primary and middle schools. Mostly this inspection was made monthly in both categories of schools.

The visiting authorities remarked for menu based food and told Good Quality of MDM should be provided in all sample primary schools and middle schools.

Table 2.26: Inspections and Supervision of MDM
(Multiple Responses)

| Sl.No. | Particulars |  | No. of Schools |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | PS | MS |
| 1 | Regular inspection of the MDM food |  | 21(100.0) | 13(100.0) |
| 2 | Inspection by | BEO | 6(28.57) | 5(38.46) |
|  |  | VES | 4(19.04) | 4(30.77) |
|  |  | MDM Coordinator | 4(19.04) | 3(23.08) |
|  |  | CRC coordinator | 6(28.57) | 7(53.85) |
|  |  | BRP MDM | 10(47.62) | 8(61.54) |
|  |  | DPO | 3(14.29) | 4(30.77) |
| 3 | Inspecting authority | State level | - | - |
|  |  | District | 7(33.55) | 7(53.85) |
|  |  | Tehsil | - | - |
|  |  | Block | 16(76.19) | 13(100.0) |
|  |  | CRC | 6(28.57) | 7(53.85) |
|  |  | Village | 4(28.57) | 4(30.77) |
| 4 | Frequencyinspections of | Daily | - | - |
|  |  | Weekly | 6(18.18) | 5(16.12) |
|  |  | Fortnightly | - | - |
|  |  | Monthly | 27(81.82) | 25(80.64) |
|  |  | Often | - | - |
| 5 | If any, then Remark made by the visiting of officers | Good Quality of MDM should be provided | 21(100.0) | 13(100.0) |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Impact of MDM

As indicated in Table 2.27 impact of MDM in almost all sample primary and all middle schools has improved enrollment of students, attendance of students and full time presence of students in schools.

Table-2.27 Impact of the MDM

| Sl.No. | Particulars |  | No. of Schools |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  |  |  | PS | MS |
| 1 | Mid day meal improved | Enrollment of student | $21(100.0)$ | $13(100.0)$ |
|  |  | Attendance of student | $21(100.0)$ | $13(100.0)$ |
|  |  | Present of students full <br> time in school | $21(100.0)$ | $13(100.0)$ |
| 2 | Total No. of School | $21(100.0)$ | $13(100.0)$ |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Social Harmony

It was found that all sample primary and middle schools MDM has improved social harmony and nutritional status of children. Table 2.28 shows that all sample primary and middle schools have other incidental benefit due to serving of meal in schools.

Table-: 2.28 Social Harmony

| Sl.No. | Particulars | No. of Schools |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PS |  | MS |  |
|  | Yes | No | Yes | No |  |
| 1 | Whether mid day meal has helped <br> in improvement of the social <br> harmony | $21(100.0)$ | - | $13(100.0)$ | - |
| 2 | Whether mid day meal has helped <br> in improvement of the nutritional <br> status of the children. | $21(100.0)$ | - | $13(100.0)$ | - |
| 3 | Is there any other incidental <br> benefit due to serving of meal in <br> schools | $21(100.0)$ | - | $13(100.0)$ | - |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Grievance Redressal Mechanism

As Table 2.29 indicates that all sample primary and middle schools have grievances redressal mechanism in the district for MDMs and the district/block/ school not have toll free number

Table-:2.29 Grievance Redressal Mechanisms

| Sl.No. | Particulars | No. of Schools |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PS |  | No | Yes |
|  |  | Yes | No |  |  |
| 1 | Is any grievance redressal <br> mechanism in the district for <br> MDMS | $21(100.0)$ | - | $13(100.0)$ | - |
| 2 | Whether the district/block/ school <br> having any toll free number? | $21(100.0)$ | - | $13(100.0)$ | - |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Views of Investigator on other Issues of MDM Implementation

The investigators views were that monitoring and evaluation of MDM should be regular basis at school level. Use of wheat should be increased in all sample primary and middle schools. LPG should be used for cooking in majority primary and middle schools and convenient arrangement should be made for its availability. Use of green vegetables
must be encouraged in all sample primary and middle schools in Sitamarhi districts as shown in (Table-2.30).

Table-2.30: Investigator's views and observations regarding MDM

| Sl.No. | Issues relevant to MDM implementation | No. of Schools |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PS | MS |
| 1 | Monitoring \& Evaluation of MDM team should be <br> regular | $21(100.0)$ | $13(100.0)$ |
| 2 | Arrangement and availability of LPG for cooking <br> purpose | $21(100.0)$ | $13(100.0)$ |
| 3 | Use of wheat of MDM | $21(100.0)$ | $13(100.0)$ |
| 4 | Use of Green VegeTable must be used in MDM | $21(100.0)$ | $13(100.0)$ |
|  | Total No. of School | $21(100.0)$ | $13(100.0)$ |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Chapter-III

## Major Findings

Monitoring and evaluation of Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan (SSA) was conducted by the Giri Institute of Development Studies, Lucknow during 15 March to 31 March, 2015. The survey covered 21 primary schools and 13 middle schools in the Sitamarhi district as suggested by the Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education and Literacy, Government of India. Besides monitoring and evaluation of SSA programme in the district, the working of MDM was also monitored and evaluated. The focus of monitoring of MDM was limited to cover only key components of the MDM programme. These components of MDM monitoring and evaluations were selected by the Ministry. On the basis of field survey of primary and middle schools where MDM is being implemented, following conclusions have been arrived at:

- During the visits of schools of district Sitamarhi all sample school reported to have received food grains within one month and its quality was good.
- There was reported timely release of MDM funds from state, district and blocklevel in all samples primary and middle schools of the district. It was also observed that there were all sample schools where MDM cooked have received MDM fund in advance and regularly.
- It was found that 34 sample schools hot cooked meal was provided to students regularly. This fact was confirmed from students, teachers, parents and from MDM registers of the concerning schools.
- There was no difference between the number of students for whom the MDM was prepared and those who got the MDM.
- There was no difference between MDM registers and head count of students on the day of visit of research team to the sample schools.
- MDM was cooked by VSS appointed cooks. Majority of cooks were of OBC castes and they were paid monthly salary of Rs.1000/ regularly through the cheques.
- It has been observed that in none of the sample primary and middle schools gender, caste or community discrimination in cooking and serving or seating arrangement.
- The meal was tasted by the teachers before it was served to the students.
- The MDM was served by cooks and the students received MDM in queue.
- The menu was displaced at noticeable places in all sample schools and it is also verified that the all sample schools followed the menu in the district.
- MDM logo was not displayed in any of the sample schools campus.
- The prescribed quantity of MDM was given to students and was found to be sufficient. The quality of MDM was found to be 'Good' in 33.33 percentprimary and 30.77 percent middle schools.
- Health card were maintained in all sample schools. Likewise all students of sample primary and middle schools were given micronutrients medicine periodically by Teachers.
- The availability of potable water through difference sources was found in all sample primary and middle schools.
- Kitchens were available in only 90.48 percent primary and 100.0 percent middle schools. In all the sample primary and middle schools, food was cooked using maximum fire wood.
- It was found that 38.10 percent primary schools and 76.92 percent middle schools have ceasefire
- The kitchen utensils were available in all sample primary and middle schools.
- The availability of covered drums was found in 71.43 percent primary and 100.0 percent middle schools. Cover drums were reported to have been purchased majorly from funds of MME Scheme.
- $\quad$ Separate toilets for boys and girls were available in 57.14 percent primary and 92.31 percent middle schools.
- The Computer was available in only $2(15.38$ percent) middle schools.
- The condition of environment, safety and hygiene was satisfactory in majority all primary schools and good in almost all middle schools.
- It was observed that students were encouraged to wash their hand before and after the meal. The students were seen receiving the MDM in queue in almost all the schools. The practice of water conservation was seen in the schools and cooking process and storage of fuel were found to be safe from fire hazards in almost all schools.
- The awareness of parents and community about MDM was found to be satisfactory in most of the schools.
- The frequency of VSS meeting for MDM related discussion was observed three to four times was in 38.10 percent primary and 23.08 percent middle school, 5 times and above in 61.90 percent primary and 76.92 percent middle schools.
- Inspection and supervision by district officials on often basis covered in all sample schools. Process of inspection, supervision of MDM was found to be adequate.
- It was observed that MDM was helpful in improving the social harmony. The grievance redressal mechanism was active and all sample schools having toll free number.
- The impact of MDM was found to be positive in all sample primary and middle schools. MDM has improved enrollment of students, attendance of students and full time presence of students in all sample middle schools.
- The views of investigators about different aspect of implementation of MDM in the district were found to be positive. The investigators views were that monitoring and evaluation of MDM should be regular basis at school level, Wheat also should be provided to schools for MDM and LPG should be ensured for cooking instead of woods.


## ANNEXURE

Annexure 2.5

| No Regularity in Serving Meals |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Primary Schools |  |  |  |
| 1 | PS Naya Tola |  | 3 |
|  | PS Sursand Maktab |  |  |
| 2 | PS Sursand East |  |  |
| Middle Schools |  |  |  |
| 1 | MS Veerakh |  |  |

Annexure 2.11
Health Card not Maintained for each Child in School

| Primary Schools |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | PS Mallani Tola | 6 | PS Madhuban |
| 2 | PS Murgichak Hindi | 7 | PS Panchtakriam Mai Sthan |
| 3 | PS Koriani Girl | 8 | PS Harizan Tola |
| 4 | PS Gopalpur Uttari | 9 | PS Mansoori Tola |
| 5 | PS WD-6 Dumra | 10 | PS Pachtaki Yadev Sthan |
| Middle Schools |  |  |  |
| 1 | MS Adarsh Oriental | 3 | MS Sonbarsa |
| 2 | MS Veerakh |  |  |
| First aid Medical Kit not available in the School |  |  |  |
| Primary Schools |  |  |  |
| 1 | PS Naya Tola | 5 | PS WD-6 Dumra |
| 2 | PS Sursand East | 6 | PS Madhuban |
| 3 | PS Koriani Girl | 7 | PS Panchtakriam Mai Sthan |
| 4 | PS Gopalpur Uttari | 8 | PS Bakhari Tola |
| Middle Schools |  |  |  |
| 1 | MS Adarsh Oriental | 3 | MS Bel |
| 2 | MS Jamua | 4 | MS Sonbarsa |

## Annexure 2.12

| Whether Potable Water is not available |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Primary Schools |  |  |
| 1 | PS Naya Tola |  |
| 2 | PS WD-6 Dumra |  |

Annexure 2.13

| Cease fire not available |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Primary Schools |  |  |  |
| 1 | PS Mallani Tola | 8 | PS WD-6 Dumra |
| 2 | PS Murgichak Hindi | 9 | PS Sursand Maktab |
| 3 | PS Naya Tola | 10 | PS Paterwa |
| 4 | PS Bhabdeopur | 11 | PS Madhuban |
| 5 | PS Sursand East | 12 | PS Panchtakriam Mai Sthan |
| 6 | PS Koriani Girl | 13 | PS Bakhari Tola |
| 7 | PS Gopalpur Uttari |  |  |
| Middle Schools |  |  |  |
| 1 | MS Veerakh | 3 | MS Sonbarsa |
| 2 | MS Veerpur |  |  |

Annexure 2.14
Eating Plates etc. are not available in the school

## Primary Schools

| 1 | PS Mallani Tola | 3 | PS Mansoori Tola |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 2 | PS Sursand Maktab | 4 | PS Pachtaki Yadev Sthan |  |
| Utensils eating plates is not Sufficient |  |  |  |  |
| Primary Schools |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | PS Murgichak Hindi | 4 | PS Sursand East |  |
| 2 | PS Maktab Islampur | 5 | PS WD-6 Dumra |  |
| 3 | PS Bhabdeopur | 6 | PS Mushariya |  |
| Middle Schools |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | MS Nagar Palika | 4 | MS Bhandulia |  |
| 2 | MS Mehshoul | 5 | MS Veerpur |  |
| 3 | MS Sursand Girl | 6 | MS Bhutai Bazar |  |

Annexure 2.15

| Kitchens not Available |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | PS Murgichak Hindi | Primary Schools |
| 2 | PS Naya Tola |  |

Annexure 2.16

| Drum not Available |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Primary Schools |  |  |  |
| 1 | PS Mallani Tola | 4 | PS Gopalpur Uttari |
| 2 | PS Maktab Islampur | 5 | PS WD-6 Dumra |
| 3 | PS Koriani Girl | 6 | PS Sursand Maktab |

Annexure 2.17

| Non-availability of Toilets |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sr <br> no | Name of the Schools | No separate <br> toilet for <br>  <br> Boys | No Proper <br> use of <br> Toilets | No Common <br> Toilet <br> available | No Proper use <br> of Toilets |
| Primary Schools |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Court Bazer | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| 2 | Murgichak Hindi | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 3 | Naya Tola | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 4 | Maktab Islampur | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| 5 | Bhabdeopur | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| 6 | Sursand East | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| 7 | WD-6 Dumra | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 8 | Panchtakriam Mai Sthan | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| 9 | Mansoori Tola | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| 10 | Mallani Tola |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 11 | Koriani Girl |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 12 | Paterwa |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 13 | Madhuban |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 14 | Bakhari Tola |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 15 | Mansoori Tola |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 16 | Mushariya |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 17 | Lahkhar |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 18 | Khutha West |  |  |  |  |


| Middle Schools |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Veerpur | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| 2 | Bhutai Bazar |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 3 | Bhandulia |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 4 | Veerakh |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 5 | Indarwa |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 6 | Nagar Palika |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 7 | Mehshoul |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 8 | Piprahi |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 9 | Sursand Girl |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |

Annexure 2.23

| Roster not being maintained by the Community Members for Supervision of MDM |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :---: |
| Middle Schools |  |  | 5 |  |
| 1 | PS Naya Tola | PS Madhuban |  |  |
| 2 | PS Maktab Islampur | 6 | PS Mushariya |  |
| 3 | PS Sursand East | 7 | PS Lahkhar |  |
| 4 | PS Paterwa Primary Schools | 8 | PS Khutha West |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | MS Nagar Palika | 4 | MS Adarsh Oriental |  |
| 2 | MS Mehshoul | 5 | MS Indarwa |  |
| 3 | MS Piprahi | 6 | MS Sonbarsa |  |
| No any social audit mechanism in the school |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | PS Sursand East | 4 | PS Lahkhar |  |
| 2 | PS Sursand Maktab | 5 | PS Khutha West |  |
| 3 | PS Mushariya |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | MS Nagar Palika | 2 |  |  |

District-3: Katihar

## Chapter I

## Introduction

## Sample Design of the Study

A total of 34 schools have been taken as sample from Katihar district as shown in
Table-1.1. Out of these 34 schools, 20 are primary and 14 middle schools.

Table 1.1: Number of Sample Schools

| Sl. No. | Name of Blocks | Primary schools | Middle schools | Total |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Katihar (Urban) | 6 | 3 | 9 |
| 2 | Katihar (Rural) | 4 | 4 | 8 |
| 3 | Falka | 5 | 4 | 9 |
| 4 | Barari | 5 | 3 | 8 |
| Total | 20 | 14 | 34 |  |

## School-wise Criteria for Selection

Table-1.2 shows all 34 sample schools selected from Katihar district. Keeping in view, that each types of school as per the selection criteria, to be represented list of sample schools.

Table 1.2: School-wise list of Sample Schools

| Sl. <br> No. | Name of the Schools | Category of <br> Schools PS/ MS | Criteria for Selection |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | UMS Sirsa | PS | SC, Flood Area |
| 2 | PS Ganhigram | PS | Flood Area |
| 3 | PS Nisahra | PS | SC, CWSN |
| 4 | NPS Chiknighat khera | PS | Forest Aera, Gender Gap, PTR |
| 5 | PS Barari | PS | Civil Work |
| 6 | PS Bhagwati Asthan | PS | Gender Gap,CWSN |
| 7 | PS Bareta | PS | Gender Gap, Flood Area |
| 8 | PS Maheshpur | PS | Civil Work |
| 9 | UMS Balu Tola | PS | PTR |
| 10 | PS Dahairiya Belagachi | PS | CWSN, Gender Gap |
| 11 | PS Pakirya | PS | PTR |
| 12 | Urdu PS Barbana | PS | Slum Aera |
| 13 | NPS Kanva Tola | PS | CWSN,PTR |
| 14 | PS Balitiker | PS | PTR, SC |
| 15 | PS Refugee Colony | PS | PTR |
| 16 | UMS Madhepura | PS | CWSN, PTR |
| 17 | UMS Gidhabari | PS | Civil Work |
| 18 | PS Choti Chouraha | PS |  |


| Sl. <br> No. | Name of the Schools | Category of <br> Schools PS/ MS | Criteria for Selection |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | PS Shabda | PS | Civil Work |
| 20 | MS Sirsa | PS | SC |
| 21 | UMS Mushapur <br> Rangakal | MS | Computer |
| 22 | MS Bhanga | MS | Flood Area |
| 23 | Urdu MS Kadwa <br> Rampara | MS | CWSN, Gender Gap,PTR |
| 24 | MS Balua | MS | Civil Work |
| 25 | MS Bathaili | MS | Computer |
| 26 | UMS Giryama | MS | Computer, Gender Gap |
| 27 | UMS Devi Bareta | MS | Gender Gap, PTR |
| 28 | Adarash MS Semapur | MS | Computer |
| 29 | MS Pothia | MS | Computer, CWSN |
| 30 | UMS Mongra | MS | PTR |
| 31 | UMS Dhusme | MS | CWSN |
| 32 | Uma Devi Mishra Girls <br> MS Katihar | MS | PTR, CWSN, SC, C ivil Work |
| 33 | UMS Burma Refugee <br> Colony | MS | Gender Gap |
| 34 | MS Guru Bazar | MS | Cher |

Source: Office of the District Education Officer, Katihar

## Tools

A well-structured questionnaire was prepared to collect primary data from the selected primary and middle schools. Separate schedule have been also used for the NGO, which provided MDM to the schools.

# Chapter-II <br> Implementation of MDM Programme 

## Regularity in Supply of Food Grains

The regularity in delivering food grains to sample primary and middle schools has been examined. Out of the 20 primary schools, it was found that food was cooked on the schools premises in only 12 primary schools. In the remaining 8 primary schools, food was cooked and provide by an NGO, Centre for National Development Initiative. A similar situation existed in 4 out of 14 middle schools also where the food was provided by the same NGO. Hence, of the total sample of 34 schools, 22 schools cooked and provided MDM on their premises while the activity was outsourced for the remaining 12 schools to the NGO Centre for National Development Initiative the NGO supplied MDM to the primary and middle schools in Katihar- urban and some schools of Katihar- rural blocks.

Table 2.1 shows that 83.33 percent primary and 100.0 percent middle schools from the sample were receiving food grains within one month. It was also found that the food grains were delivered to the lifting agency within proper time in 83.33 percent and 100.0 percent middle schools. If the lifting agency did not deliver the food grains to the school on time, no alternate arrangement was present, in any of the schools, for its transportation. It has also been observed that the quality of food supplied was as per FAQ mark in all primary and middle schools (Table: 2.1). In all the schools, food grains were released after adjusting the unspent balance of the previous month.

Annexure 2.1 has the names of schools where Food grains facility not available in advance for One month.

Table 2.1: Regularity in supply of Food Grains to Schools

| Sl.No. | Particulars | No. of Schools |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PS |  |  | MS |  |  |
|  |  | VSS/Schools | NGO | Total | VSS/Schools | NGO | Total |
| 1. | If Food grains facility available in advance for One month | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (83.33) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18 \\ (90.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| 2. | Food grains delivered to lifting agency within proper time | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (83.33) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 8 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18 \\ (90.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 14 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| 3. | If lifting agency is not delivering the food grains to the school how is the food grains transported up to the schools | No any arrangement |  |  | No any arrangement |  |  |
| 4. | Whether the food grains is of FAQ Mark <br> - grade A | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 8 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| 5. | Whether food grains are released to school after adjusting the unspent balance of the previous month | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 20 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Total No. of Schools |  | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (60.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (40.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (71.43) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (28.57) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Timely Release of Funds

It was found that a timely release of funds was done regularly at State, District and Block-level in all samples primary and middle schools of the district, as shown in Table-2.2.

Table 2.2: Timely Release of Funds

| S.No | Particulars | No. of Schools |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PS |  |  | MS |  |  |
|  |  | School | NGO | Total | School | NGO | Total |
| 1 | Whether State is releasing funds to District on regular basis in advance | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| 2 | Whether District is releasing funds to Block on regular basis in advance | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| 3 | Whether Block is releasing funds to School on regular basis in advance | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Total No. of Schools |  | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Cost of Cooking Received

It was found that all sample primary and middle schools were receiving cooking cost regularly and in advance however NGOs get the funds post service. As shown in Table-2.3, it was also found that E-transfer was the preferred mode of payment for the cooking cost in all schools and NGO.

Table 2.3: Availability of Cooking Cost

| S.No | Particulars |  | No. of Schools |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | PS |  |  | MS |  |  |
|  |  |  | School | NGO | Total | School | NGO | Total |
| 1 | No. of schools in which cooking cost was received regularly and in advance |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 12 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} \hline 12 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 10 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | How muchdelay inreceiving thecooking costin advance | h Days | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 2 |  | one <br> Months | - | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| 4 | In case of delay, how does the school/ implementing agency manages to ensure that there is no disruption in the feeding programme |  | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NGO } \\ & \text { Self } \\ & \text { Manage } \end{aligned}$ |  | - | $\begin{gathered} \text { NGO } \\ \text { Self } \\ \text { Manage } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 5 | Mode of payment of cooking Cost | By Cheque | - | ${ }^{-}$ | - | ${ }^{-}$ | ${ }^{-}$ | ${ }^{-}$ |
|  |  | ETransfer | $\begin{gathered} \hline 12 \\ (100.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (100.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 20 \\ (100.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (100.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ (100.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Total No. of Schools |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (100.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (100.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Information Regarding Cooks

It was found that in all sample primary and middle schools, MDM was being cooked by the VSS appointed cook-cum-helper, 59 in primary and 66 in middle schools. In all the sample primary and middle schools the number cooks were sufficient as per GOI norms. The cook-cum-helpers were mostly females and their salary was Rs. 1000/ per month. The salary of the cooks was paid mainly via cheques and was a regular exercise in all cases. Out of total appointed cooks, the share of general category cooks constituted merely 3.39 percent in primary schools. The OBC cooks were about 59 percent and 80 percent in primary and middle schools respectively. The SC cooks were about 27 and 5 percent in primary and middle schools as evident from Table-2.4.

A training module is available for all cook-cum-helpers and MDM Coordinators (Blocks and district level) imparted the trainings in all sample primary and middle schools. Medical check-up of the cook-cum-helpers was done in only 27 percent primary and 44 percent of middle schools

Table 2.4: Availability of Cook-cum-helpers

| Sl.No. | Particulars |  | No. of Schools |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | PS | MS |
| 1 | Mode of appointment of cook-cumhelper in Schools | By VSS/SMC | 20 (100.0) | 14 (100.0) |
| 2 | Number of schools in which cooks were sufficient in number as per GOI |  | 20 (100.0) | 14 (100.0) |
| 3 | No. of Cooks in schools | Male | 5(8.47) | 12(18.18) |
|  |  | Female | 54(91.53) | 54(81.82) |
|  |  | Total | 59(100.0) | 66(100.0) |
| 4 | Monthly salary of cook | Rs. 1000/- per month | 59(100.0) | 66(100.0) |
| 5 | Mode of Payment | By Cash | - | - |
|  |  | By Cheque | 59(100.0) | 66(100.0) |
| 6 | Payment is regular | Yes | 59(100.0) | 66(100.0) |
|  |  | No | - | - |
| 7 | No. of cooks per social category | 1. SC | 16(27.12) | 3(4.55) |
|  |  | 2. ST | - | 2(3.03) |
|  |  | 3.OBC | 35(59.32) | 53(80.30) |
|  |  | 4. Minority | 6(10.17) | 8(12.12) |
|  |  | 5. Others(GEN) | 2(3.39) | - |
| 8. | Availability of Training Modules for Cooks | Yes | 59(100.0) | 66(100.0) |
|  |  | No | - | - |
| 9. | If Yes, provided modules | Yes | 59(100.0) | 66(100.0) |
|  |  | No | - | - |
| 10. | Training of Cooks | Yes | 59(100.0) | 66(100.0) |
|  |  | No | - | - |
| 11. | If Yes, what was the training venue | BRC | 59(100.0) | 66(100.0) |
|  |  | CRC | - | - |
|  |  | Any other | - | - |
| 12. | Who is the Trainer | MDM <br> Coordinator | 59(100.0) | 66(100.0) |
| 13. | Is the meal prepared and transported by the Centralized kitchen/ NGO, whether cook-cum-helpers have been engaged to serve the meal to the children at school level. |  | 8 (100.0) | 4 (100.0) |
| 14. | Is there any medical checkup of the cooks | Yes | 16(27.12) | 29(43.94) |
|  |  | No | 43(72.88) | 37(56.06) |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Regularity in Serving Meal

It was found that in all sample primary and middle schools, hot, cooked meal was provided to the students on a daily basis. Regularity in supplying of hot cooked meal to the students of these schools has been observed by enquiring from the students, teachers, parents and through MDM register (Table-2.5).

The list of sample primary and middle schools where was in last three months food not served due to some reasons is given in Annexure 2.5

Table 2.5: Regularity in Serving Meal

| Sl.No. | Particulars |  |  | No. of Schools |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | PS |  | MS |  |
|  |  |  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| 1. | Everyday served Hot Cooked Meal |  |  | 20(100.0) | 0 | 14 (100.0) | 0 |
| 2. | Last three months how much days food not served | Jan. | 1-8 | 4(100.0) |  | - |  |
|  |  |  | 8-15 | - |  | - |  |
|  |  |  | Total | 4(20.0) |  | - |  |
|  |  | Feb. | 1-8 | 2(66.67) |  | 1(33.33) |  |
|  |  |  | 8-15 | 1(33.33) |  | 2(66.67) |  |
|  |  |  | Total | 3(15.0) |  | 3(15.0) |  |
|  |  | Mar. | 1-8 | - |  | - |  |
|  |  |  | 8-15 | 1(100.0) |  | - |  |
|  |  |  | Total | 1(5.0) |  | - |  |
| 3. | Item (ii) Reason for not served food (Multiple Response) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Jan. Food grains not available |  |  | 4(100.0) |  | - |  |
|  | Feb. Food grains not available |  |  | 3(100.0) |  | 3(100.0) |  |
|  | March. Food grains not available |  |  | 1(100.0) |  | - |  |
| Total No. of Schools |  |  |  | 20(100.0) |  | 14(100.0) |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Quality and Quantity of Meals

The quality and quantity of MDM was examined and it was found that in 70 percent primary and 79 percent middle schools the quality of meal was good while in the remaining primary and middle schools it was found to be average. The quantity of the meal supplied was sufficient in all sample primary and middle schools. It was found that all selected schools were providing the prescribed quantity of meal to students.

Table 2.6: Quality and Quantity of Meal

| S.No. | Particulars |  | No. of Schools |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | PS | MS |
| 1. | Quality of meal | Good | 14(70.0) | 11(78.57) |
|  |  | Normal | 6(30.0) | 2(21.43) |
|  |  | Bad | - |  |
| 2. | Quantity of meal | Sufficient | 20 (100.0) | 14 (100.0) |
|  |  | Normal | - |  |
|  |  | Less | - | - |
| 3. | Quantity of pulses used in the meal ( 20 gram/student PS and 30 gram $/$ student MS) |  | 20 (100.0) | 14 (100.0) |
| 4. | Quantity of green leafy vegetable in the meal ( 50 gram/student PS and 75 gram/student MS) |  | 20 (100.0) | 14 (100.0) |
| 5. | Iron / Iodine mixed salt used in Meal | Yes | 20 (100.0) | 14 (100.0) |
|  |  | No | - | - |
| 6. | Children were satisfied with the Served meal | Yes | 20 (100.0) | 14 (100.0) |
|  |  | No | - | - |
| 7. | Method for measuring the food grains and other item (Measure Kg ) |  | 20 (100.0) | 14 (100.0) |
| 8. | Method for measuring the served meal (According to Requirement) |  | 20 (100.0) | 14 (100.0) |
| 9. | Children were not satisfied the meal give Reasons |  | - | - |
| Total No. of Schools |  |  | 20 (100.0) | 14 (100.0) |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Variety of Menu

District authorities decide the weekly menu in all sample schools. All sample primary and middle schools display weekly menu at a noticeable place. All sample follow weekly menu and use locally available ingredients. It was also observed that students of all the sample schools get sufficient calories from MDM as is evident from Table 2.7.

Table 2.7: Variety of the Menu of MDM

| S.No. | Particulars |  | No. of Schools |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | PS | MS |
| 1. | Who decides the weekly menu | District Authority | 20 (100.0) | 14 (100.0) |
| 2. | Weekly menu was displayed at school noticeable place | Yes | 20 (100.0) | 14 (100.0) |
|  |  | No | - | - |
| 3. | If Yes, All people can see the menu | Yes | 20 (100.0) | 14 (100.0) |
|  |  | No | - | - |
| 4. | Weakly menu followed | Yes | 20 (100.0) | 14 (100.0) |
|  |  | No | - | - |
| 5. | Menu includes locally available in ingredients | Yes | 20 (100.0) | 14 (100.0) |
|  |  | No | - | - |
| 6. | Sufficient calories from MDM | Yes | 20 (100.0) | 14 (100.0) |
|  |  | No | - | - |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Display of Information under Right to Education Act, 2009

It has been found that all the sample primary and middle schools which cooked MDM on their premises received only rice for the preparation of MDM. Daily menu has been shown at the appropriate place in the school. In the last month 66246 students of primary schools and 48793 students of sample middle schools have taken lunch. Display of MDM Logo was not found in any sample schools (Table-2.8).

Table 2.8: Display of Information at the School level at Prominent Place

| S. No. | Particulars |  | No. of Schools |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | PS | MS |
| 1 | Food Grain received | Wheat | - | - |
|  |  | Rice | $12(100.0)$ | $10(100.0)$ |
| 2 | Other material purchase \& use | $12(100.0)$ | $10(100.0)$ |  |
| 3 | Last month how many student take MDM | 66246 | 48793 |  |
| 4 | Daily Menu | $20(100.0)$ | $14(100.0)$ |  |
| 5 | Display MDM Logo on school Building | - | - |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Distribution of MDM

The number of students of sample schools availing MDM was counted on the day of our visit. Their number was also verified from the MDM register. Table-2.9 indicates that 4731 students were enrolled in 20 sample primary schools and 5564 were enrolled in 14 sampled middle schools of Katihar district. On the day of visit 52.83 percent children of primary schools and 43.40 percent children of middle schools were present in the
school. In middle schools all children were availing MDM as per MDM register on the day of visits.

Table 2.9: Children Availing MDM on the Day of Visit and as per School Registers

| S. | Particulars | No. of Schools |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  | PS | MS |
| 1 | No. of children enrolled in schools | 4731 |  |
|  | 5564 <br> $(100.0)$ | $100.0)$ |  |
| 2 | No. of children attending the school on the day of <br> visit | 2499 <br> $(52.83)$ | 2415 <br> $(43.40)$ |
| 3 | No. of children availing MDM as per MDM register | 2499 <br> $(100.0)$ | 2415 <br> $(100.0)$ |
|  | No. of children actually availing MDM on the day <br> of visit | 2499 <br> $(100.0)$ | 2415 <br> $(100.0)$ |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Seating Arrangement for Eating

Queue was observed for serving and seating arrangement for eating of food in all sample primary and middle schools of Katihar district. It has been observed that in none of the primary and middle schools gender, caste or community discrimination in cooking and serving or seating arrangement was observed as shown in Table-2.10.

Table 2.10: Discrimination in Cooking, Serving and Seating Arrangement of Students

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sl. } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | Particulars | No. of Schools |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PS |  | MS |  |
|  |  | Seating arrangement in Queue | Scattered | Seating arrangement in Queue | Scattered |
| 1 | System of serving and seating arrangement for eating | 20 (100.0) | - | 14 (100.0) | - |
| 2 | Observe any gender or caste or community discrimination in cooking or serving or seating arrangement | PS |  | MS |  |
|  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No |
|  |  | - | 20 (100.0) | - | $\begin{gathered} 14 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Total No. of schools | 20 (100.0) |  | 14 (100.0) |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Health Cards and Health Checkup

Issues regarding the child health care and related aspects were also examined in the district. It was found that health cards were maintained in 50 percent primary and 43 percent middle schools. The frequency of health check-up was once a year in all schools in which health card found. As the Table 2.11 shows, all students of sample primary and
middle schools were given micronutrients medicine periodically by teachers. It was found that all sample schools maintain height and weight records of their student and indicate it in the school health card in which health card found. 40 percent primary school and 64 percent middle schools maintain first aid medical kit in the school. It was also found that all sample primary and middle schools have facility of dental and eye checkup in which health card maintained.

The names of the sample primary and middle schools where health cards were not maintained and first-aid kit was not available are given in Annexure 2.11.

Table 2.11: Health Cards, Health Checkup

| S.No. | Particulars |  |  | No. of Schools |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | PS |  | MS |  |
|  |  |  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| 1 | Health card maintained for each child in school |  |  | 10(50.0) | 10(50.0) | 6(42.86) | 8(57.14) |
| 2 | Frequency of health check-up | One time |  | 10(100.0) |  | 6(100.0) |  |
|  |  | Two time |  | - |  | - |  |
|  |  | More | an two time |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Whether children are given micronutrients medicine periodically | Iron, Folic acid, Vitamin A dosage, De-worming |  | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 14 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | - |
| 4 | If yes, Name of the department who administered these medicines | By whom | 1. ANM |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2. Health Dept. |  |  | - |  |
|  |  |  | 3. Teacher | 20 (100.0) |  | 14 (100.0) |  |
|  |  | How many time | 1 time | 20 (100.0) |  | 14 (100.0) |  |
|  |  |  | 2 time | - |  | (100.0) |  |
| 5 | Whether height and weight record of the children is being indicated in the school health card. |  |  | 10(100.0) | - | 6(100.0) | - |
| 6 | Whether any referral during the period of monitoring. |  |  | - | 20 (100.0) | - | 14(100.0) |
| 7. | Instances of medical emergency during the period of monitoring. |  |  | - | - | - | - |
| 8. | Availability of the first aid medical kit in the school. |  |  | 8(40.0) | 12(60.0) | 9(64.29) | 5(35.71) |
| 9. | Dental and eye check-up included in the screening. |  |  | 10(100.0) | - | 6(100.0) | - |
| 10. | If yes, distribution of spectacles to children suffering from refractive error. |  |  | - | 10 (100.0) | - | 6(100.0) |
|  | Total No. of school |  |  | 20 (100.0) |  | 14 (100.0) |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Drinking Water and Sanitation

Table 2.12 shows that 95 percent primary and all sample middle schools have potable water for drinking purposes in convergence with drinking water and sanitation in

Katihar district. Multiple responses were received while surveying the source of potable water. In 14.29 percent in middle schools water was an available through tap water and in 73.68 percent primary and 92.86 percent middle schools water was available through local hand pump. It was found that 26.32 percent primary and 14.29 percent middle school water was available through India marka hand pump.

Table 2.12: Drinking Water and Sanitation

| Sl.No. | Particulars |  | No. of Schools |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | PS |  | MS |  |
|  |  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| 1 | Whether potable water is available for drinking purpose in convergence with Drinking Water and Sanitation |  | 19(95.0) | 1(5.0) | 14(100.0) | - |
| 2 | Available of potable water (Multiple Response) | Tap water | 14(73.68) |  | 2(14. |  |
|  |  | local Hand pump |  |  | 13(92.86) |  |
|  |  | india marka Hand pump | 5(26.32) |  | 2(14.29) |  |
|  |  | Jet Pump | - |  | - |  |
| 3. | Which scheme (Multiple Response) | SSA Scheme | 9(47.37) |  | 9(64.29) |  |
|  |  | PHED | 10(52.63) |  | $5(35.71)$ |  |
| Total No. of Schools |  |  | 20 (100.0) |  | 14 (100.0) |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Availability of Ceasefire in Schools

According to information it was found that 25 percent sample primary and 85.71 percent middle schools have ceasefire as shown in Table 2.13.

Annexure 2.13 has the name of schools where ceasefire was not available.

Table 2.13: Availability of Ceasefire in Schools

| S1.No. | Particulars | No. of Schools |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PS |  | No | Yes |
|  |  | Yes | No |  |  |
| 1 | Ceasefire Available | $5(25.0)$ | $15(75.0)$ | $12(85.71)$ | $2(14.29)$ |
| 2. | If yes, Name of ceasefire | Excel, Falcon |  |  |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Kitchen Devices

Table 2.14 shows the availability of kitchen utensils in schools. The data shows that the kitchens in all sample primary, middle schools and the centralized kitchen in the NGO had cooking utensils. All the kitchens in the primary and middle schools were
funded for kitchen devices through kitchen devices funds while NGO was manage through self resource. 90 percent primary and all middle schools had available plates for eating but only 50 percent primary and 64.29 percent middle schools had sufficient plates for eating in which schools eating pales available.

The list of schools where eating plates were not sufficient is given in Annexure 2.14.
Table 2.14: Kitchen Devices

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sl. } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | Particulars |  | No. of Schools |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | PS |  |  | MS |  |  |
|  |  |  | Self | NGO | Total | Self | NGO | Total |
| 1 | Whether cooking are available in | utensils <br> e school | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (60.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (40.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ (100.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (71.43) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (28.57) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14 \\ (100.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 2. | Whether cooking utensils are available sufficient | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sufficien } \\ & \mathrm{t} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (60.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 8 (40.0) | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ (100.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (71.43) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (28.57) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14 \\ (100.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | Partial | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 3 | Source of funding for cooking and serving utensils kitchen devices | Kitchen devices Fund | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | MME | - | - |  | - | - |  |
|  |  | Other <br> NGO <br> Self | - | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| 4 | Whether eating plates etc. are available in the school |  | 18 (90.0) |  |  | 14 (100.0) |  |  |
| 5 | If yes, utensils kitchen devices sufficient |  | 9 (50.0) |  |  | 9 (64.29) |  |  |
| 6 | Source of cooking and serving utensils kitchen devices | MDM | 18 (100.0)$0(0.0)$ |  |  | 14 (100.0) |  |  |
|  |  | Commun ity contribut ion |  |  |  |  | 0 (0.0) |  |
| Total No. of Schools |  |  | 20 (100.0) |  |  | 14 (100.0) |  |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Infrastructure of Kitchen

Information related to infrastructure of kitchen in sample primary and middle schools in Katihar district was analyzed. It was found that 65 percent in sample primary and 85.71 percent middle schools were having kitchen. The pucca kitchen cum store was available in 30.77 percent primary and 33.33 percent in middle schools. The pucca kitchen-cum-store was constructed and being used in all primary and middle schools.

Kitchen-cum-store was constructed through the source of MDM fund in 50 percent primary and all in middle schools while 50 percent kitchen-cum-stores in primary schools were constructed through SSA. Similarly, only kitchen were constructed through MDM fund in 55.56 percent primary schools while through SSA in 44.44 percent primary schools.

It was found that one primary and 2 middle school prepared MDM in additional class room and 1 primary prepared MDM in open field. 33.33 percent primary and 30 percent middle schools store their food grains and other ingredients in kitchen-cum-store while the remaining 66.67 percent primary and 70 percent middle schools respectively store them in an additional room. All sample primary and middle schools have kitchen and store away from classrooms. In all sample schools food is prepared firewood is used for cooking MDM.

The name of schools where the kitchen is not available is given in Annexure 2.15.

Table 2.15: Infrastructure of Kitchen

| Sl.No. | Particulars |  |  | No. of Schools |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | PS | MS |
| 1 | Kitchens are available |  |  | 13(65.0) | 12(85.72) |
| 2 | No. of school in which pucca kitchen-cum store available | Kitchen-cum -store |  | 4(30.77) | 4(33.33) |
|  |  | Kitchen |  | 9(69.23) | 8(66.67) |
| (a) | No. of school in which pucca kitchen constructed and used | Kitchen-cum -store |  | 4(100.0) | 4(100.0) |
|  |  | Kitchen |  | 9(100.0) | 8(100.0) |
| (b) | Under whichscheme <br> Kitchen- <br> constructed  | MDM | Kitchen cum store | 2(50.0) | 4(100.0) |
|  |  |  | Kitchen | 5(55.56) | 8(100.0) |
|  |  | SSA | Kitchen cum store | 2(50.0) | - |
|  |  |  | Kitchen | 4(44.44) | - |
| (c) | Constructed but not in use |  |  | - | - |
| (d) | Not sanctioned |  |  | 7(35.0) | 2(14.28) |
| 3 | In case the pucca kitchencum store is not available, where is the food being cooked? | Additional Room |  | 1(50.0) | 2(100.0) |
|  |  | Open field |  | 1(50.0) | - |
|  |  | NGO Supply |  | - | - |
| 4 | Where the food grains/ other ingredients are being stored? | Kitchen cum store |  | 4(33.33) | 3(30.0) |
|  |  | Kitchen |  | - | - |
|  |  | Additional Room |  | 8(66.67) | 7(70.0) |
| 5 | Kitchen-cum-store is neat and cleaned | Kitchen cum store |  | 4(100.0) | 4(100.0) |
|  |  | Kitchen |  | 9(100.0) | 8(100.0) |


| 6 | Is there kitchen \& store away from class room of <br> school | $13(100.0)$ | $12(100.0)$ |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Whether MDM is being <br> cooked by using firewood <br> or LPG based cooking? | Fire wood | LPG | $12(100.0)$ |
|  | Coal | - | $10(100.0)$ |  |
| 8 | Whether on any day there was interruption due to non- <br> availability of firewood or LPG? | - | - |  |
|  | MDM Supplied by School |  | - |  |
|  | MDM Supplied by NGO | $12(60.0)$ | $10(71.42)$ |  |
|  | Motal | $8(40.0)$ | $4(28.58)$ |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Availability of Covered Drums

As Table 2.16 indicates only 75 percent primary and 86 percent middle schools have covered drums for food grains. The rest of the 5 primary and 2 middle schools did not have covered drums as the food was provided by the NGO hence there was no need to store the food grains. Covered drums in all schools were made available through MME fund.

List of schools where covered drums for food grains are not available is given in

## Annexure 2.16.

Table 2.16: Availability of Covered Drum

| Sl.No. | Particulars |  | No. of Schools |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | PS | No | MS | No |
| 1 | Availability of cover drum of food grains in school |  | 15(75.0) | 5(25.0) | 12(85.71) | 2(14.29) |
| 2. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If yes, } \\ & \text { which } \\ & \text { scheme } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | SSA through | - |  | - |  |
|  |  | Management, Monitoring \& Evaluation | 15(1) |  | 12(100 | 0.0) |
| Total No. of Schools |  |  | 20(100 |  | 14(1) | 0.0) |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Availability of Toilets

It was found that in 65 percent primary and 86 percent middle schools have separate toilet for boys and girls. Out of these, 84.62 percent primary and all middle schools use toilets properly. 35 percent primary and 64 percent middle schools have common toilets Out of these, 85.71 percent primary and 88.89 percent middle schools used properly.

Annexure 2.17 contains the list of sample schools which do not have separate toilet for boys and girls or common toilets are not available.

Table 2.17: Availability of Toilets

| Sl. <br> No. | Particulars | No. of Schools |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PS |  | MS |  |
|  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| 1 |  | $13(65.0)$ | $7(35.0)$ | $12(85.71)$ | $2(14.29)$ |
| 2. | If yes, Proper use of toilet | $11(84.62)$ | $2(15.38)$ | $12(100.0)$ | - |
| 3 | Is there available common toilet | $7(35.0)$ | $13(65.0)$ | $9(64.29)$ | $5(35.71)$ |
| 4 | If yes, Proper use of toilet | $6(85.71)$ | $1(14.29)$ | $8(88.89)$ | $1(11.11)$ |
| $20(100.0)$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Availability of Computer in Schools

As Table 2.18 indicates only 35.71 percent middle schools have IT infrastructure in school. None of the primary schools possess computer. Although 36 percent of the middle schools have computer, none of them have an internet connection and thus cannot use any IT enabled services.

Table 2.18: IT infrastructure available (Computer) School level

| Sl. <br> No. | Particulars |  |  |  |  | No. of Schools |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PS |  | MS |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | Is computer available in school | - | $20(100.0)$ | $5(35.71)$ | $9(64.29)$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2. | If yes give the no. of computer | - |  | $11(100.0)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. | Available of internet connection | - | - | - | $5(100.0)$ |  |  |  |  |
| 4. | Using any IT/ IT enable services based <br> (like E-learning etc.) | - | - | - | $5(100.0)$ |  |  |  |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Impression of Environment, Safety and Hygiene

Environment, safety and hygiene were found good or satisfactory in all of the primary and middle schools. As Table 2.19 shows that environment was good in 15 percent of primary and 50 percent middle schools. Safety was good in 15 percent primary and 42.86 percent middle schools. The hygiene was observed to be in good condition in 10 percent primary and 43 percent middle schools. Environment was satisfactory in 85 percent primary and 50 percent middle schools. Safety level was satisfactory in 85 percent primary and 57 percent middle schools. In 90 percent primary and 57 percent middle schools were rated satisfactory in hygiene.

Table 2.19: General Impression of Environment, Safety and Hygiene

| Sl. <br> No | Particulars | No. of Schools |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PS |  |  | MS |  |  |
|  |  | Good | Satisfactory | Unsatisfactory | Good | Satisfactory | Unsatisfactory |
| 1 | Environment | 3(15.0) | 17(85.0) | - | 7(50.00) | 7(50.0) | - |
| 2 | Safety | 3(15.0) | 17(85.0) | - | 6(42.86) | 8(57.14) | - |
| 3 | Hygiene | 2(10.0) | 18(90.0) | - | 6(42.86) | 8(57.14) | - |
| 4 | Total No. of School | 20(100.0) |  |  | 14(100.0) |  |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Encouragement of Children to Adopt Good Practices

As shown in Table-2.20, in all sample primary and middle schools, children were encouraged to wash their hands before and after meals. Children were encouraged to receive MDM in orderly manner in all sample schools. In all sample primary and middle schools children were educated about conservation of water. Cooking process and storage of fuel was found to be safe from fire hazard in all schools.

Table 2.20: Encouragement to Children to adopt Good Practices

| Sl. | Particulars | No. of Schools |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  | PS | No | MS | No |
| 1 | Children encouraged to wash hands <br> before and after eating | $20(100.0)$ | - | $14(100.0)$ | - |
| 2 | Children take MDM in an orderly | $20(100.0)$ | - | $14(100.0)$ | - |
| 3 | Conservation of water in school | $20(100.0)$ | - | $14(100.0)$ | - |
| 4 | Cooking process and storage of fuel <br> is safe from fire hazard. | $20(100.0)$ | - | $14(100.0)$ | - |
| Total No. of Schools |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Tasting Meal before Serving to Students

Before the meal was served to students it was tasted by the teachers, VSS and parents. It was found that MDM was tasted daily by teachers in all primary and middle schools. It was seldom tasted by VSS and parents in all primary and middle schools.

Table 2.21: Tasting Meal before Serving to Students

| Sl. <br> No. Particulars |  | No. of Schools |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PS |  | MS |  |
|  |  | Daily | Seldom | Daily | Seldom |
| 1 | Tasted by Teacher | $20(100.0)$ | 0 | $14(100.0)$ | 0 |
| 2 | Tasted by VSS | 0 | $20(100.0)$ | 0 | $14(100.0)$ |
| 3 | Tasted by Parents | 0 | $20(100.0)$ | 0 | $14(100.0)$ |
| Total No. of Schools |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Community Participation and Awareness

10 percent parents of primary school and 35.71 percent parents of middle schools supervised MDM on a daily basis and found it to be good and 85 percent primary and 64.29 percent middle schools were satisfactory. In case of VSS it was 10 percent in primary and 21.43 percent in middle schools good and 85 percent primary and 78.57 percent middle schools were satisfactory. Supervision by panchayat/urban bodies was found good in 14.29 percent middle schools and 75 percent primary and 50 percent middle schools satisfactory.

At the time of monitoring of MDM, it was rated as satisfactory by 85 percents parents, 85 percent VSS and 75 percent panchayat/urban bodies in primary schools. Similarly, 64.29 percents parents, 78.57 percent VSSs and 50 percent panchayat/urban bodies in middle schools rated the monitoring of MDM as satisfactory. MDM was monitored to be good by 10 percent parents in primary schools and 14.29 percent panchayat/urban bodies in middle schools.

Table 2.22: Participation of Parents/VSSs/Urban bodies in Monitoring of MDM

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sl. } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | Particulars | PS |  |  | MS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Parents | VSSs | Panchayat/ Urban bodies | Parents | VSSs | Panchayat/ Urban bodies |
| Supervision of Daily MDM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Good | 2(10.0) | 2(10.0) | - | 5(35.71) | 3(21.43) | 2(14.29) |
| 2 | Satisfactory | 17(85.0) | 17(85.0) | 15(75.0) | 9(64.29) | 11(78.57) | 7(50.0) |
| 3 | None | 1(5.0) | 1(5.0) | 5(25.0) | - | - | 5(35.71) |
| Monitoring of MDM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Good | 2(10.0) | 2(10.0) | - | 5(35.71) | 3(21.43) | 2(14.29) |
| 2 | Satisfactory | 17(85.0) | 17(85.0) | 15(75.0) | 9(64.29) | 11(78.57) | 7(50.0) |
| 3 | None | 1(5.0) | 1(5.0) | 5(25.0) | - | - | 5(35.71) |
| Total <br> schools No. of |  | 20(100.0) |  |  | 14(100.0) |  |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Awareness about MDM

It was found that community members maintained roaster for supervision of MDM in 45 percent primary and 71.43 percent in middle schools. Table 2.23 shows that 75 percent primary and 78.57 percent in middle schools have social audit mechanism in the school.

The list of schools where roster was not being maintained by the community members for supervision of the MDM is given in Annexure 2.23.

Table 2.23: Awareness regarding MDM

| Sl. | Particulars |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. of Schools |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | PS |  | MS |  |  |
| 1 | Roster being maintained by the <br> community members <br> supervision of the MDM | $9(45.0)$ | $11(55.0)$ | $10(71.43)$ | $4(28.57)$ |  |
| 2 | Is there any social audit <br> mechanism in the school | $15(75.0)$ | $5(25.0)$ | $11(78.57)$ | $3(21.43)$ |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Numbers of VSS Meetings

Table 2.24 shows that VSSs meeting monitoring time was three or four times in 15 percent primary and 7.14 percent middle schools, 5 times and above in 85 percent
primary and 92.86 percent middle schools. The frequency of VSS meeting for MDM related discussion was three to four times in 15 percent primary and 7.14 percent middle schools, 5 times and above scenarios in 85 percent primary and 92.86 percent middle schools.

Table 2.24: VSS meetings

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Sl. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Particulars | PS |  |  |  | MS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1-2 \\ & \text { time } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 3-4 \\ \text { time } \end{array}$ | 5 \& above time | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1-2 \\ & \text { time } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3-4 \\ & \text { time } \end{aligned}$ | 5 <br> above <br> time | Total |
| 1. | No. of VSS meeting till monitoring time | - | 3(15.0) | 17(85.0) | 20(100.0) | - | 1(7.14) | 13(92.86) | 14(100.0) |
| 2. | No. of VSS meeting to MDM related discussion | - | 3(15.0) | 17(85.0) | 20(100.0) | - | 1(7.14) | 13(92.86) | 14(100.0) |
| Total No. of school |  | 20 (100.0) |  |  |  | 14 (100.0) |  |  |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Availability of Inspection Registers

The regular inspection of MDM was reported in all sample primary and middle schools of the district. Inspection register was available at school level in all sample primary and middle schools.

Table 2.25: Inspection and Supervision of MDM by Educational Authorities

| Sl. <br> No. | Particulars | No. of Schools |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PS |  | MS |  |
|  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| 1 | Is there any Inspection Register available at school level? | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ (100.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 14 \\ 100.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | - |
| 2 | Whether school has received any funds under MME component? | - | $\begin{gathered} 22 \\ 100.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 14 \\ (100.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 3 | Is regular inspections of MDM | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ (100.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 14 \\ (100.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | - |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Inspection and Supervision of MDM

Regular inspection of the MDM food was be done in all sample primary and middle schools of the district. The inspection was also done by the BEO, MDM Coordinator, CRC Coordinator, BRP and DPO. As Table 2.26 shows in all sample primary and middle schools maximum inspection and supervision were done by BRPs in Katihar district. Maximum inspections were made by block level authorities and mostly inspection was made monthly in both categories of schools.

Table 2.26: Inspections and Supervision of MDM
(Multiple Responses)

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Sl. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Particulars |  | No. of Schools |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | PS | MS |
| 1 | Regular inspection of the MDM food |  | 20(100.0) | 14(100.0) |
| 2 | Inspection by | BEO | 6(30.00) | 5(35.71) |
|  |  | MDM Coordinator | 4(20.0) | 3(21.43) |
|  |  | CRC coordinator | 6(30.0) | 7(50.0) |
|  |  | BRP | 10(50.0) | 8(57.14) |
|  |  | DPO | 3(15.0) | 4(28.57) |
|  |  | VES | 4(20.0) | 4(28.57) |
| 3 | Inspecting authority | District | 7(35.0) | 7(50.0) |
|  |  | Block | 16(80.0) | 13(92.86) |
|  |  | CRC | 6(30.0) | 7(50.0) |
|  |  | Others | 4(20.0) | 4(28.57) |
| 4 | Frequency <br> of inspections | Daily | - | - |
|  |  | Weekly | 6(30.0) | 5(35.71) |
|  |  | Fortnightly | - | - |
|  |  | Monthly | 14(70.0) | 9(64.29) |
|  |  | Often | - | - |
| 5 | If any, then Remark made by the visiting of officers | Good Quality of <br> MDM should be <br> provided.   | - | - |
|  |  | Cleanliness of kitchen \& store | - | - |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Impact of MDM

As indicated in Table 2.27 impact of MDM in all primary and middle schools has improved enrollment of students, attendance of students and full time presence of students in schools.

Table 2.27: Impact of the MDM

| Sl. | Particulars |  | No. of Schools |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| No. |  |  | PS | MS |
| 1 | Mid day meal improved | Enrollment of student | $20(100.0)$ | $14(100.0)$ |
|  |  | Attendance of student | $20(100.0)$ | $14(100.0)$ |
|  |  | Present of students full <br> time in school | $20(100.0)$ | $14(100.0)$ |
| 2 | Total No. of School |  | $20(100.0)$ | $14(100.0)$ |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Social Harmony

In all sample primary and middle schools MDM has improved social harmony and nutritional status of children. Table 2.28 shows that there is other incidental benefit due to serving of meal in schools.

Table 2.28: Social Harmony

| Sl. <br> No. | Narticulars |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PS |  |  | No Schools |
|  |  | Yes | Yes | No |  |
| 1 | Whether mid day meal has helped in <br> improvement of the social harmony | 20 <br> $(100.0)$ | - | 14 | - |
| 2 | Whether mid day meal has helped in <br> improvement of the nutritional <br> status of the children. | 20 <br> $(100.0)$ | - | 14 | - |
| 3 | Is there any other incidental benefit <br> due to serving of meal in schools | 20 <br> $(100.0)$ | - | 14 | - |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Grievance Redressal Mechanism

As Table 2.29 indicates that all primary and middle schools have no grievances redressal mechanism in the district for MDMs and the district/block and school have no toll free number.

Table 2.29: Grievance Redressal Mechanisms

| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Sl. } \\ \text { No. }\end{array}$ | Particulars |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PS |  |  | No. of Schools |
|  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| 1 | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Is any grievance redressal mechanism in } \\ \text { the district for MDMS }\end{array}$ | - | 20 | - | 14 |
| $(100.0)$ |  |  |  |  |  |$)$

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Views of Investigator on other Issues of MDM Implementation

The investigators opined that monitoring and evaluation of MDM scheme should be regular in all primary and middle schools of the district. Use of wheat in MDM should be increased in 44.44 percent primary and 33.33 percent middle schools. LPG should be initiate for cooking in 66.67 percent primary and 66.67 percent middle schools. Use of green vegetables must be encouraged in 33.33 percent primary and 20 percent middle schools in the Katihar district as shown in Table-2.30. (See annexure 2.30).

Table 2.30: Views and Observations of Investigators

| Sl. <br> No. | Issues relevant to MDM implementation | No. of Schools |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PS | MS |
| 1 | Monitoring \& Evaluation of MDM team should be regular | 18 (100.0) | 15 (100.0) |
| 2 | Arrangement and availability of LPG for cooking purpose | 12 (66.67) | 10 (66.67) |
| 3 | Use of wheat for MDM | 8 (44.44) | 5 (33.33) |
| 4 | Use of Green Vegetable must be used in MDM | 6 (33.33) | 3 (20.00) |
| Total No. of Schools |  | 18 (100.0) | 15 (100.0) |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Chapter III

## Centralized Kitchen in District Katihar

## Introduction

The primary objective of the MDM scheme is to provide hot cooked meal to children of primary and middle classes; with other objectives of improving nutritional status of children, encouraging poor children, belonging to disadvantaged sections, to attend school more regularly and help them concentrate on classroom activities, thereby increasing the enrolment, retention and attendance rates.

To ensure proper and complete implementation of the scheme, two models have been designed and are in practice.


- In the decentralized model the meals are cooked for an exact number of students in the school, by a cook, helper, and organizer, right on the school premises and the fresh meal is served to the children.
- In the centralized model, mostly through a public-private partnership, an external organization cooks and delivers the meal to schools. The advantages of centralized kitchen include ensuring the provision of hygienic and nutritious food as well as allowing for the optimum utilization of infrastructural facilities. The centralized kitchen model offers the benefit of preparing a cooked meal under strict supervision in a safe environment ensuring hygienic standard and provision of quality food to a large number of children in time. This model addresses the
challenges of poor infrastructure, susceptibility to fire accidents and drain on teaching time faced by schools by attempting to prepare the meal themselves. Further, the transport of food is closely monitored and delivered to the schools at the stipulated time.


## Organization

During the monitoring and evaluation of MDM programme in Katihar district, it was found that Center for National Development Initiatives (NGO) served the MDM in Katihar-Urban and Rural blocks from the November 2009

## No. of Schools and Students Receiving MDM from NGO

Out of the 20 primary and 14 middle schools, it was found that MDM was cooked and supplied by Center for National Development Initiatives for 8 primary and also in 4 sample middle schools. The survey also shows that in total 77 schools (37 PS and 40 MS) of the district Katihar are served MDM prepared by the NGO. Hence a total of 31661 students from the 18728 primary schools and 12933 students from the middle schools of the Katihar-Urban and Rural blocks receive MDM prepared by the NGO in the district.

## Location and Area of Centralized Kitchen

The centralized kitchen running by NGO in Katihar is located in the urban area and its total covered area approximately 5780 sq. ft .

## Surrounding and Accessibility of Centralized Kitchen

The quality was good level of the centralized kitchen with respect to its atmosphere surroundings. The centralized kitchen in Katihar-Urban block was rated as also good for accessibility.

## Infrastructural Facilities in Centralized Kitchen

The infrastructural facilities in the centralized kitchen in district Katihar, different types of facilities were surveyed to analyze the infrastructural facilities. The survey reveals the following observations:
i. FOOD ITEMS: Adequate space was available to receive the food grains/food articles. Regarding the cleanliness of the food items received, the rating given was good location. Location received dry food grains.
ii. Storage space for food items was adequate in sample centralized kitchen. The cleanliness of the storage space was rated fair locations. Also, the storage space was found to be dry, well lit and ventilated location.
iii. There was adequate space for Pre-Preparation of MDM sample kitchen. Cleanliness during pre-preparation was fair kitchen. The space was dry, well-lit and ventilated centralized kitchens.
iv. COOKING SPACE was adequate, dry, well lit and ventilated in the sample centralized kitchens. Cleanliness maintained during cooking was rated as good. Food assembly and serving space was adequate, dry, well-lit and ventilated. Similarly, the cleanliness maintained in this area was also rated as good locations.
v. The WASHING AREA of centralized kitchen was found to be adequate in space, dry, well lit and ventilated. However, on the cleanliness parameter, the area was rated as good.

## Procurement and Storage of Raw Food Items in Centralized Kitchen

The information regarding procurement and storage of raw food items in the sample centralized kitchen. It was observed that in any single purchase, the maximum purchase made was on pulses, followed by vegetables, then fats and oils, and then spices. The frequency of purchase was monthly for all food items except for vegetable which were bought on a daily basis. It was found that all the raw food items were stored in plastic containers in centralized kitchens. Along with this, laminated gunny bags were also used to store cereals, pulses vegetables and spices. Along with plastic containers to store fats and oils, tin containers were also being used.

## Positioning of Container/Bags of Raw Food Items

The positions of the storage containers / bags of the raw foods' items in the centralized kitchen as observed in the field, the containers/bags were placed on a raised platform.

## Type of Quality Parameters Verified in Raw Food Items

The different types of quality parameters which were verified in the raw food items received in the sample centralized kitchen. Stones, insects, over-ripeness and bad odor were checked for in the raw food items.

## Source, Availability and Storage of water in Centralized Kitchen

The data regarding source, availability and storage of water in the sample centralized kitchen. It was found that source of water was bore-well available and water was stored on covered utensils in centralized kitchens.

## Preparation of MDM in Centralized Kitchens

The survey revealed that regarding the preparation of MDM in the centralized kitchen the food items were washed before preparation. LPG and Coal was used for preparing food in sample centralized kitchens. It was observed that after preparation, food items were kept covered. Also, the maximum time lapse between preparing and packaging of food was found to be one hour. Steel drums and steel buckets were used to pack food by kitchen. Likewise, clean packing material was used in the kitchen.

## Quality of Spices and Salt Used for Preparation of MDM

It was found that only seal-packed Agmark spices were used for preparation of MDM in centralized kitchen. Also, double fortified salt (iron and iodine) was used in sample kitchen.

## Organization of the Centralized Kitchen

## Management of Uneaten Food Left by Students in Schools

It was observed that management of food left uneaten by children in schools in the urban block of District Katihar the leftover food was distributed to poor families of slum areas nearby the schools.

## Methods of Washing of Utensils in Centralized Kitchen

Scrubber, detergent/soap and water were used to wash the kitchen utensils in centralized kitchen.

## Staff Details of Centralized Kitchen

Total 77 persons were employed in the central kitchen. The kitchen had 1 kitchen and store in-charge each; 1 purchase in-charge and 3 head cooks, 17 cooks. The remaining employees were handlers and distributors, guards and sweepers.

## Personal Hygiene of Staff in Centralized Kitchen

It was observed that personal hygiene maintained by the employees of the sample central kitchens clean uniforms, wearing of headgears, short and clean fingernails, gloveswearing while handling food was in practice. Central kitchens had toilet facility for staff. None of the staff suffered from cold, cough, throat infection or diarrhea etc. No unhygienic activities were observed among the food handlers.

## Methods of Kitchen-Waste Disposal

The survey shows that various methods of kitchen-waste disposal used in centralized kitchen. Kitchen used garbage bins with lids for waste disposal. Garbage bins were removed from the kitchen premises at frequent intervals, emptied and cleaned. It was also found that no garbage was found lying around in the vicinity of the sample centralized kitchen.

## Modes for Transporting MDM to Schools

It was found that the NGO used 12 Magic Vans for transporting food from the centralized kitchen to the schools in the catchment areas .

## Precautions Taken during Transporting MDM

Various precautions were observed by the centralized kitchens while transporting MDM to the schools. The survey revealed that centralized kitchen used properly covered containers during transporting food in vehicles. The food compartment of the vehicles was kept clean and dry and a person accompanied the packed food from the centralized kitchens to schools.

## Estimation of MDM on Different Parameters

The appearance and texture of the food was rated as fair. The taste and smell of the food prepared in centralized kitchen was rated as also fair.

The overall acceptability of MDM, prepared and provided to the students of primary and middle schools by sample centralized kitchens, was rated as good.

## Chapter-IV

## Major Findings

Monitoring and evaluation of Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan (SSA) was conducted by the Giri Institute of Development Studies, Lucknow during 26 April to 11 May, 2015. The survey covered 20 primary schools and 14 middle schools in the Katihar district as suggested by the Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education and Literacy, Government of India. Besides monitoring and evaluation of SSA programme in the district, the working of MDM was also monitored and evaluated. The focus of monitoring of MDM was limited to cover only key components of the MDM programme. These components of MDM monitoring and evaluations were selected by the Ministry. On the basis of field survey of primary and middle schools where MDM is being implemented, following conclusions have been arrived at:

- Out of the 20 primary and 14 middle sample schools, it was found that MDM was cooked and supplied by NGO namely, Center for National Development Initiatives for 8 primary and 4 sample middle schools also. Rest of sample schools MDM cooked in premises of schools campus.
- During the monitoring and evaluation of MDM programme in Katihar district, it was found that Center for National Development Initiatives (NGO) served the MDM in Katihar- urban and rural blocks from the November 2009.
- During the visits of schools of district Katihar 83 percen sample primary and all middle schools reported to have received food grains within one month and its quality was good.
- There was reported timely release of MDM funds from state, district and blocklevel in all primary and middle schools of the district. It was also observed that there were all sample schools where MDM cooked have received MDM fund in advance and regularly.
- It was found that all sample schools hot cooked meal was provided to students regularly. This fact was confirmed from students, teachers, parents and from MDM registers of the concerning schools.
- Due to unavailability of food grains and meals not supplied by NGO etc in 6 PS 3 MS in few days of last three months food not served to the students.
- There was no difference between the number of students for whom the MDM was prepared and those who got the MDM.
- MDM was cooked by VSS appointed cook-cum-helpers. Majority of cooks were females ( 92 percent in PS and 82 percent in MS) and they were paid monthly salary of Rs.1000/ regularly through the cheques.
- A training module is available for all cook-cum-helpers and MDM Coordinators (Blocks and district level) imparted the trainings in all sample primary and middle schools.
- The quality and quantity of MDM was examined and it was found that in 70 percent primary and 79 percent middle schools the quality of meal was good while, in the remaining schools it was found to be average.
- The MDM was served by cooks and the students received MDM in queue. There was no difference between MDM registers and head count of students on the day of visit of monitoring team to the sample schools.
- The menu was displaced at noticeable places in all primary and middle schools and it is also verified that the all sample schools followed the menu in Katihar district.
- MDM logo was not displayed in any of the sample PS and MS.
- On the day of visit 53 percent students of primary and 43 percent students of middle schools were present in the school. On the day of visits in all sample schools all children were actually availing meal and as per MDM register also was found same.
- It has been observed that in none of the sample primary and middle schools gender, caste or community discrimination in cooking and serving or seating arrangement in Katihar district.
- Health cards were maintained in 50 percent primary and 43 percent middle schools. Likewise students of all sample primary and middle schools were given micronutrients medicine by teachers.
- The availability of potable water through difference sources was found in 95 percent sample primary and all middle schools.
- It was found that only 25 percent sample primary and 86 percent middle schools have ceasefire.
- The kitchen utensils were available in all sample primary and middle schools.
- In all the sample primary and middle schools, food was cooked using fire wood and NGO was used LPG and coal for cooked the meals in the Katihar district.
- The availability of covered drums was found in 75 percent primary and 86 percent middle schools. Cover drums were reported to have been purchased from MME funds.
- Separate toilets for boys and girls were available in only 65 percent primary and 86 percent middle schools.
- The Computers was available in only 5 (35.71 percent) middle schools only.
- The condition of environment, safety and hygiene was good or satisfactory in all the sample primary and middle schools.
- The students were seen receiving the MDM in queue in all the schools. Cooking process and storage of fuel were found to be safe from fire hazards in also all sample schools. In all PS and MS children were educated about conservation of water.
- The meal was tasted by the teachers, members of the VSS and parents before it was served to the students.
- The awareness of parents and community about MDM was found to be satisfactory in most of the schools.
- The frequency of VSS meeting for MDM related discussion was observed and it was also found that community members maintained roaster for supervision of MDM in 45 percent primary and 72 percent middle schools.
- Inspection and supervision MDM by district and block officials on regular basis covered all schools
- The impact of MDM was found to be positive in all sample primary and middle schools. MDM has improved enrollment of students, attendance of students and full time presence of students in all schools.
- In all sample primary and middle schools MDM has improved social harmony and nutritional status of students.
- The views of investigators about different aspect of implementation of MDM in the district were found to be positive. The investigators views were that monitoring and evaluation of MDM should be regular basis at school level, Wheat also should be provided to schools for MDM and LPG should be ensured for cooking instead of woods.
- It was found that MDM was also cooked and supplied Center for National Development Initiatives (A NGO) for total 77 schools ( 37 PS and 40 MS ) in the district of Katihar. Hence a total of 31661 students, (from the 18728 primary schools and 12933 students from the middle schools) were benefited with MDM cooked by centralized kitchen managed by NGO.
- The overall acceptability of MDM, prepared and provided to the students of primary and middle schools by sample centralized kitchens, was rated as good.


## ANNEXURE

Annexure 2.1

| Schools in which Food Grains Facility not available within One Month |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Primary Schools |  |  |
| 1 | PS Nisahra |  |
| 2 | NPS Kanva Tola |  |
| Food Grains not delivered to Lifting Agency within Proper Time |  |  |
| 1 | PS Nisahra |  |
| 2 | PS Shabda |  |

Annexure 2.5

| In Last three months, few days food not served due to Various Reasons |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Primary Schools |  |  |  |
| 1 | PS Nisahra | 4 | PS Pakirya |
| 2 | PS Maheshpur | 5 | NPS Kanva Tola |
| 3 | UMS Balu Tola | 6 | UMS Gidhabari |
| Middle Schools |  |  |  |
| 1 | UMS Mushapur Rangakal | 3 | MS Pothia |
| 2 | MS Bhanga |  |  |

Annexure 2.11

| Health Card not Maintained for each Child in School |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primary Schools |  |  |  |
| 1 | UMS Balu Tola | 6 | PS Balitiker |
| 2 | PS Dahairiya Belagachi | 7 | PS Refugee Colony |
| 3 | PS Pakirya | 8 | UMS Gidhabari |
| 4 | Urdu PS Barbana | 9 | PS Choti Chouraha Durgapur |
| 5 | NPS Kanva Tola | 10 | PS Shabda |
| Middle Schools |  |  |  |
| 1 | Urdu MS Kadwa Rampara | 5 | UMS Mongra |
| 2 | UMS Giryama | 6 | UMS Dhusmer |
| 3 | UMS Devi Bareta | 7 | Uma Devi Mishra Girls MS Katihar |
| 4 | Adarash MS Semapur | 8 | UMS Burma Refugee Colony |
| First aid Medical Kit not available in the School |  |  |  |
| Primary Schools |  |  |  |
| 1 | NPS Chiknighat khera | 7 | PS Balitiker |
| 2 | PS Barari | 8 | PS Refugee Colony |
| 3 | PS Maheshpur | 9 | UMS Madhepura |
| 4 | UMS Balu Tola | 10 | UMS Gidhabari |
| 5 | PS Dahairiya Belagachi | 11 | PS Choti Chouraha Durgapur |
| 6 | NPS Kanva Tola | 12 | PS Shabda |
| Middle Schools |  |  |  |
| 1 | UMS Mushapur Rangakal | 4 | UMS Dhusmer |
| 2 | Urdu MS Kadwa Rampara | 5 | Uma Devi Mishra Girls MS Katihar |
| 3 | UMS Mongra |  |  |

Annexure 2.13

| Cease fire not available |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Primary Schools |  |  |  |
| 1 | PS Ganhigram | 9 | NPS Kanva Tola |
| 2 | PS Nisahra | 10 | PS Balitiker |
| 3 | NPS Chiknighat khera | 11 | PS Refugee Colony |
| 4 | PS Bhagwati Asthan | 12 | UMS Madhepura |


| 5 | PS Bareta | 13 | UMS Gidhabari |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 6 | PS Maheshpur | 14 | PS Choti Chouraha Durgapur |
| 7 | PS Dahairiya Belagachi | 15 | PS Shabda |
| 8 | Urdu PS Barbana |  |  |
| Middle Schools |  |  |  |
| 1 | UMS Mongra | 2 | UMS Dhusmer |

Annexure 2.14

| Eating Plates etc. are not available |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primary Schools |  |  |  |
| 1 | PS Dahairiya Belagachi |  |  |
| 2 | Urdu PS Barbana |  |  |
| Utensils/Kitchen Devices are not Sufficient |  |  |  |
| Primary Schools |  |  |  |
| 1 | UMS Sirsa | 6 | PS Pakirya |
| 2 | PS Nisahra | 7 | PS Balitiker |
| 3 | PS Barari | 8 | PS Refugee Colony |
| 4 | PS Bhagwati Asthan | 9 | MS Sirsa |
| 5 | PS Maheshpur |  |  |
| Middle Schools |  |  |  |
| 1 | MS Bhanga | 4 | UMS Dhusmer |
| 2 | MS Pothia | 5 | MS Guru Bazar |
| 3 | UMS Mongra |  |  |

Annexure 2.15

| Kitchens not Available |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Primary School |  |  |  |
| 1 | UMS Sirsa | 4 | PS Balitiker |
| 2 | PS Ganhigram | 5 | PS Refugee Colony |
| 3 | NPS Chiknighat khera | 7 | PS Choti Chouraha Durgapur |
| 4 | PS Dahairiya Belagachi |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1 | UMS Mongra |  |  |
| 2 | Uma Devi Mishra Girls MS Katihar |  |  |

Annexure 2.16
Non-availability of Covered Drum of Food Grains in School Primary School

| 1 | PS Ganhigram | 4 | UMS Madhepura |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 2 | PS Dahairiya Belagachi | 5 | PS Choti Chouraha Durgapur |
| 3 | Urdu PS Barbana |  |  |
| Middle School |  |  |  |
| 1 | Urdu MS Kadwa Rampara | 2 | UMS Burma Refugee Colony |

Annexure 2.17

| Non-availability of Toilets |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sr <br> no | Name of the Schools | No separate <br> toilet for <br>  <br> Boys | No Proper <br> use of <br> Toilets | No Common <br> Toilet <br> available | No Proper use <br> of Toilets |  |
| Primary School |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | UMS Sirsa | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - |  |
| 2 | PS Ganhigram | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - |  |
| 3 | PS Nisahra | $\checkmark$ | - | $\checkmark$ | - |  |


| 4 | NPS Chiknighat khera | $\checkmark$ | - | $\checkmark$ | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | PS Barari | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - |
| 6 | PS Bhagwati Asthan | - | - | - | - |
| 7 | PS Bareta | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - |
| 8 | PS Maheshpur | - | - | - | - |
| 9 | UMS Balu Tola | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | - |
| 10 | PS Dahairiya Belagachi | $\checkmark$ | - | $\checkmark$ | - |
| 11 | PS Pakirya | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - |
| 12 | Urdu PS Barbana | $\checkmark$ | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| 13 | NPS Kanva Tola | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - |
| 14 | PS Balitiker | $\checkmark$ | - | - | - |
| 15 | PS Refugee Colony | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - |
| 16 | UMS Madhepura | $\checkmark$ | - | - | - |
| 17 | UMS Gidhabari | $\checkmark$ | - | - | - |
| 18 | PS Choti Chouraha Durgapur | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - |
| 19 | PS Shabda | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | - |
| 20 | MS Sirsa | - | - | - | - |
| Middle School |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | UMS Mushapur Rangakal | - | - | - | - |
| 2 | MS Bhanga | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - |
| 3 | Urdu MS Kadwa Rampara | - | - | - | - |
| 4 | MS Balua | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - |
| 5 | MS Bathaili | - | - | - | - |
| 6 | UMS Giryama | - | - | - | - |
| 7 | UMS Devi Bareta | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - |
| 8 | Adarash MS Semapur | - | - | - | - |
| 9 | MS Pothia | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - |
| 10 | UMS Mongra | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - |
| 11 | UMS Dhusmer | $\checkmark$ | - | - | - |
| 12 | Uma Devi Mishra Girls MS Katihar | $\checkmark$ | - | - | - |
| 13 | UMS Burma Refugee Colony | - | - | - | - |
| 14 | MS Guru Bazar | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |

Annexure 2.23
Roster not being maintained by the Community Members for Supervision of MDM

| Primary School |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | UMS Sirsa | 7 | PS Bareta |
| 2 | PS Ganhigram | 8 | PS Maheshpur |
| 3 | PS Nisahra | 9 | Urdu PS Barbana |
| 4 | NPS Chiknighat khera | 10 | PS Shabda |
| 5 | PS Barari | 11 | MS Sirsa |
| 6 | PS Bhagwati Asthan |  |  |
| Middle School |  |  |  |
| 1 | UMS Mushapur Rangakal | 3 | MS Pothia |
| 2 | MS Bhanga | 4 | MS Guru Bazar |

District-4: Arwal

## Chapter I

## Introduction

## Sample Design of the Study

A total of 35 schools have been taken as sample from Arwal district as shown in Table-1.1. Out of these 35 schools, 22 are primary schools and 13 middle schools.

Table 1.1: Number of Sample Schools

| Sl. No. | Name of Block | Primary <br> schools | Middle schools | Total |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Arwal | 5 | 3 | 8 |
| 2 | Karpi | 6 | 5 | 11 |
| 3 | Kaler | 6 | 3 | 9 |
| 4 | Kurtha | 5 | 2 | 7 |
|  | Total | 22 | 13 | 35 |

## School-wise Criteria for Selection

Table-1.2 reflects all 34 sample schools selected from Arwal district. Keeping in view, that each types of school as per the selection criteria, to be represented list of sample schools.

Table 1.2: School-wise list of Sample Schools

| Sl. No. | Name of the Schools | Category of <br> Schools PS/ MS | Criteria for Selection |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Utkrmit MS Kagzi Mohalla | PS | CWSN, PTR |
| 2 | Govt. Urdu PS Faridabad | PS | CWSN |
| 3 | PS Wasilpur | PS | CWSN |
| 4 | PS Ahiyapur | PS | Seasonal Migreation |
| 5 | Urdu Kanya PS Sahimalla | PS | Civil Work |
| 6 | Govt. PS Chlhan Bigha | PS | Seasonal Migreation |
| 7 | Utkrmiy MS Benipur | PS | CWSN |
| 8 | Govt. PS Nadaura | PS | Gender Gap |
| 9 | MS Mubarakpur | PS | PTR |
| 10 | PS Khaira | PS | CWSN, Civil Work |
| 11 | PS Koni | PS | Civil Work |
| 12 | Urdu Kanya PS Pura Kothi | PS | PTR |
| 13 | PS Balidad | PS | Civil Work |
| 14 | PS Injaur | PS | CWSN |
| 15 | Govt. PS Aganoor | PS | CWSN, Civil Work |


| Sl. No. | Name of the Schools | Category of <br> Schools PS/ MS | Criteria for Selection |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | Govt. PS Belanw | PS | Civil Work, PTR |
| 17 | Govt. PS Anandpur | PS | PTR |
| 18 | Govt. PS Nagwan | PS | CWSN |
| 19 | PS Husaini Bigha | PS | Civil Work |
| 20 | PS Kundi | PS | PTR |
| 21 | PS Pan Bigha | PS | CWSN |
| 22 | PS Junathi | MS | SC Dominated |
| 23 | Govt. Kanya MS Arwal | MS | CWSN |
| 24 | UMS Saidpur Dhawa | MS | PTR |
| 25 | Govt. MS Baidrabad | MS | Computer, Civil Work,PTR |
| 26 | Govt. MS Kurtha | MS | Computer, PTR |
| 27 | UMS Pratap Pur | MS | PTR |
| 28 | UMS Bolidarpur | MS | CWSN |
| 29 | UMS Hardiya | MS | CWSN |
| 30 | Govt. MS Kaler | MS | Civil Work |
| 31 | MS Lodhipur | MS | Civil Work |
| 32 | Govt. MS Bambhai | MS | CWSN |
| 33 | Govt. MS Karpi | MS | Computer, Civil Work |
| 34 | UMS Pariyari Deeh | MS | CWSN |
| 35 | Govt. MS Puran | PTR, SC Dominated |  |

Source: Office of the District Education Officer, Arwal

## Tools

A well-structured questionnaire was prepared to collect primary data from the selected primary and middle schools.

## Chapter-II

## Implementation of MDM Programme

## Regularity in Supply of Food Grains

Table 2.1 shows that all sample primary and middle schools from the sample were receiving food grains within one month. It was also found that the food grains were delivered to the lifting agency within proper time in all sample schools. It has also been observed that the quality of food supplied was as per FAQ mark in all sample schools (Table: 2.1). In all sample schools, food grains were released after adjusting the unspent balance grains of the previous month.

Table 2.1: Regularity in supply of Food Grains to Schools

| Sl.No. | Particulars | No. of Schools |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PS |  | MS |  |
|  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| 1. | If Food grains facility available in schools within One month | 22(100.0) | - | 13(100.0) | - |
| 2. | Food grains delivered to lifting agency within proper time | 22(100.0) | - | 13(100.0) | - |
| 3. | If lifting agency is not delivering the food grains to the school how is the food grains transported up to the schools. | - |  | - |  |
| 4. | Whether the food grains is of FAQ Mark - grade A | 22(100.0) | - | 13(100.0) | - |
| 5. | Whether food grains are released to school after adjusting the unspent balance of the previous month | 22(100.0) | - | 13(100.0) | - |
|  | Total No. of Schools | 22 (100.0) |  | 13 (100.0) |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Timely Release of Funds

It was found that a timely release of funds was done regularly by state, district and block-level in all samples primary and middle schools of the district, as shown in Table-2.2.

Table 2.2: Timely Release of Funds

| S.No Particulars | No. of Schools |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | PS | MS |  |
| 1 | Whether State is releasing funds to District on regular <br> basis in advance | $22(100.0)$ | $13(100.0)$ |
| 2 | Whether District is releasing funds to Block on regular <br> basis in advance | $22(100.0)$ | $13(100.0)$ |
| 3 | Whether Block is releasing funds to School on regular <br> basis in advance | $22(100.0)$ | $13(100.0)$ |
| Total No. of schools | $22(100.0)$ | $13(100.0)$ |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Cost of Cooking Received

It was found that all sample schools were receiving cooking cost regularly and in advance. It was also found that E-transfer was the preferred mode of payment for the cooking cost in all sample schools.

Table 2.3: Availability of Cooking Cost

| S.No | Particulars |  | No. of Schools |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PS | MS |  |
| 1 | No. of schools in which cooking cost was received <br> regularly and in advance | $22(100.0)$ | $13(100.0)$ |  |
|  | How much delay in receiving the <br> cooking cost in advance | 10 days | - | - |
|  | 20 days | - | - |  |
| 4 | In case of delay, how does the school/implementing <br> agency manages to ensure that there is no disruption in <br> the feeding programme | - | - |  |
| 5 | Mode of payment of cooking Cost | In cash | - | - |
|  | By Cheque | - | - |  |
|  | E-Transfer | $22(100.0)$ | $13(100.0)$ |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Information Regarding Cooks Cum-Helpers

It was found that in all sample primary and middle schools, MDM was being served by the appointed cook-cum-helpers, 74 in primary and 58 in middle schools. In 86.36 percent primary and 76.92 percent middle schools the number cooks were sufficient as per GOI norms. The cooks were mostly females and their salary was Rs. 1000/ per month. The salary of the cooks was paid through the cheques. Out of total appointed
cooks, the share of general category cooks appointed 1.35 percent in primary and 1.72 percent in middle schools. The OBC cooks were found about 59.46 percent in primary and 60.35 percent in middle schools. The Minority cooks were appointed in primary schools 6.76 percent and 1.72 percent middle school. The proportion of SC cooks was observed in 32.43 percent of primary and 36.21 percent in middle schools as evident from Table-2.4.

A training module for the cook-cum-helpers is available in all sample primary and middle schools. This module is provided to all the cooks. The MDM Coordinator in all sample primary and middle schools imparts training to the working cook-cum-helpers. Medical check-up of the cooks was done in all sample schools.

Annexure 2.4 List of schools in which cooks were not sufficient in number as per GOI.

Table 2.4: Availability of Cook-cum-helpers

| Sl.No. | Particulars |  | No. of Schools |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | PS | MS |
| 1 | Mode of appointment of cook-cumhelper in Schools | By VEC/SMC | 22 (100.0) | 13 (100.0) |
| 2 | Number of schools in which cooks were sufficient in number as per GOI |  | 19(86.36) | 10(76.92) |
| 3 | No. of Cooks in schools | Male | 6(8.11) | 6(10.34) |
|  |  | Female | 68(91.89) | 52(89.66) |
|  |  | Total | 74(100.0) | 58(100.0) |
| 4 | Monthly salary of cook | Rs. 1000/- per month | 74(100.0) | 58(100.0) |
|  |  | Rs. 2000/- per month | - | - |
| 5 | Mode of Payment | By Cash | - | - |
|  |  | By Cheque | 74(100.0) | 58(100.0) |
| 6 | Payment is regular | Yes | 74(100.0) | 58(100.0) |
|  |  | No | - | - |
| 7 | No. of cooks per social category | 1. SC | 24(32.43) | 21(36.21) |
|  |  | 2. ST | - | - |
|  |  | 3.OBC | 44(59.46) | 35(60.35) |
|  |  | 4 .Minority | 5(6.76) | 1(1.72) |
|  |  | 5. Others(GEN) | 1(1.35) | 1(1.72) |
| 8. | Availability of Training Modules for Cooks | Yes | 74(100.0) | 58(100.0) |
|  |  | No | - | - |
| 9. | If Yes, provided modules | Yes | 74(100.0) | 58(100.0) |
|  |  | No | - | - |
| 10. | Training of Cooks | Yes | 74(100.0) | 58(100.0) |
|  |  | No | - | - |


| 11. | If Yes, what was the training venue | BRC | $74(100.0)$ | $58(100.0)$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | CRC | - | - |
|  |  | Any other | - | - |
| 12. | Who is the Trainer | MDM <br> Coordinator | $74(100.0)$ | $58(100.0)$ |
| 13. | Is the meal prepared and transported by the Centralized <br> kitchen/ NGO, whether cook-cum-helpers have been <br> engaged to serve the meal to the children at school level. | - |  |  |
| 14. | Is there any medical checkup of the <br> cooks | Yes | $74(100.0)$ | $58(100.0)$ |
|  | No | - | - |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Regularity in Serving Meal

It was found that in all sample primary and middle schools hot, cooked meal was provided to the students on a daily basis. Regularity in supplying of hot cooked meal to the students of these schools has been observed by enquiring from the students, teachers, parents and through MDM register. This fact has been confirmed from students, teachers, and parents and from MDM register of the concerned school (Table-2.5).

Table 2.5: Regularity in Serving Meal

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Sl. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Particulars |  |  | No. of Schools |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | PS |  | MS |  |
|  |  |  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| 1. | Everyday served Hot Cooked Meal |  |  | 22(100.) | - | 13(100.0) | - |
| 2. | last three months how much days food not served (Multipale response) | Jan. | 1-8 | - |  | - |  |
|  |  |  | 8-15 | - |  | - |  |
|  |  |  | 15-24 | - |  | - |  |
|  |  |  | Total | - |  | - |  |
|  |  | Feb. | 1-8 | - |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 8-15 | - |  | - |  |
|  |  |  | 15-24 | - |  | - |  |
|  |  |  | Total | - |  | - |  |
|  |  | March | 1-8 | - |  | - |  |
|  |  |  | 8-15 | - |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 15-24 | - |  | - |  |
|  |  |  | Total | - |  |  |  |
| 3. | Item (ii) Reason for not served food |  |  |  |  | - |  |
|  | Jan Food grains not available |  |  | - |  | - |  |
|  | Feb Food grains not available |  |  | - |  | - |  |
|  | Mar. Food grains not available |  |  | - |  | - |  |
|  | Total No. of School |  |  | 22(100.0) |  | 13(100.0) |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Quality and Quantity of Menu

The quality and quantity of MDM was examined and it was found that in all sample schools the quality of meal was good. The quantity of the meal supplied was sufficient in all sample schools. It was found that all sample schools were providing the prescribed quantity of mid day meal to students (Table 2.6).

Table 2.6: Quality and Quantity of Meal

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Sl. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Particulars |  | No. of Schools |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | PS | MS |
| 1. | Quality of meal | Good | 22(100.0) | 13(100.0) |
|  |  | Normal | - | - |
|  |  | Bad | - | - |
| 2. | Quantity of meal | Sufficient | 22(100.0) | 13(100.0) |
|  |  | Normal | - | - |
|  |  | Less | - | - |
| 3. | Quantity of pulses used in the meal <br> ( 20 gram/student PS and $30 \mathrm{gram} /$ student MS) |  | 22(100.0) | 13(100.0) |
| 4. | Quantity of green leafy vegetable in the meal ( 50 gram/student PS and 75 gram/student MS) |  | 22(100.0) | 13(100.0) |
| 5. | Iron Iodine mixed salt used in Meal | Yes | 22(100.0) | 13(100.0) |
|  |  | No | - | - |
| 6. | Children were satisfied with the Served meal | Yes | 22(100.0) | 13(100.0) |
|  |  | No | - | - |
| 7. | Method for measuring the food grains and other item (Measure Kg ) |  | 22(100.0) | 13(100.0) |
| 8. | Method for measuring the served meal (According to Requirement) |  | 22(100.0) | 13(100.0) |
| 9. | Children were not satisfied the meal give Reasons |  | - | - |
| Total No. of Schools |  |  | 22(100.0) | 13(100.0) |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Variety of Menu

District authorities decide the weekly menu in all sample primary and middle schools. It was found that all sample primary and middle schools display weekly menu at a noticeable place. All sample primary and middle schools follow weekly menu and use locally available ingredients. The students of all the sample schools get sufficient calories from MDM as is evident from Table 2.7.

Table 2.7: Variety of the Menu of MDM

| SI.No. | Particulars |  | No. of Schools |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | PS | MS |
| 1. | Who decides the weekly menu | District Authority | 22(100.0) | 13(100.0) |
| 2. | Weekly menu was displayed at school noticeable place | Yes | 22(100.0) | 13(100.0) |
|  |  | No | - | - |
| 3. | If Yes, All people can see the menu | Yes | 22(100.0) | 13(100.0) |
|  |  | No | - | - |
| 4. | Weakly menu followed | Yes | 22(100.0) | 13(100.0) |
|  |  | No | - | - |
| 5. | Menu includes locally available in ingredients | Yes | 22(100.0) | 13(100.0) |
|  |  | No | - | - |
| 6. | Sufficient calories from MDM | Yes | 22(100.0) | 13(100.0) |
|  |  | No | - | - |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Display of Information under Right to Education Act, 2009

It has been found that all the sample primary and middle schools which cooked MDM on their premises received rice for the preparation of MDM. Daily menu has been shown at the right place in all sample primary school and all middle school. In the last month 51689 students of the sample primary schools and 41108 students of the sample middle schools have taken MDM. It was found that all sample primary and middle schools where the Daily Menu followed but MDM logo was displayed on the school building in 36.36 percent primary and 53.85 percent middle schools as revealed by Table-2.8.

The name of sample primary and middle schools where MDM Logo was not displayed is given in Annexure 2.8

Table 2.8: Display of Information at the School level at Prominent Place

| Sl. No. | Particulars |  | No. of Schools |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PS | MS |  |
| 1 |  | Wheat | - | - |
|  |  | Rice | $22(100.0)$ | $13(100.0)$ |
| 2 | Other material purchase \& use | $22(100.0)$ | $13(100.0)$ |  |
| 3 | Last month how many student take MDM | 51689 | 41108 |  |
| 4 | Daily Menu | $22(100.0)$ | $13(100.0)$ |  |
| 5 | Display MDM Logo on school Building | $8(36.36)$ | $7(53.85)$ |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Distribution of MDM

The number of students of primary and middle schools availing MDM was counted on the day of our visit in these sample schools. Their number was also verified from the MDM register. Table-2.9 indicates that 4335 students were enrolled in 22 sample primary and 5967 were enrolled in 13 sample middles schools of Arwal district. On the day of visit 56.10 percent children of primary and 41.06 percent children of middle schools were present in the school. It is also found that all students were availing MDM as per MDM register on the day of visits in middle schools.

Table 2.9: Children Availing MDM on the Day of Visit and as per School Registers

| Sl. <br> No. | Particulars | No. of Schools |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | PS | MS |  |
| 1 | No. of children enrolled in schools | $4335(100.0)$ | $5967(100.0)$ |
| 2 | No. of children attending the school on the day of <br> visit | $2432(56.10)$ | $2450(41.06)$ |
| 3 | No. of children availing MDM as per MDM register | $2432(100.0)$ | $2450(100.0)$ |
| 4 | No. of children actually availing MDM on the day <br> of visit | $2432(100.0)$ | $2450(100.0)$ |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Seating Arrangement for Eating

Queue was observed for serving and seating arrangement for eating of food in all sample primary and middle schools of Arwal district. . It has been observed that in none of the sample primary and middle schools gender, caste or community discrimination in cooking and serving or seating arrangement was observed as shown in Table-2.10.

Table 2.10: Discrimination in Cooking, Serving and Seating Arrangement of Students

| Sl.No | Particulars | No. of Schools |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PS |  | MS |  |
|  |  | Seating arrangement in Queue | Scattered | Seating arrangement in Queue | Scattered |
| 1 | System of serving and seating arrangement for eating | 22(100.0) | - | 13(100.0) | - |
| 2 | Observe any gender or caste or community discrimination in cooking or serving or seating arrangement | PS |  | MS |  |
|  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No |
|  |  | - | 22(100.0) | - | 13(100.0) |
|  | Total No. of schools | 22(100.0) |  | 13(100.0) |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Health Cards and Health Checkup

Issues regarding the child health care and related aspects were also examined in the district. It was found that schools health card was maintained in 22.73 percent primary and 38.46 percent. One time health checkup in a year was done in all sample primary and middle schools. Table 2.11 shows that all students of 68.18 percent primary and 76.92 percent middle schools were given micronutrients medicine periodically.These medicines were by teacher in all sample primary and middle schools and administered once. It was found that 5 primary and 5 middle schools maintain height and weight records of their children where health card found. It was found that in 27.27 percent primary and 46.15 percent middle schools maintain first aid medical kit in the school. Out of 21 PS AND 13, 5 primay and 5 middle schools have facility of dental and eye checkup maintain where health cards was found.

The names of the sample primary and middle schools where health cards, height and weight records, dental and eye check-up was not done and first-aid kit etc. was not available are given in Annexure 2.11.

Table 2.11: Health Cards, Health Checkup

| Sl.No. | Particulars |  |  | No. of Schools |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | PS |  | MS |  |
|  |  |  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| 1 | Health card maintained for each child in school |  |  | 5(22.73) | 17(77.27) | 5(38.46) | 8(61.54) |
| 2 | Frequency of health checkup | One time |  | 5(100.0) |  | 5(100.0) |  |
|  |  | Two time |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | More than two time |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Whether children are given micronutrients medicine periodically | Iron, Folic acid, Vitamin A dosage, De-worming |  | 15(68.18) | 7(31.82) | 10(76.92) | 3(23.08) |
| 4 | If yes, Name of the department who administered these medicines | By whom | 1. ANM |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2. Health Dept. |  |  | ${ }^{-}$ |  |
|  |  |  | 3. Teacher | 15(100.0) |  | 10(100.0) |  |
|  |  | How many time | 1 time | 15(100.0) |  | 10(100.0) |  |
|  |  |  | 2 time | - |  | - |  |
|  |  |  | 3 time | - |  | - |  |
| 5 | Whether height and weight record of the children is being indicated in the school health card. |  |  | 5(100.0) | - | 5(100.0) | - |
| 6 | Whether any referral during the period of monitoring. |  |  | - | 5(100.0) | - | 5(100.0) |
| 7. | Instances of medical emergency during the period of monitoring. |  |  | - | 5(100.0) | - | 5(100.0) |
| 8. | Availability of the first aid medical kit in the school. |  |  | 6(27.27) | 16(72.73) | 6(46.15) | 7(53.85) |
| 9. | Dental and eye check-up included in the screening. |  |  | 5(100.0) | - | 5(100.0) | - |
| 10. | If yes, distribution of spectacles to children suffering from refractive error. |  |  | - | 5(100.0) | - | 5(100.0) |
|  | Total No. of school |  |  | 22(100.0) |  | 13(100.0) |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Drinking Water and Sanitation

Table 2.12 shows that in all sample primary and middle schools have potable water for drinking purposes in convergence with drinking water and sanitation in Arwal district. Multiple responses were received while surveying the source of potable water. In all sample primary and middle schools through local hand pump. All sample primary and middle schools water supply was done by SSA Scheme.

Table 2.12: Drinking Water and Sanitation

| Sl.No | Particulars |  | No. of Schools |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | PS |  | MS |  |
|  |  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| 1 | Whether poTable water is available for drinking purpose in convergence with Drinking Water and Sanitation |  | $\begin{gathered} 22 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | - |
| 2 | Available of potable water (Multiple Response) | Local Hand pump | 22(100.0) |  | 13(100.0) |  |
|  |  | Jet Pump | - |  | - |  |
| 3. | Which scheme | SSA Scheme | 22(100.0) |  | 13(100.0) |  |
|  |  | MLA Fund | - |  | - |  |
| Total No. of School |  |  | 22(100.0) |  | 13(100.0) |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Availability of Ceasefire in School

According to information it was found that 45.45 percent primary schools and 61.54 percent middle schools have ceasefire, as shown in Table 2.13. Annexure 2.13 has the name of schools where ceasefire was not available.

Table 2.13: Availability of Ceasefire in School

| S1.No. | Particulars | No. of Schools |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PS |  | MS |  |
|  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| 1 | Ceasefire Available | $10(45.45)$ | $12(54.55)$ | $8(61.54)$ | $5(38.46)$ |
| 2. | If yes, Name of ceasefire | ABC ceasefire |  |  |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Kitchen Devices

The general information of kitchen devices available in the schools shows that cooking utensils were available in all sample primary and middle schools of Arwal district. It was found that 77.27 percent primary and 84.62 percent middle schools had sufficient cooking utensils. Cooking utensils are available sufficient in 77.27 percent primary and 84.62 percent middle school. In 77.27 percent primary and 53.85 percent middle schools were kitchen devices funded through kitchen device fund and 22.73 percent primary and 46.15 percent middle schools were kitchen devices funded through MME fund. It was found that 86.36 percent primary and all sample middle schools had
eating plates. Out of these, all primary and middle schools eating plates are funded through MME.

Schools were not eating plates are available and not sufficient for eating student are listed in Annexure 2.14.

Table 2.14: Kitchen Devices

| Sl.No. | Particulars |  | No. of Schools |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | PS |  | MS |  |
|  |  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| 1 | Whether cooking utensils are available in the school |  | 22(100.0) | - | 13(100.0) | - |
| 2. | Whether cooking utensils are available sufficient | Sufficient | 17(77.27) |  | 11(84.62) |  |
|  |  | Partial | 5(22.73) |  | 2(15.38) |  |
| 3 | Source of funding for cooking and serving utensils kitchen devices | Kitchen devices Fund | 17(77.27) |  | 7(53.85) |  |
|  |  | MME | 5(22.73) |  | 6(46.15) |  |
|  |  | Other(MDM) | - |  | - |  |
| 4 | Whether eating plates etc. are available in the school |  | 19(86.36) |  | 13(100.0) |  |
| 5 | If yes eating plates are sufficient |  | 12(63.16) |  | 10(76.92) |  |
|  | Source of cooking and serving utensils kitchen devices | MME | 19(100.0) |  | 13(100.0) |  |
| 6 |  | Community contribution | - |  | - |  |
|  |  | Other MDM Scheme | ${ }^{-}$ |  | ${ }^{-}$ |  |
|  | Total No. of School |  | 22(100.0) |  | 13(100.0) |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Infrastructure of Kitchen

Information related to infrastructure of kitchen in sample primary and middle schools in Arwal district was analyzed. It was found that 90.91 percent primary and all sample middle schools were having kitchen. The pucca kitchen cum store was available in 25.0 percent primary and 38.46 percent in middle schools and all of them. While only kitchen was available in 75.0 percent primary and 61.54 percent middle school and all of them used.

Kitchen-cum-store was constructed through MDM in 40.0 percent primary and 40.0 percent in middle schools while 60.0 percent kitchen-cum-stores in primary and 60.0 percent in middle schools were constructed through SSA. Similarly, only kitchen were constructed through MDM in 40.0 percent primary and 75.0 percent middle
schools, while through SSA in 60.0 percent primary and 25.0 percent middle schools. Kitchen has not been sanctioned in 2 primary schools.

Two primary schools prepared MDM in additional room. Schools store their food grains and other ingredients in kitchen-cum-store and kitchen, while the remaining 9.09 percent primary and 61.54 percent middle schools respectively store them in an additional room. All sample primary and middle schools have kitchen and store away from classrooms. In all primary and middle schools food is prepared by firewood.

The list of schools where the kitchen is not available is given in Annexure 2.15.
Table 2.15: Infrastructure of Kitchen

| Sl.No. | Particulars |  |  | No. of Schools |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | PS | MS |
|  | Kitchens are available |  |  | 20(90.91) | 13(100.0) |
| 1 | No. of school in which pucca kitchen-cum store available | Kitchen-cum -store |  | 5(25.0) | 5(38.46) |
|  |  | Kitchen |  | 15(75.0) | 8(61.54) |
| (a) | No. of school in which pucca kitchen constructed and used | Kitchen-cum -store |  | 5(100.0) | 5(100.0) |
|  |  | Kitchen |  | 15100.0) | 8(100.0) |
| (b) | Under which scheme  <br> Kitchen- <br> constructed  <br> cum-store  | MDM | Kitchen cum store | 2(40.0) | 2(40.0) |
|  |  |  | Kitchen | 6(40.0) | 6(75.0) |
|  |  | SSA | Kitchen cum store | 3(60.0) | 3(60.0) |
|  |  |  | Kitchen | 9(60.0) | 2(25.0) |
| (c) | Constructed but not in use |  |  | - | - |
| (d) | Under construction |  |  | - | - |
| (e) | Sanctioned, but not started |  |  | - | - |
| (f) | Not sanctioned |  |  | 2(9.09) | - |
| 2 | In case the pucca kitchencum store is not available, where is the food being cooked? | Additional Room |  | 2(100.0) | - |
|  |  | Open field |  | - | - |
| 3 | Where the food grains/ other ingredients are being stored? | Kitchen cum store |  | 5(22.73) | 5(38.46) |
|  |  | Kitchen |  | 15(68.18) | - |
|  |  | Additional Room |  | 2(9.09) | 8(61.54) |
|  |  | community hall |  | - | - |
| 4 | Kitchen-cum-store is neat and cleaned | Kitchen cum store |  | 5(25.0) | 5(38.46) |
|  |  | Kitchen |  | 15(75.0) | 8(61.54) |
|  |  | Additional Room |  | - | - |
|  |  | At the venu of villegers |  | - | - |
| 5 | Is there kitchen \& store away from class room of school |  |  | 20(100.0) | 13(100.0) |
| 6 | Whether MDM is being cooked by using firewood or LPG based cooking? | Fire wood |  | 22(100.0) | 13(100.0) |
|  |  | Coal |  | - | - |
|  |  | LPG |  | - | - |
| 7 | Whether on any day there was interruption due to nonavailability of firewood or LPG? |  |  | - | - |
|  | Total No. of School |  |  | 22(100.0) | 13(100.0) |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Availability of Covered Drum

As Table 2.16 indicates only 68.18 percent primary and 84.62 percent middle schools have covered drums for the food grains. In 33.33 percent primary and 9.09 percent middle schools of these covered drums available through SSA scheme and 66.67 percent primary and 90.91 percent middle schools of these covered drums available through MME (Management, Monitoring \& Evaluation) in Arwal district.

List of schools where covered drums for storage the food grains are not available is given in Annexure 2.16.

Table 2.16: Availability of Covered Drum

| Sl.No. | Particulars |  | No. of Schools |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | PS |  | MS |  |
|  |  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| 1 | Availability of cover drum of food grains in school |  | 15 (68.18) | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ (31.82) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ (84.62) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2 (15.38) |
| 2. | If yes, which scheme | SSA through | $\frac{5(53.53)}{10(66.67)}$ |  | 1 (9.09) |  |
|  |  | Management, <br> Monitoring <br> Evaluation |  |  | 10 | 0.91) |
|  | Total No. of School |  | 22 (100.0) |  | 13 (100.0) |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Availability of Toilets

It was found that 90.90 percent primary and all sample middle schools have separate toilet for boys and girls and all of them use toilets properly. 31.82 percent primary and 30.77 percent middle schools have common toilets and all of them use common toilets properly.

Annexure 2.17 contains the list of sample schools which do not have separate toilet for boys and girls or common toilets are not available.

Table 2.17: Availability of toilets

| Sl.No. | Particulars |  | No. of Schools |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PS |  | MS |  |  |
|  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No |  |
| 1 | Availability of separate toilet for <br> boys and girls in school | $20(90.90)$ | $2(9.10)$ | $13(100.0)$ | - |  |
| 2. | If yes, Proper use of toilet | $20(100.0)$ | - | $13(100.0)$ | - |  |
| 3 | Is there available common toilet | $7(31.82)$ | $13(65.0)$ | $4(30.77)$ | $9(69.23)$ |  |
| 4 | If yes, Proper use of toilet | $7(100.0)$ | - | $4(100.0)$ | - |  |
|  | Total No. of School | $22(100.0)$ |  | $13(100.0)$ |  |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Availability of Computer in Schools

As Table 2.18 indicates only 23.08 percent middle schools have computers. None of the primary schools possess any IT infrastructure. None of them have an internet connection and thus cannot use any IT enabled services.

Table 2.18: Availability of IT infrastructure /Computers

| Sl.No. Particulars | No. of Schools |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PS |  |  | MS |
|  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| 1. | Is computer available in school | - | $22(100.0)$ | $3(23.08)$ | $10(76.92)$ |
| 2. | If yes give the no. of computer |  | - | 8 |  |
| 3. | Available of internet connection | - | - | - | $3(100.0)$ |
| 4. | Using any IT/ IT enable services based <br> (like E-learning etc.) | - | - |  | $3(100.0)$ |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Impression of Environment, Safety and Hygiene

Environment, safety and hygiene were found satisfactory in majority of the primary and middle schools. As Table 2.19 shows that environment was good in 40.91 percent primary and 38.46 percent middle schools. Safety was good in 68.18 percent in primary and 46.15 percent in middle schools. The hygiene was observed to be in good condition in 54.53 percent primary and 30.77 percent middle schools. Environment was satisfactory in 59.09 percent primary and 61.54 percent middle schools. Safety level was satisfactory in 31.82 percent primary and 53.85 percent middle schools. Hygiene level was satisfactory in 45.45 percent in primary and 69.23 percent middle schools.

Table 2.19: General Impression of Environment, Safety and Hygiene

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sl. } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | Particulars | No. of Schools |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PS |  |  | MS |  |  |
|  |  | Good | Satisfactory | Un satisfactory | Good | Satisfactory | Unsatisfactory |
| 1 | Environment | 9(40.91) | 13(59.09) | - | 5(38.46) | 8(61.54) | - |
| 2 | Safety | 15(68.18) | 7(31.82) | - | 6(46.15) | 7(53.85) | - |
| 3 | Hygiene | 12(54.53) | 10(45.45) | - | 4(30.77) | 9(69.23) | - |
| 4 | Total No. of School | 22(100.0) |  |  | 13(100.0) |  |  |

[^1]
## Encouragement of Children to adopt Good Practices

As shown in Table-2.20, in all sample primary and middle schools children were encouraged to wash their hands before and after meals. Children were encouraged to receive MDM in orderly manner in all sample primary and middle schools. It is also found that in all sample primary and middle schools children were not taught about conservation of water. Cooking process and storage of fuel was found to be safe from fire hazard in all sample primary and middle schools as shown in Table-2.20.

Table 2.20: Encouragement of Children to adopt Good Practices

| Sl. <br> No. | Particulars |  |  |  | No. of Schools |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PS |  | MS |  |  |  |
|  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No |  |  |
| 1 | Children encouraged to wash <br> hands before and after eating | $22(100.0)$ | - | $13(100.0)$ | - |  |  |
| 2 | Children take MDM in an orderly | $22(100.0)$ | - | $13(100.0)$ | - |  |  |
| 3 | Conservation of water in school | - | $22(100.0)$ | - | $13(100.0)$ |  |  |
| 4 | Cooking process and storage of <br> fuel is safe from fire hazard. | $22(100.0)$ | - | $13(100.0)$ | - |  |  |
| 5 | Total No. of School | $22(100.0)$ | $13(100.0)$ |  |  |  |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Tasting Meal before Serving to Students

Before the meal was served to students it was tasted by the teachers, VSS and Parents. It was found that MDM was tasted daily by teachers of all primary and middle schools. It was seldom tasted by VSS and parents in all primary and middle schools.

Table 2.21: Tasting Meal before Serving to Students

| Sl. <br> No. Particulars |  | No. of Schools |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PS |  | MS |  |
|  |  | Daily | Seldom | Daily | Seldom |
| 1 | Tasted by Teacher | $22(100.0)$ | - | $13(100.0)$ | - |
| 2 | Tasted by VSS | - | $22(100.0)$ |  | $13(100.0)$ |
| 3 | Tasted by Parents | - | $22(100.0)$ |  | $13(100.0)$ |
| Total No. of School |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Community Participation and Awareness

Around 90.91 percent parents of primary school students and 100.0 percent parents of middle schools children supervised MDM on a daily basis and found it to be satisfactory. In case of VSSs it was 77.27 percent in primary and 76.92 percent in middle schools. Supervision by Panchayat/urban bodies was found satisfactory in 90.91 percent primary and 100.0 percent middle schools. In 9.09 percent parents from primary schools, 22.73 percent VSSs from primary and 23.08 percent VSSs from middle schools and only 9.09 percent Panchayat/Urban bodies from primary schools rated the MDM as good during daily supervision.

At the time of monitoring of MDM, it was rated as satisfactory by 90.91 percents parents, 77.27 percent VSSs and 90.91 percent panchayat/urban bodies in primary schools. Similarly, 100.0 percents parents, 84.62 percent VSSs and 100.0 percent panchayat/urban bodies in middle schools rated the monitoring of MDM as satisfactory. MDM was monitored to be good by 9.09 percent parents, 22.73 percent VSSs and 9.09 percent panchayat/urban bodies in primary schools and 15.38 percent VSSs in middle schools.

Table 2.22: Participation of Parents/VSSs/Urban bodies in Monitoring of MDM

| Sl. <br> No | Particulars | PS |  |  | MS |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Parents | VSS | Panchayat/ <br> Urban <br> bodies | Parents | VSS | Panchayat/ <br> Urban bodies |  |
| Supervision of daily MDM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Good | $2(9.09)$ | $5(22.73)$ | $2(9.09)$ | - | $3(23.08)$ | - |
| 2 | Satisfactory | $20(90.91)$ | $17(77.27)$ | $20(90.91)$ | $13(100.0)$ | $10(76.92)$ | $13(100.0)$ |
| 3 | None | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Monitoring of the MDM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Good | $2(9.09)$ | $5(22.73)$ | $2(9.09)$ | - | $2(15.38)$ | - |
| 2 | Satisfactory | $20(90.91)$ | $17(77.27)$ | $20(90.91)$ | $13(100.0)$ | $11(84.62)$ | $13(100.0)$ |
| 3 | None | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 4 | Total No. of <br> school | $22(100.0)$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Awareness about MDM

It was found that community members maintained roster for supervision of MDM in all sample primary and middle schools. Table 2.23 shows that all sample primary and middle schools have not social audit mechanism in the school.

Table 2.23: Awareness regarding MDM

| Sl. | Particulars |  | No. of Schools |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  | PS |  | No | Yes |  |
|  |  | Yes | No |  |  |  |
| 1 | Roster being maintained by the <br> community members for <br> supervision of the MDM | $22(100.0)$ | - | $13(100.0)$ | - |  |
| 2 | Is there any social audit <br> mechanism in the school | - | $22(100.0)$ | - | $13(100.0)$ |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Numbers of VSS Meetings

It was shows that SMC/VECs meeting monitoring time was three to four times was in 22.73 percent primary and 7.69 percent middle schools, 5 times and above in 77.27 percent primary and 92.13 percent middle schools. MDM related discussion was one to two times in 90.09 percent primary schools, three to four times was in 54.55 percent primary and 38.46 percent middle school, 5 times and above in 36.36 percent primary and 61.54 percent middle schools.

Table 2.24: Numbers of VSS Meetings

| Sl. | Particulars | PS |  |  |  | MS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No |  | 1-2 | 3-4 | 5 \& above | Total | 1-2 | 3-4 | 5 \& above | Total |
| 1. | No. of VSS meeting till monitoring time | - | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (22.73) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ (77.27) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (7.69) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (92.13) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| 2. | No. of VSS meeting to MDM related discussion | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (9.09) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (54.55) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (36.36) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (38.46) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (61.54) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Total No. of school | 22 (100.0) |  |  |  | 13 (100.0) |  |  |  |

Note: Figures in brackets indicate percentage to total
Source: Survey conducted in the Sample Schools using the structured schedule

## Availability of Inspection Registers

Inspection register was available in all sample schools. It has been found that all sample schools have not received fund under MME component. The regular inspection of MDM was reported in all sample schools of the district.

Table 2.25: Inspection and Supervision of MDM by Educational Authorities

| Sl.No. | Particulars |  | No. of Schools |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PS |  | MS |  |  |
|  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No |  |
| 1 | Is there any Inspection Register <br> available at school level? | $22(100.0)$ | - | $13(100.0)$ | - |  |
| 2 | Whether school has received any <br> funds under MME component? | - | $22(100.0)$ | - | $13(100.0)$ |  |
| 3 | Is regular inspections of MDM | $22(100.0)$ | - | $13(100.0)$ | - |  |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Inspection and Supervision of MDM

The regular inspection of MDM was reported in all sample primary and middle schools of the district. The inspection was also done by the BEO, MDM Coordinator, CRC Coordinator, DPO, and BRP MDM. as Table 2.26 shows maximum inspection and supervision were done by BRP MDM in primary school and BEO in middle schools in Arwal district. There was no state level inspecting authorities visited in the schools for MDM programme. Maximum inspections were made by block level authorities in primary and middle schools. Mostly this inspection was made monthly in both categories of schools.

The visiting authorities remarked for good quality of MDM should be provided in 45.45 percent primary and 61.54 percent middle schools. Cleanliness of kitchen and store should be ensured in 27.78 percent primary and 38.46 percent middle schools. Clean drinking water should be provided in 36.36 percent primary and 46.15 percent middle schools.

Table 2.26: Inspection and Supervision of MDM

| Sl.No. | Particulars |  | No. of Schools |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | PS | MS |
| 1 | Regular inspection of the MDM food |  | 22(100.0) | 13(100.0) |
| 2 | Inspection by | BEO | 10(45.45) | 11(84.61) |
|  |  | VES | - | - |
|  |  | MDM Coordinator | 3(13.64) | 4(30.77) |
|  |  | CRC coordinator | 9(40.91) | 5(38.46) |
|  |  | BRP MDM | 13(59.09) | 3(23.08) |
|  |  | DPO | 2(9.09) | - |
| 3 | Inspecting authority | State level | - | - |
|  |  | District | 8(36.36) | 3(23.08) |
|  |  | Tehsils | - | - |
|  |  | Block | 22(100.0) | 13(100.0) |
|  |  | CRC | 7(31.82) | 7(53.85) |
|  |  | Village | - | - |
| 4 | Frequency of | Daily | 1(4.55) | 2(15.38) |
|  |  | Weekly | 12(54.55) | 4(30.77) |
|  |  | Fortnightly | 12(54.55) | 8(61.54) |
|  |  | Monthly | 12(54.55) | 9(69.23) |
|  |  | Often | 1(4.55) | 2(15.38) |
| 5 | If any, then Remark made by the visiting of officers | Good Quality of MDM should be provided | 10(45.45) | 8(61.54) |
|  |  | Told about cleanliness | 15(68.18) | 5(38.46) |
|  |  | Clean drinking water should be provided | 8(36.36) | 6(46.15) |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Impact of MDM

As indicated in Table 2.27 impact of MDM in almost all sample primary and all middle schools has improved enrollment of students, attendance of students and full time presence of students in schools.

Table 2.27: Impact of the MDM

| Sl.No. | Particulars |  | No. of Schools |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  |  |  | PS | MS |
| 1 | Mid day meal improved | Enrollment of student | $22(100.0)$ | $13(100.0)$ |
|  |  | Attendance of student <br> Present of students full <br> time in school | $22(100.0)$ | $13(100.0)$ |
|  | Total No. of School | $22(100.0)$ | $13(100.0)$ |  |

Note: Figures in brackets indicate percentage to total
Source: Survey conducted in the Sample Schools using the structured schedule

## Social Harmony

It was found that all sample primary and middle schools MDM has improved social harmony and nutritional status of children. Table 2.28 shows that all sample primary and middle schools have not other incidental benefit due to serving of meal in schools.

Table 2.28: Social Harmony

| Sl.No. | Particulars | No. of Schools |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PS |  | MS |  |
|  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| 1 | Whether mid day meal has helped <br> in improvement of the social <br> harmony | $22(100.0)$ | - | $13(100.0)$ | - |
| 2 | Whether mid day meal has helped <br> in improvement of the nutritional <br> status of the children. | $22(100.0)$ | - | $13(100.0)$ | - |
| 3 | Is there any other incidental <br> benefit due to serving of meal in <br> schools | - | $22(100.0)$ | - | $13(100.0)$ |

Note: Figures in brackets indicate percentage to total
Source: Survey conducted in the Sample Schools using the structured schedule

## Grievance Redressal Mechanism

As Table 2.29 indicates that all sample primary and middle schools have grievances redressal mechanism in the district for MDMs and all sample primary and middle schools have the district/block and school have toll free number.

Table 2.29: Grievance Redressal Mechanisms

| Sl.No. | Particulars | No. of Schools |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PS |  | MS |  |
|  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| 1 | Is any grievance redressal <br> mechanism in the district for <br> MDMS | $21(100.0)$ | - | $13(100.0)$ | - |
| 2 | Whether the district/block/ school <br> having any toll free number? | $21(100.0)$ | - | $13(100.0)$ | - |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Views of Investigator on other Issues of MDM Implementation

The investigators opined that monitoring and evaluation of MDM team should be regular in all sample primary and middle schools. Use of wheat should be increased in all sample primary and middle schools. LPG should be used for cooking in majority primary
and middle schools and convenient arrangement should be made for its availability. Use of green vegetables must be encouraged in all sample primary and middle schools in Arwal districts as shown in (Table-2.30).

Table-2.30: Investigator's views and observations regarding MDM

| Sl.No. | Issues relevant to MDM implementation | No. of Schools |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PS | MS |
| 1 | Monitoring \& Evaluation of MDM team should be <br> regular | $22(100.0)$ | $13(100.0)$ |
| 2 | Arrangement and availability of LPG for cooking <br> purpose | $22(100.0)$ | $13(100.0)$ |
| 3 | Use of wheat of MDM | $22(100.0)$ | $13(100.0)$ |
| 4 | Use of Green Vegetable must be used in MDM | $22(100.0)$ | $13(100.0)$ |
|  | Total No. of School | $22(100.0)$ | $13(100.0)$ |

Source: Primary Data Based.

## Chapter-III

## Major Findings

Monitoring and evaluation of Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan (SSA) was conducted by the Giri Institute of Development Studies, Lucknow during 15 March to 31 March, 2015. The survey covered 22 primary schools and 13 middle schools in the Arwal district as suggested by the Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education and Literacy, Government of India. Besides monitoring and evaluation of SSA programme in the district, the working of MDM was also monitored and evaluated. The focus of monitoring of MDM was limited to cover only key components of the MDM programme. These components of MDM monitoring and evaluations were selected by the Ministry. On the basis of field survey of primary and middle schools where MDM is being implemented, following conclusions have been arrived at:

- During the visits of schools of district all sample schools reported to have received food grains within one month and its quality was good.
- There was reported timely release of MDM funds from state, district and blocklevel in all samples primary and middle schools of the district. It was also observed that there were all sample schools where MDM cooked have received MDM fund in advance and regularly.
- It was found that all 35 sample schools hot cooked meal was provided to students regularly. This fact was confirmed from students, teachers, parents and from MDM registers of the concerning schools.
- There was no difference between the number of students for whom the MDM was prepared and those who got the MDM.
- There was no difference between MDM registers and head count of students on the day of visit of research team to the sample schools.
- MDM was cooked by VEC/SMC appointed cooks. Majority of cooks were of OBC castes and they were paid monthly salary of Rs.1000/ regularly through the cheques.
- It has been observed that in none of the sample primary and middle schools gender, caste or community discrimination in cooking and serving or seating arrangement.
- The meal was tasted by the teachers before it was served to the students.
- The MDM was served by cooks and the students received MDM in queue.
- The menu was displaced at noticeable places in all primary and percent middle schools and all schools followed the menu and it is also verified that the all sample schools followed the menu in the district.
- MDM logo was displayed in 36.36 percent primary and 53.85 percent middle schools buildings.
- The prescribed quantity of MDM was given to students and was found to be sufficient. The quality of MDM was found to be 'Good' in all sample primary and middle schools.
- Health card were maintained in all sample primary and middle schools. Likewise Iron Folic Acid and Vitamins were given to the majority of students.
- The availability of potable water through Local hand pumps was found in all sample primary and middle schools.
- Kitchens were available in 90.91 percent primary and all sample middle schools. In all the sample schools, food was cooked using fire wood.
- it was fount that 45.45 percent primary and 61.54 percent middle schools have ceasefire
- The kitchen utensils were available in all sample primary and middle schools.
- The availability of covered drums was found in 68.18 percent primary and 84.62 percent middle schools. Cover drums were reported to have been purchased majorly from funds of MME Scheme.
- Separate toilets for boys and girls were available in 90.90 percent primary and all sample middle schools.
- The Computers was available in only 3(23.08 percent) middle schools.
- The condition of environment, safety and hygiene was satisfactory in majority all primary schools and good in almost all middle schools.
- It was observed that students were encouraged to wash their hand before and after the meal. The students were seen receiving the MDM in queue in almost all the schools. The practice of water conservation was seen in the schools and cooking process and storage of fuel were found to be safe from fire hazards in almost all schools.
- The awareness of parents and community about MDM was found to be satisfactory in most of the schools.
- The frequency of VSS meeting for MDM related discussion was one to two times in 90.09 percent primary schools, three to four times was in 54.55 percent primary and 38.46 percent middle school, 5 times and above in 36.36 percent primary and 61.54 percent middle schools.
- Inspection and supervision by district officials on often basis covered in all sample schools. Process of inspection, supervision of MDM was found to be adequate.
- It was observed that MDM was helpful in improving the social harmony. The grievance redressal mechanism was active and all sample schools having toll free number.
- The impact of MDM was found to be positive in all sample primary and middle schools. MDM has improved enrollment of students, attendance of students and full time presence of students in all 22(100 percent) primary and 13(100 percent) middle schools.
- The views of investigators about different aspect of implementation of MDM in the district were found to be positive. The investigators views were that monitoring and evaluation of MDM should be regular basis at school level, Wheat also should be provided to schools for MDM and LPG should be ensured for cooking instead of woods.


## ANNEXURE

Annexure 2.4 No. of schools in which cooks were not sufficient in number as per GOI

| Primary Schools |  | Middle Schools |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Govt. Urdu PS Faridabad | 1 | Govt. MS Kurtha |
| 2 | PS Balidad | 2 | UMS Bolidarpur |
| 3 | PS Injaur | 3 | UMS Hardiya |

Annexure 2.8 MDM Logo not displayed on School Building

| Primary Schools |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Govt. Urdu PS Faridabad | 1 | Middle Schools |
| 2 | Urdu Kanya PS Sahimalla | 2 | UMS Pratap Pur |
| 3 | Govt. PS Chlhan Bigha | 3 | UMS Hardiya |
| 4 | Utkrmiy MS Benipur | 4 | Govt. MS Bambhai |
| 5 | Govt. PS Nadaura | 5 | UMS Pariyari Deeh |
| 6 | PS Khaira | 6 | Govt. MS Puran |
| 7 | Urdu Kanya PS Pura Kothi |  |  |
| 8 | Govt. PS Aganoor |  |  |
| 9 | Govt. PS Belanw |  |  |
| 10 | Govt. PS Anandpur |  |  |
| 11 | Govt. PS Nagwan |  |  |
| 12 | PS Husaini Bigha |  |  |
| 13 | PS Kundi |  |  |
| 14 | PS Junathi |  |  |

Annexure 2.11 Health Card not Maintained for each Child in Schools

| Primary Schools |  |  | Middle Schools |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Utkrmit MS Kagzi Mohalla | 1 | UMS Bolidarpur |
| 2 | Govt. Urdu PS Faridabad | 2 | UMS Hardiya |
| 3 | PS Wasilpur | 3 | Govt. MS Kaler |
| 4 | PS Ahiyapur | 4 | Govt. MS Bambhai |
| 5 | Urdu Kanya PS Sahimalla | 5 | Govt. MS Karpi |
| 6 | Govt. PS Chlhan Bigha | 6 | Govt. MS Puran |
| 7 | PS Khaira | 7 | Govt. Kanya MS Arwal |
| 8 | PS Koni | 8 | UMS Saidpur Dhawa |
| 9 | Urdu Kanya PS Pura Kothi |  |  |
| 10 | PS Balidad |  |  |
| 11 | Govt. PS Belanw |  |  |
| 12 | PS Injaur |  |  |
| 13 | Govt. PS Aganoor |  |  |
| 14 | Govt. PS Nagwan |  |  |
| 15 | PS Husaini Bigha |  |  |
| 16 | PS Kundi |  |  |
| 17 | PS Pan Bigha |  |  |
| Whether micronutrients medicine periodically given to the children |  |  |  |
| Primary Schools |  |  |  |
| 1 | Govt. Urdu PS Faridabad |  |  |
| 2 | PS Ahiyapur | 1 |  |
| 3 | Govt. PS Chlhan Bigha | 2 | UMS Bolidarpur |
| 4 | PS Khaira | 3 |  |
| 5 | PS Balidad |  |  |
| 6 | PS Injaur |  |  |
| 7 | PS Pan Bigha |  |  |

First aid Medical Kit not available in the School

| Primary Schools |  |  | Middle Schools |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | Utkrmit MS Kagzi Mohalla | 1 | Govt. Kanya MS Arwal |
| 2 | Govt. Urdu PS Faridabad | 2 | UMS Saidpur Dhawa |
| 3 | PS Wasilpur | 3 | UMS Bolidarpur |
| 4 | PS Ahiyapur | 4 | UMS Hardiya |
| 5 | Govt. PS Chlhan Bigha | 5 | Govt. MS Kaler |
| 6 | PS Khaira | 6 | Govt. MS Bambhai |
| 7 | PS Koni | 7 | Govt. MS Karpi |
| 8 | Urdu Kanya PS Pura Kothi |  |  |
| 9 | PS Balidad |  |  |
| 10 | PS Injaur |  |  |
| 11 | Govt. PS Aganoor |  |  |
| 12 | Govt. PS Belanw |  |  |
| 13 | Govt. PS Nagwan |  |  |
| 14 | PS Husaini Bigha |  |  |
| 15 | PS Kundi |  |  |
| 16 | PS Pan Bigha |  |  |

Annexure 2.13 Cease fire not available

| Primary Schools |  |  | Middle Schools |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | Urdu Kanya PS Sahimalla | 1 | Govt. Kanya MS Arwal |
| 2 | Govt. PS Chlhan Bigha | 2 | UMS Saidpur Dhawa |
| 3 | Govt. PS Nadaura | 3 | Govt. MS Baidrabad |
| 4 | MS Mubarakpur | 4 | Govt. MS Kurtha |
| 5 | PS Khaira | 5 | Govt. MS Puran |
| 6 | PS Koni |  |  |
| 7 | Govt. PS Aganoor |  |  |
| 8 | Govt. PS Anandpur |  |  |
| 9 | PS Husaini Bigha |  |  |
| 10 | PS Kundi |  |  |
| 11 | PS Pan Bigha |  |  |
| 12 | PS Junathi |  |  |

Annexure 2.14 Eating Plates etc. are not available Primary Schools

| Primary Schools |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Utkrmit MS Kagzi Mohalla |  |  |
| 2 | Govt. PS Aganoor |  |  |
| 3 | Govt. PS Belanw | Middle Schools |  |
| Eating plates are not Sufficient |  |  | 1 |
| Primary Schools |  |  | Govt. Kanya MS Arwal |
| 1 | Govt. PS Nadaura | 2 | Govt. MS Kurtha |
| 2 | MS Mubarakpur | 3 | Govt. MS Puran |
| 3 | PS Koni |  |  |
| 4 | Govt. PS Anandpur |  |  |
| 5 | Govt. PS Nagwan |  |  |
| 6 | PS Husaini Bigha |  |  |
| 7 | PS Kundi |  |  |

Annexure 2.15 Kitchens not Available

## Primary Schools

| Primary Schools |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Govt. PS Nadaura |
| 2 | Urdu Kanya PS Pura Kothi |

Annexure 2.16 Non-availability of Covered Drum for Food Grains in Schools

| Primary Schools |  |  | Middle Schools |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | Urdu Kanya PS Sahimalla | 1 | Govt. MS Kurtha |
| 2 | Govt. PS Nadaura | 2 | UMS Pratap Pur |
| 3 | MS Mubarakpur |  |  |
| 4 | Urdu Kanya PS Pura Kothi |  |  |
| 5 | Govt. PS Aganoor |  |  |
| 6 | Govt. PS Nagwan |  |  |
| 7 | PS Husaini Bigha |  |  |

Annexure 2.17 Non-availability of Toilets

| Sr <br> no | Name of the Schools |  <br> Boys | No Common Toilet <br> available |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Primary Schools |  |  |  |
| 1 | Govt. PS Nadaura | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 2 | Govt. PS Nagwan |  | $\checkmark$ |
| 3 | Utkrmit MS Kagzi Mohalla |  | $\checkmark$ |
| 4 | Govt. Urdu PS Faridabad |  | $\checkmark$ |
| 5 | PS Wasilpur |  | $\checkmark$ |
| 6 | MS Mubarakpur |  | $\checkmark$ |
| 7 | PS Khaira |  | $\checkmark$ |
| 8 | PS Koni |  | $\checkmark$ |
| 9 | Urdu Kanya PS Pura Kothi |  | $\checkmark$ |
| 10 | Govt. PS Aganoor |  | $\checkmark$ |
| 11 | Govt. PS Belanw |  | $\checkmark$ |
| 12 | PS Husaini Bigha |  | $\checkmark$ |
| 13 | PS Kundi |  | $\checkmark$ |
| 14 | PS Pan Bigha |  | $\checkmark$ |
| 15 | PS Junathi |  | $\checkmark$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1 | UMS Saidpur Dhawa |  | $\checkmark$ |
| 2 | UMS Pratap Pur |  | $\checkmark$ |
| 3 | UMS Bolidarpur |  | $\checkmark$ |
| 4 | UMS Hardiya |  | $\checkmark$ |
| 5 | Govt. MS Kaler |  | $\checkmark$ |
| 6 | MS Lodhipur |  | $\checkmark$ |
| 7 | Govt. MS Bambhai |  | $\checkmark$ |
| 8 | Govt. MS Karpi |  | $\checkmark$ |
| 9 | Govt. MS Puran |  | $\checkmark$ |


[^0]:    Source: Primary Data Based.

[^1]:    Source: Primary Data Based.

